

Evidence for decision not to impact assess

Policy/Function/Service	Household Waste Recycling Centres – construction and demolition material – non household waste
Summary aims of the Policy/Function/Service <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Why is it needed? • What does the authority hope to achieve by it? • How will it be ensured that it works as intended? 	<p>Provision of sites where residents can deposit their household waste.</p> <p>Proposals aim to reduce net costs by at least £240k by reducing the cost of disposal of relevant material.</p> <p>Consultation process will be commenced 14/10/13. Discussions with operators will be undertaken to ensure the most effective and efficient implementation of changes should they occur. Options include charging for all, or elements of, construction and demolition material, or by NYCC or the contractor, or not allowing this non household waste to be deposited.</p>
Target beneficiaries for the Policy/Function/Service (identