





Minerals and Waste Joint Plan

Derivation of Policy Options (Issues and Options Stage)

February 2014

City of York Council

North York Moors National Park Authority North Yorkshire County Council

| Chap | ter 5 - Minerals | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| id . | Issue | Option | Derivation of Option |
| id01 | Broad geographical approach to supply of aggregate | 1 | Reflects the emphasis in national planning policy on maintenance of landbanks of aggregate from outside NPs, AONBs; the lack of apparent commercial interest in development of any resources in the City of York area, and; consultation responses at first consultation stage. |
| | | 2 | Reflects the reference in the NPPF that all MPA areas with resources have a role to play in the provision of aggregates, whilst acknowledging strong policy constraints on supply from National Park and AONB areas. Also reflects responses at first consultation stage. |
| id02 Locational approach to new sources of supply of aggregate | 1 | Reflects the national policy (NPPF paragraph 30) emphasis on reducing impacts associated with transport including reduction in overall transport distances, as well as evidence on current markets and expected future markets for minerals worked in the area. Also reflects responses at first consultation stage. | |
| | | 2 | Reflects the potential for uncertainty in future relationships between aggregates supply and market areas and the potential benefits of a locational approach which could facilitate movement of aggregate to a range of market destinations. |
| | | 3 | Reflects a more flexible industry-led approach where the identification of suitable resources is given more priority relative to an approach linking supply locations with potential markets. |
| id03 | Calculating sand and gravel provision | 1 | This option reflects the 'baseline' position in the NY LAA 2013 and discussion contained in the NYCC Aggregates Discussion Paper (June 2013). |
| | | 2 | Reflects a higher demand scenario taking into account potential for stronger growth in requirements for sand and gravel, based on consultation feedback from industry during preparation of the |

| - | | | |
|------|-------------------------|-----------|---|
| | | | NY LAA 2013 and discussion contained in the NYCC Aggregates Discussion Paper (June 2013). |
| | | 3 | Represents an alternative approach to future sand and gravel provision under a |
| | | | higher demand scenario. |
| | | 4 | Reflects a more flexible approach to |
| | | | future provision based on a 'plan, monitor |
| | | | and manage' approach, taking into |
| | | | account a number of consultation |
| | | | responses at first consultation stage |
| | | | seeking a degree of flexibility in |
| | | | provision. |
| | | 5 | Reflects the potential for increased |
| | | | contribution from alternatives to land won |
| | | | primary aggregate based on evidence in |
| | | | the NY LAA 2013 and discussion |
| | | | contained in the NYCC Aggregates |
| | | 6 | Discussion Paper (June 2013). |
| | | 6 | Reflects the potential for increased |
| | | | supply from elsewhere into markets |
| | | | served by NY based on evidence in the NY LAA 2013 and discussion contained |
| | | | |
| | | | in the NYCC Aggregates Discussion |
| | | | Paper (June 2013), as well as |
| | | | information in LAAs for adjacent areas and the Yorkshire and Humber marine |
| | | | |
| | | Potential | aggregates study. The potential to limit exports of sand and |
| | | option | gravel was raised in consultation. This |
| | | not | has not been taken forward as it is not |
| | | taken | considered such an approach would be |
| | | forward | compatible with national policy (NPPF |
| | | | paragraph145) relating to supply of |
| | | | aggregate or is compatible with evidence |
| | | | about cross-boundary movements of |
| | | | aggregate and the likely future |
| | | | requirement for these. |
| id04 | Overall distribution of | 1 | Reflects the historic/current approach to |
| | sand and gravel | | distribution of sand and gravel provision |
| | provision | | (eg as reflected in the North Yorkshire |
| | | | Minerals Local Plan 1997). |
| | | 2 | Reflects evidence (eg Yorkshire and |
| | | | Humber area LAAs and discussion |
| | | | contained in the NYCC Aggregates |
| | | | Discussion Paper (June 2013)) |
| | | | suggesting ongoing/increasing |
| | | | constraints on land based sand and |
| | | | gravel supply into market areas to the |
| | | | south of the Plan area. |

| | | 3 | Reflects a possible alternative scenario where increasing demand |
|------|----------------------|---|--|
| | | | pressures/supply constraints in markets |
| | | | to the north of the Plan area are more |
| | | | significant than those to the south, eg as |
| | | | possibly reflected in the increased |
| | | | |
| | | | proportion of sand and gravel sales to |
| | | | the North East between 2005 and 2009 |
| | | | (NY LAA 2013 and discussion contained |
| | | | in the NYCC Aggregates Discussion |
| | | | Paper (June 2013)). |
| | | 4 | Reflects a more flexible approach taking |
| | | | into account potential uncertainties in |
| | | | future patterns of demand. |
| id05 | Landbanks for sand | 1 | Reflects the historic/current approach to |
| | and gravel | | sand and gravel landbanks (eg as |
| | | | reflected in the North Yorkshire Minerals |
| | | | Local Plan 1997) as well as national |
| | | | policy requirements (NPPF Paragraph |
| | | | 145) and views expressed at first |
| | | | consultation stage. |
| | | 2 | Would operate in association with a |
| | | | corresponding option relating to |
| | | | distribution of sand and gravel provision |
| | | | (see option 4 above). |
| | | 3 | Would help support the maintenance of |
| | | Ŭ | landbanks in line with national policy |
| | | | (NPPF paragraph 145). |
| id06 | Safeguarding of sand | 1 | Reflects evidence on safeguarding of |
| 1000 | and gravel resources | | sand and gravel resources in the Plan |
| | | | area undertaken by BGS (2011 and |
| | | | 2013) for the Authorities, including the |
| | | | views from local industry consultation. |
| | | 2 | Reflects the generic approach to |
| | | 2 | |
| | | | safeguarding of sand and gravel set out |
| | | | in National mineral safeguarding in |
| | | | England: good practice advice (BGS |
| | | 0 | 2011). |
| | | 3 | Reflects national policy constraints |
| | | | (NPPF paragraph 115 &144) on |
| | | | development including aggregates |
| | | | working in National Parks and AONBs |
| | | | and physical constraints to working in |
| | | | urban areas. |
| | | 4 | Reflects evidence on sand and gravel |
| | | | resources in the North Yorkshire Sand |
| | | | and Gravel Assessment (BGS 2011) |
| | | | including views from local industry |
| | | | consultation on the size of potentially |
| | | | workable deposits. |
| | 1 | | พบเหลมเย นยุบอแอ. |

| | | 5 | Would introduce flexibility to help ensure safeguarding of resources not identified earlier in plan preparation. |
|------|---|---|---|
| id07 | Provision of crushed rock | 1 | This option reflects the 'baseline' position in the NY LAA 2013 and discussion contained in the NYCC Aggregates Discussion Paper (June 2013). |
| | | 2 | Reflects a potential alternative approach taking into account evidence (eg NY LAA 2013 and discussion contained in the NYCC Aggregates Discussion Paper (June 2013)) on the future supply circumstances for Magnesian limestone. |
| | | 3 | Reflects a more positive assumption about future supply of alternatives to primary aggregate taking into account national policy support (NPPF paragraph 143) for such supply. |
| id08 | Maintenance of landbanks for crushed rock | 1 | Reflects the historic/current approach to sand and gravel landbanks (eg as reflected in the North Yorkshire Minerals Local Plan 1997) as well as national policy requirements (NPPF paragraph 145). |
| | | 2 | Would operate in association with a corresponding option relating to crushed rock provision (see options 2 and 3 above) and reflects views expressed at first consultation stage. |
| | | 3 | Reflects national policy constraints (NPPF paragraph 115 &144) on development including aggregates working in National Parks and AoNBs. |
| | | 4 | Would introduce flexibility to help ensure safeguarding of resources not identified earlier in plan preparation. |
| id09 | Safeguarding crushed rock | 1 | Reflects evidence on safeguarding of sand and gravel resources in the Plan area undertaken by BGS (2011 and 2013) for the Authorities, including views from local industry consultation. |
| | | 2 | Reflects the generic approach to safeguarding of sand and gravel set out in National mineral safeguarding in England: good practice advice (BGS 2011). |
| | | 3 | Reflects national policy constraints (NPPF paragraph 115 &144) on development including aggregates |

| | I | 1 | |
|------|------------------------|-----------|--|
| | | | working in National Parks and AONBs |
| | | | and physical constraints to working in |
| | | | urban areas. |
| | | 4 | Would introduce flexibility to help ensure |
| | | | safeguarding of resources not identified |
| | | | earlier in plan preparation. |
| id10 | Concreting sand and | 1 | Reflects the national policy position |
| | gravel delivery | | (NPPF paragraph 145). |
| | graver actively | 2 | Reflects an alternative approach placing |
| | | 2 | a greater focus on site allocations of |
| | | | |
| | | 0 | more strategic significance. |
| | | 3 | Represents a more flexible approach as |
| | | | suggested in some responses to earlier |
| | | | consultation. |
| id11 | Building sand delivery | 1 | Reflects the national policy position |
| | | | (NPPF paragraph 145). |
| | | 2 | Represents a more flexible approach as |
| | | | suggested in some responses to earlier |
| | | | consultation. |
| id12 | Magnesian limestone | 1 | Reflects the national policy position |
| | delivery | | (NPPF paragraph 145). |
| | | 2 | Represents a more flexible approach as |
| | | _ | suggested in some responses to earlier |
| | | | consultation. |
| id13 | Unallocated | 1 | Represents a balanced approach |
| | extensions to existing | 1 | between flexibility and a 'plan-led |
| | aggregates quarries | | approach'. |
| | aggregates quarres | 2 | |
| | | 3 | Reflects a more flexible approach. |
| | | 3 | Represents a more restrictive 'plan-led' |
| | | | approach. |
| | | Potential | Limiting extensions only to sites where |
| | | option | existing reserves are exhausted, or |
| | | not | where restoration of previous areas has |
| | | taken | been carried out were suggested in |
| | | forward | consultation responses. Options for |
| | | | these have not been developed as they |
| | | | are not considered to be compatible with |
| | | | maintaining a steady and adequate |
| | | | supply of aggregate, in line with national |
| | | | policy (NPPF paragraph 145). |
| id14 | Supply of alternatives | 1 | Reflects support in national policy (NPPF |
| | to landwon primary | | paragraph 143) for the use of alternatives |
| | aggregate | | to primary land-won aggregate as well as |
| | - 499,094,0 | | comments received at first consultation |
| | | | |
| | | 2 | stage. |
| | | 2 | Reflects support in national policy (NPPF |
| | | | paragraph 143) for the use of recycled |
| | | | aggregate as an alternative to primary |
| | | | land-won aggregate as well as |

| | | | comments received at first consultation stage. |
|------|---|---|--|
| id15 | Continuity of supply of silica sand | 1 | Reflects national policy (NPPF paragraph 146) relating to supply of silica sand. |
| | | 2 | Reflects the substantial constraints which may impact on further development of the Blubberhouses quarry site as well as views received at first consultation stage. |
| | | 3 | Represents a more flexible approach. |
| id16 | Silica sand resources safeguarding | 1 | Reflects evidence on safeguarding of sand and gravel resources in the Plan area undertaken by BGS (2011) for NYCC, including views from local industry consultation. |
| | | 2 | Reflects a more limited approach to safeguarding taking into account evidence about the likely extent of future working of silica sand during the plan period. |
| | | 3 | Reflects national policy constraints (NPPF paragraph 144) on mineral working in highly sensitive locations. |
| | | 4 | Would introduce flexibility to help ensure safeguarding of resources not identified earlier in plan preparation. |
| id17 | Continuity of supply of clay | 1 | Reflects a more specific approach to provision of clay in line with national policy (NPPF paragraph 146) and industry evidence on potential future requirements. |
| | | 2 | Represents a more flexible approach to meeting future requirements. |
| | | 3 | Provides additional flexibility in the supply of clay. |
| id18 | Incidental working of clay in association with | 1 | Reflects a flexible approach to the working of clay. |
| | other minerals | 2 | Represents a more restrictive approach which may help support the use of alternatives to primary minerals, in line with national policy (NPPF paragraph 143). |
| id19 | Clay resources safeguarding | 1 | Reflects evidence on safeguarding of sand and gravel resources in the Plan area undertaken by BGS (2011) for NYCC, including views from local industry consultation. |
| | | 2 | Reflects a more limited approach to safeguarding taking into account evidence about the likely extent of future |

| | 1 | Г | |
|------|-------------------------|---|--|
| | | | safeguarding taking into account the very |
| | | | limited extend of working relative to the extent of the resource. |
| | | 4 | Would introduce flexibility to help ensure |
| | | 4 | safeguarding of resources not identified |
| | | | |
| id23 | Overall enstial entions | 1 | earlier in plan preparation. |
| 1023 | Overall spatial options | | Gives a high degree of emphasis to |
| | for oil and gas | | national policy (NPPF paragraph 115) |
| | | | protection to national park and AONBs in |
| | | | relation to mineral working taking into account evidence on the distribution of |
| | | | |
| | | | areas currently licensed by Government |
| | | | for exploration, production and |
| | | | development. Also reflects some views |
| | | 0 | expressed at first consultation stage. |
| | | 2 | Reflects a more flexible approach whilst |
| | | | also acknowledging national policy on |
| | | | minerals development in sensitive locations. |
| | | 3 | Takes into account evidence that |
| | | 3 | |
| | | | suggests there may be potential for some |
| | | | flexibility in the location of processing |
| | | | facilities for oil and gas whereas there |
| | | | may be less flexibility over locations for |
| | | | exploration, appraisal and production. |
| | | | Also reflects NPPF (paragraph 115) |
| | | | policy protecting national parks and |
| :404 | Co. ordination of goo | 1 | AONBs from mineral working. |
| id24 | Co-ordination of gas | 1 | Reflects acknowledged potential in the |
| | extraction and | | Plan area for shared use of existing gas |
| | processing | | processing infrastructure (eg as |
| | | | evidenced by recent planning |
| | | | applications) and promotes this for |
| | | | sustainability reasons. Also reflects some views received at first |
| | | | |
| | | 2 | consultations stage. |
| | | 2 | Represents a more flexible approach and |
| | | | also reflects other views received at first |
| :405 | Can davalar marta | 4 | consultation stage. |
| id25 | Gas developments | 1 | Reflects the fact that minerals can only |
| | (Exploration and | | be worked where they occur as well as |
| | Appraisal) | | the national policy requirement (NPPF |
| | | | paragraph 115) to protect important |
| idae | Coo dovolonmente | 1 | assets. |
| id26 | Gas developments | 1 | Reflects an approach to locating |
| | (Production and | | production and processing facilities in the |
| | Processing | | types of sites and in locations broadly in |
| | | | line with the NPPF eg. paragraphs 17 |
| | | | and 97. |

| | | 2 | Provides additional locational flexibility. |
|------|---|--|---|
| id27 | Coal Mine Methane | 1 | Reflects evidence on current known locations for utilisation of coal mine methane. |
| | | 2 | Represents a more flexible approach taking into account objectives of national policy (NPPF paragraph 147). |
| | | Potential option not taken forward | Inclusion of a presumption against extraction of CMM was suggested at first consultation stage. An option for this has not been included as it would not be in line with national policy (NPPF paragraph |
| | | | 147) and guidance. |
| id28 | Coal Bed Methane, Underground Coal Gasification, Shale gas and Carbon and Gas storage | 1 | Reflects national policy (NPPF paragraph 147 and paragraph 5 of Planning practice guidance for onshore oil and gas (DCLG 2013)) supporting the principle of these forms of development whilst reflecting limited availability of evidence on the potential scale and nature of any impacts on the Plan area. |
| | | 2 | Reflects a precautionary approach taking into account limited availability of evidence on the potential scale and nature of any impacts on the Plan area, as well as some views received at first consultation stage. |
| | | 3 | Represents an extension of the precautionary approach in Option 1 by setting out setting out further requirements for applicants to avoid sensitive locations in identifying proposed locations for these forms of development. |
| id29 | Continuity of supply of deep coal | 1 | Reflects evidence on the potential for working of resources adjacent to the current permitted working area for Kellingley Colliery in order to secure efficient overall working of coal resources and provides flexibility for this (NPPF paragraph 147). |
| | | 2 | Represents a more restricted approach taking into account the presence of substantial remaining reserves of coal within the existing permitted area. |
| | | Potential option not taken | Inclusion of a presumption against extraction of coal was suggested at first consultation stage. An option for this has not been included as it would not be in |

| | | forward | line with national policy (NPPF paragraph 149). |
|------|--------------------------------|---------|---|
| id30 | Shallow coal | 1 | Reflects a restrictive approach to development taking onto account the absence of evidence of commercial interest in the development of shallow coal resources in the Plan area. |
| | | 2 | Provides more flexibility taking into account the requirements of national policy (NPPF paragraph 149). |
| id31 | Safeguarding shallow coal | 1 | Reflects evidence on safeguarding of shallow coal resources in the Plan area undertaken by BGS (2011) for NYCC and the North York Moors National Park area (2013), including views from local industry consultation. |
| | | 2 | Reflects a more limited approach to safeguarding taking into account the current absence of interest in the development of shallow coal resources in the area. |
| | | 3 | Reflects national policy constraints on mineral working in highly sensitive locations. |
| id32 | id32 Safeguarding deep coal | 1 | Reflects national policy and the generic approach to safeguarding of sand and gravel set out in National mineral safeguarding in England: good practice advice (BGS 2011), which do not require safeguarding of deep coal. |
| | | 2 | Reflects evidence on safeguarding of deep coal resources in the Plan area undertaken by BGS (2011 and 2013), including views from local industry consultation. |
| | | 3 | Represents a potential alternative approach taking into account the absence of evidence of interest in development of new underground coal mining areas as well as the presence of areas licensed by the Coal Authority for working. |
| | | 4 | Represents a further potential alternative approach taking into account the absence of evidence of interest in development of new underground coal mining areas as well as the existence of permitted but as yet unworked reserves for Kellingley Colliery. |

| | | | Deflecte eduice from DOO (() |
|------|----------------------|-----------|--|
| | | 5 | Reflects advice from BGS to the |
| | | | Authorities on the potential for proximal |
| | | | sterilisation of deep resources. |
| id33 | Disposal of colliery | 1 | Reflects evidence on current and recent |
| | spoil | | disposal arrangements including current |
| | | | proposals for increased capacity at the |
| | | | Womersely disposal site (NPPF |
| | | | paragraph 147). |
| | | 2 | Provides more flexibility for the |
| | | | development of alternative solutions for |
| | | | the management of spoil from Kellingley |
| | | | Colliery taking into account likely |
| | | | capacity limitations at the Womersley site |
| | | | over the life of the Plan (NPPF paragraph |
| | | | 147). |
| | | Potential | The potential for backfilling spoil within |
| | | option | the Kellingley Colliery mine workings was |
| | | not | suggested at first consultation stage but |
| | | taken | has not been taken forward as an option |
| | | forward | at this stage as it is unlikely to be |
| | | | practicable. |
| id34 | Potash supply | 1 | Reflects a balanced approach taking into |
| | | | account evidence on the limited |
| | | | distribution of potash in England and the |
| | | | potential significance of maintaining an |
| | | | indigenous potash supply. |
| | | 2 | Represents a more positive approach to |
| | | | development of potash resources taking |
| | | | into account current commercial interest |
| | | | in developing an additional source of |
| | | | potash supply. |
| | | 3 | Reflects national policy (NPPF paragraph |
| | | | 115 and 144) constraints on minerals |
| | | | development within the National Park as |
| | | | well as some responses received at first |
| | | | consultation stage. |
| | | 4 | Reflects a balanced approach taking into |
| | | | account the nature of development of |
| | | | potash resources and evidence |
| | | | suggesting that potash resources are |
| | | | located both within and outside the |
| | | | National Park. |
| id35 | Safeguarding potash | 1 | Reflects a targeted approach to |
| | | | safeguarding taking into account the |
| | | | potential significance of potash resources |
| | | | and the absence of any national policy |
| | | | requirement to safeguard deep minerals |
| | | | resources. |
| | | 2 | Represents a more cautious approach to |
| | 1 | | |

| | | | protection of the resource. |
|------|---------------------|----------|--|
| id36 | Supply of gypsum | 1 | Reflects national policy (NPPF paragraph 143) relating to the supply of minerals of local and national importance. |
| | | 2 | Reflects the absence of recent or current |
| | | 2 | commercial interest in the development |
| | | | of gypsum resources in the Plan area. |
| | | 3 | Reflects support in national policy (NPPF |
| | | 5 | paragraph 143 and PPS10) for the use of |
| | | | alternatives to primary minerals and the |
| | | | efficient use of resources. |
| | | 4 | Reflects the limited ability of the Plan to |
| | | • | influence this matter. |
| id37 | Gypsum safeguarding | 1 | Reflects evidence relating to former |
| 1007 | Cypean ealegaarang | | gypsum working in the Plan area and a |
| | | | cautious approach to safeguarding the |
| | | | resource. |
| | | 2 | Reflects evidence on safeguarding of |
| | | | gypsum in the Plan area undertaken by |
| | | | BGS (2011) for NYCC and limited |
| | | | knowledge of the distribution of |
| | | | potentially viable resources. |
| id38 | Safeguarding deep | 1 | Represents a balanced approach |
| | mineral resources | | between protecting different deep |
| | | | mineral resources. |
| | | 2 | Reflects a view expressed during initial |
| | | | consultation on the Plan. |
| id39 | Supply of vein | 1 | Reflects a balanced approach to the |
| | minerals | | development of vein minerals taking into |
| | | | account that national policy (NPPF |
| | | | paragraph 143) indicates that vein |
| | | | minerals are of national and local |
| | | | importance, as well as evidence on the association between distribution of vein |
| | | | minerals in the Plan area and important |
| | | | environmental assets. |
| | | 2 | Reflects the lack of evidence of recent or |
| | | <u> </u> | current commercial interest in the |
| | | | development of vein mineral resources in |
| | | | the area. |
| id40 | Safeguarding vein | 1 | Represents a relatively cautious |
| | minerals | | approach to safeguarding vein minerals |
| | | | taking into account the very limited |
| | | | information that exists on the distribution |
| | | | of any potentially viable resources in the |
| | | | Plan area. |
| | | 2 | Reflects the absence of recent or current |
| | | | commercial interest in the development |
| | | | of vein minerals in the Plan area. |

| id41 | Borrow pits | 1 | Provides a positive approach to the use of borrow pits taking into account evidence on the previous role they have played in contributing to minerals supply in the Plan area. |
|------|-------------|---|--|
| | | 2 | Reflects a more 'plan led' approach to help ensure that borrow pits are only used where they would not compromise the overall minerals supply objectives in the Plan. |

| DERI | DERIVATION OF POLICY OPTIONS - SUMMARY TABLE | | | |
|------|---|--------|--|--|
| | Chapter 6 - Waste | | | |
| id | Issue | Option | Derivation of Option | |
| id42 | Overall approach to the waste hierarchy | 1 | Reflects a balanced approach to moving waste up the hierarchy taking into account the objectives of national policy (PPS10 paragraph 3). | |
| | | 2 | Reflects recent Government objective (eg as expressed in the national Waste Policy Review 2011) to move towards a 'zero waste' economy by supporting the management of waste at the highest practicable level of the waste hierarchy. | |
| | | 3 | Reflects a more flexible approach to moving waste up the hierarchy, including provision of support for landfill of non-inert waste in certain circumstances. | |
| id43 | Strategic role of the Plan area in the management of waste | 1 | Reflects PPS10 (paragraph 3) objectives of community responsibility in the management of waste and the provision of opportunities for the management of waste at the nearest appropriate installation, whilst also acknowledging that specialised needs may have to be met elsewhere. Also reflects some consultation responses received at first consultation stage. | |
| | | 2 | Reflects an approach which places greater emphasis on the wider operation of waste markets and economics taking into account evidence (eg North Yorkshire Sub-region: Waste Arisings and Capacity Requirements study October 2013) on currents patterns of waste management and movement of waste. Also reflects some consultation responses received at first consultation stage. | |
| | | 3 | Reflects information on the current and expected future arrangements for | |

| | | | management of waste arising in the Yorkshire Dales National Park taking into account available evidence (eg North Yorkshire Sub- region: Waste Arisings and Capacity Requirements study October 2013). |
|------|--|---|---|
| id44 | Meeting waste management capacity requirements - Local Authority Collected Waste | 1 | Reflects available information on future capacity and infrastructure needs for management of LACW at the time of development of options (eg North Yorkshire Sub-region: Waste Arisings and Capacity Requirements study October 2013 and information provided directly by Waste Management Authorities in the Plan area). |
| | | 2 | Represents a potentially more flexible approach to provision of new capacity and infrastructure for the management of LACW, reflecting a range of uncertainties about the future position. |
| id45 | Meeting waste management capacity requirements - Commercial and Industrial waste | 1 | Reflects available information on future capacity and infrastructure needs for management of Commercial and Industrial waste at the time of development of options (eg North Yorkshire Sub-region: Waste Arisings and Capacity Requirements study October 2013). Also reflects a national policy objective (PPS10 paragraph 3) for communities to take responsibility for management of waste. |
| | | 2 | Provides additional recognition of the national objective for certain wastes to be managed at the nearest appropriate installation and taking into account existing evidence (eg North Yorkshire Sub-region: Waste Arisings and Capacity Requirements study October 2013) on cross-boundary movements of C&I waste. |
| id46 | Meeting waste management capacity requirements - Construction, Demolition and Excavation waste | 1 | Reflects available information on future capacity and infrastructure needs for management of Construction, Demolition and Excavation waste at the time of development of options (eg North Yorkshire Sub-region: Waste Arisings and Capacity Requirements study October 2013). Also reflects a national policy objective (PPS10 paragraph 3) for communities to take responsibility for management of waste. |
| | | 2 | Provides additional recognition of the role that disposal of inert CD&E waste, including imported CD&E waste, can play in the reclamation of mineral workings in the Plan area. |

| id47 | Managing Agricultural waste | 1 | Reflects a national policy objective (PPS10 |
|------|------------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | paragraph 3) for communities to take |
| | | | responsibility for management of waste. |
| | | 2 | Gives additional recognition of current |
| | | | Government support for Anaerobic Digestion |
| | | | of waste (eg national Anaerobic Digestion |
| | | | Strategy 2011) and also reflects responses |
| | | | received at first consultation stage. |
| id48 | Managing Low | 1 | Reflects available evidence that LLRW is |
| | Level (Non-Nuclear) | - | currently managed outside the Plan area (eg |
| | Radioactive waste | | North Yorkshire Sub-region: Waste Arisings |
| | | | and Capacity Requirements study October |
| | | | 2013). |
| | | 2 | , |
| | | 2 | Gives additional recognition to national policy |
| | | | (PPS10 paragraph 3) encouraging |
| | | | communities to take responsibility for |
| | | | management of waste and promotes waste |
| | | | management further up the waste hierarchy. |
| id49 | Managing Waste | 1 | Reflects the expected priority afforded by |
| | Water (sewage | | waste water infrastructure providers to the |
| | sludge) | | expansion of capacity at existing facilities. |
| | | 2 | Provides additional flexibility for the provision |
| | | | of capacity at new locations. |
| id50 | Managing Power | 1 | Reflects national policy (NPPF paragraph |
| | Station Ash | - | 143) supporting the use of alternatives to |
| | | | primary minerals and national policy (PPS10 |
| | | | paragraph 3) seeking management of waste |
| | | | further up the hierarchy, as well as available |
| | | | information on the current and expected |
| | | | |
| | | | future disposal arrangements for power |
| | | | station ash. |
| id51 | Overall locational | 1 | Represents a flexible approach to the locating |
| | principles for | | of new waste management capacity. |
| | provision of new waste capacity | 2 | Provides a degree of flexibility whilst seeking |
| | | | to encourage the management of waste at |
| | | | locations near to where waste arises, |
| | | | reflecting national policy (PPS10 paragraph |
| | | | 3) for community responsibility in the |
| | | | management of waste, as well as views |
| | | | expressed during earlier consultation. |
| | | 3 | Reflects evidence (eg. North Yorkshire Sub- |
| | | | region: Waste Arisings and Capacity |
| | | | Requirements study October 2013) that |
| | | | · · · · · |
| | | | waste management facilities often operate as |
| | | | part of a network of facilities, as well as views |
| | | | expressed during earlier consultation. |
| | | 4 | Reflects national policy constraints (eg. NPPF |
| | | | paragraph 115) on development in National |
| | | | Parks and Areas of Outstanding Natural |

Derivation of policy options at issues and options stage

| | | | Beauty. |
|---|---|---|---|
| id52 Waste site identification principles | 1 | Recognises that national policy exists (eg. PPS10 paragraphs 20 and 21) relating to identification of sites for waste infrastructure. | |
| | | 2 | Reflects a more local approach taking into account national policy objectives and views expressed during earlier consultation. |
| | Waste management facility safeguarding | 1 | Reflects a specific local approach to protection of waste management infrastructure from other forms of development. |
| | | 2 | Recognises that national policy (PPS10 paragraph 33) exists relating to protection of waste management infrastructure from other forms of development. |

| DERI | DERIVATION OF POLICY OPTIONS - SUMMARY TABLE | | | | |
|------|---|--------|---|--|--|
| Chap | Chapter 7 – Transport and other Infrastructure | | | | |
| id | Issue | Option | Derivation of Option | | |
| id54 | Transport Infrastructure | 1 | Reflects national policy (NPPF paragraph 30 and 35) seeking modal shift in transport, as well as responses to earlier consultation. | | |
| | | 2 | Reflects the outcome of initial SA of options that modal shift should be supported where it would be beneficial in terms of carbon emissions. | | |
| id55 | Transport Infrastructure Safeguarding | 1 | Reflects national policy (NPPF paragraph 143) relating to safeguarding of minerals transport infrastructure. | | |
| | | 2 | Reflects that facilities which are in current use are more likely to be viable for such use over the plan period and therefore be more appropriate for safeguarding. | | |
| | | 3 | Represents an alternative approach to identification of facilities which may be appropriate for safeguarding. | | |
| id56 | Locations for ancillary minerals infrastructure | 1 | Reflects the general advice on the locating if development in the NPPF (eg. Paragraph 17 and 111) and is generally in line with responses to earlier consultation. | | |
| | | 2 | Reflects national policy constraints (NPPF paragraph 116) on development in national parks and AONBs. | | |
| | | 3 | Provides increased flexibility in the locating of ancillary minerals infrastructure and is generally in line with responses to earlier consultation. | | |
| | | 4 | Provides increased flexibility whilst | | |

Derivation of policy options at issues and options stage

| | | | acknowledging national policy constraints (NPPF paragraph 116) on development in national parks and AONBs. |
|------|--|---|--|
| id57 | Minerals ancillary infrastructure safeguarding | 1 | Reflects national policy (NPPF paragraph 143) relating to safeguarding of minerals ancillary infrastructure. |
| | | 2 | Represents a more targeted approach to safeguarding whilst taking into account national policy (NPPF paragraph 143) relating to safeguarding of minerals ancillary infrastructure. |
| | | 3 | Represents an alternative approach to identification of facilities which may be appropriate for safeguarding. |
| | | 4 | Reflects a more flexible approach to safeguarding taking into account the potential for re-location and/or the benefits of other forms of development. |

| DERI | DERIVATION OF POLICY OPTIONS - SUMMARY TABLE | | | | |
|------|--|--------|---|--|--|
| Chap | Chapter 8 – Development Management | | | | |
| id | Issue | Option | Derivation of Option | | |
| id58 | Presumption in favour of | 1 | Directly reflects the presumption in the NPPF paragraph 14. | | |
| | Sustainable development | 2 | Reflects the presumption in the NPPF (paragraph 14) whilst also reflecting an important aspect of the draft vision for the Joint Plan. | | |
| | | 3 | Reflects the presumption in the NPPF (paragraph 14) whilst also reflecting important characteristics of the Joint plan area. | | |
| id59 | Local amenity and cumulative impacts | 1 | Reflects the main objectives of national policy (NPPF paragraph 143 and PPS10 eg. paragraph 21 and Annexe E locational criteria) in terms of protection of local amenity. | | |
| | | 2 | Gives additional priority to locally expressed priorities for community engagement in planning for minerals and waste, in line with views expressed during earlier consultation. | | |
| id60 | Transport of minerals and waste and associated | 1 | Reflects national policy (NPPF paragraphs 30 and 35 and PPS paragraph 21) objective to encourage modal shift in transport. | | |
| | traffic impacts | 2 | Recognises likely constraints in the Plan area to the delivery of modal shift and continued reliance on road transport for the majority of minerals and waste movements. | | |

| | 1 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| | | 3 | Reflects key access and transport |
| | | | considerations associated with minerals and |
| | | | waste in line with national policy (NPPF |
| | | | paragraph 143 and PPS10 paragraph 21 |
| | | | and Annexe E) and good practice. |
| id61 | North York Moors | 1 | Reflects national policy (NPPF paragraph |
| | National Park and | - | 115 and 144) on development in National |
| | the AONBs | | Parks and AONBs. |
| | | 2 | Reflects an alternative approach consistent |
| | | 2 | with national policy (NPPF paragraph 115) |
| | | | but adding local criteria specific to the Plan |
| | | | area. |
| | | 3 | |
| | | | Supports the local delivery of national policy (NPPF paragraph 115). |
| id62 | Minerals and waste | 1 | Reflects national policy (NPPF paragraph |
| | development in the | | 90 and PPS10 paragraph 3) on green belt. |
| | Green Belt | 2 | Represents a more flexible approach taking |
| | | | into account circumstances in the Plan area |
| | | | including the presence of existing waste |
| | | | infrastructure in the Green Belt. |
| | | 3 | Represents an alternative approach |
| | | | providing more limited flexibility for waste |
| | | | development in the Green Belt. |
| id63 | Landscape | 1 | Reflects a local approach taking into |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | account evidence (eg NYCC Managing |
| | | | Landscape Change project, 2012) on the |
| | | | benefits of building links between landscape |
| | | | and other environmental assets, as well as |
| | | | responses to earlier consultation. |
| | | 2 | Reflects national policy (NPPF). |
| id64 | Biodiversity and | 1 | Reflects national policy (NPPF). |
| 1004 | - | 2 | |
| | Geodiversity | 2 | Builds on national policy (eg. NPPF |
| | | | paragraphs 114 and 117) by encouraging |
| | | | opportunities for delivery of local priorities |
| | | | and objectives for biodiversity and |
| | | | geodiversity. Also reflects responses to |
| | | | earlier consultation. |
| | | 3 | Additionally reflects recent potential for |
| | | | biodiversity offsetting (DEFRA 2013). |
| | | 4 | Represents an alternative local approach to |
| | | | offsetting. |
| id65 | Historic environment | 1 | Reflects national policy (NPPF). |
| | | 2 | Represents an alternative approach, |
| | | | building on national policy (eg NPPF |
| | | | paragraphs 126 and 137) by reflecting more |
| | | | local considerations including proximity |
| | | | between minerals resource areas and |
| | | | heritage assets (eg as also evidenced by |
| | | | the NYCC Managing Landscape Change |
| | | | The Mitor Managing Lanuscape Charlye |

| | | | project 2012) |
|-------|------------------------|------------|---|
| | | - | project, 2012). |
| | | 3 | Additionally reflects the significance of York |
| | | | in the Plan area and the potential benefits of |
| | | | protecting its setting, which is not currently |
| | | | protected from development taking place |
| | | | outside York. |
| id66 | Water environment | 1 | Reflects national policy (NPPF paragraph |
| | | | 99 and 100). |
| | | 2 | Represents an alternative local approach |
| | | | taking into account national policy objectives |
| | | | for the water environment. |
| id67 | Strategic approach | 1 | Reflects national policy and good practice |
| | to reclamation and | - | advice on reclamation and afteruse, |
| | afteruse | | including local evidence (NYCC Managing |
| | | | Landscape Change project, 2012). |
| | | 2 | Represents a more targeted approach to |
| | | <u> </u> | delivery of opportunities through reclamation |
| | | | |
| | | | taking into account other agreed objectives |
| | | Deterritet | and priorities in the area. |
| | | Potential | An option of requiring minerals sites to be |
| | | option | restored to their former state has not been |
| | | not | included as it is not considered to be |
| | | taken | practicable in many instances. |
| | | forward | |
| id68 | Sustainable design, | 1 | Reflects national policy (NPPF paragraphs |
| | construction and | | 56 to 59 and paragraph 59 and PPS10 |
| | operation of | | paragraphs 3, 35 and 36) and good |
| | development | | practice, as well as responses to earlier |
| | | | consultation. |
| | | 2 | Reflects additional criteria, generally in line |
| | | | with national policy (eg PPS10 paragraph |
| | | | 35) and also reflects the fact that the Plan |
| | | | area comprises single tier and two tier |
| | | | planning structures. Also reflects responses |
| | | | to earlier consultation. |
| id69 | Other key criteria for | 1 | Reflects a local approach to key relevant |
| 1003 | minerals and waste | | planning criteria not addressed under other |
| | development | | |
| | | 2 | topic headings. |
| | | <u> ۲</u> | Represents an alternative approach to other |
| | | | key criteria, relying on relevant national |
| . 170 | | | policy. |
| id70 | Developments | 1 | Sets out local criteria to guide the approach |
| | proposed within | | to applications for development in minerals |
| | Mineral | | safeguarding areas. |
| | Safeguarding Areas | 2 | Additionally identifies development types |
| | | | that could be exempt from safeguarding. |
| | | 3 | Identifies additional safeguarding criteria |
| | | | relevant to underground mineral resources. |
| | | 4 | Represents an alternative approach to non- |
| I | 1 | 1 | |

| | | | mineral development in areas with underground coal resources taking into account the statutory role of the Coal Authority. |
|------|---|---|---|
| id71 | Consideration of applications in minerals safeguarding areas | 1 | Provides clarity on the approach to consultation in safeguarding areas, in line with BGS good practice advice on mineral safeguarding (BGS 2011). |
| id72 | Coal mining legacy | 1 | Reflects a local approach to addressing coal mining legacy issues in new development proposals, taking into account responses to earlier consultation. |
| | | 2 | Represents an alternative approach relying on national policy and the statutory role of the Coal Authority. |
| | | 3 | Represents a further alternative approach to coal mining legacy issues taking into account the wider planning responsibilities of unitary and lower tier planning authorities in the Plan area. |

AONB - Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

BGS - British Geological Survey

CD&E - Construction, Demolition and Excavation waste

C&I - Commercial and Industrial waste

DCLG - Department of Communities and Local Government

LACW - Local Authority Collected Waste

LLRW - Low Level Radioactive Waste

MPA - Mineral Planning Authority

NP - National Park

NPPF - National Planning Policy Framework

NYCC - North Yorkshire County Council

NY LAA - North Yorkshire Local Aggregate Assessment

PPS10 - Planning Policy Statement 10

SA - Sustainability Appraisal

Contact us

Minerals and Waste Joint Plan, Planning Services, North Yorkshire County Council, County Hall, Northallerton, North Yorkshire, DL7 8AH

Tel: 0845 8727374 Email: mwjointplan@northyorks.gov.uk

If you would like this information in another language or format such as Braille, large print or audio, please ask us.

?

ا اگرآ پ کومعلومات کسی دیگرزبان یا دیگر شکل میں درکار ہوں تو برائے مہر پانی ہم ہے یو چھتے ۔

如歐索取以另一語文印製或另一格式製作的資料。請與我們聯絡。

যদি আপনি এই ডকুমেন্ট অন্য ভাষায় বা ফরমেটে চান, তাহলে দয়া করে আমাদেরকে বলুন।

Aby otrzymać te informacje w innym języku lub formacie, np. w alfabecie brajla, w wersji dużym drukiem lub audió, prosimy się z nami skontaktować.

Tel: 01609 532917 Email: communications@northyorks.gov.uk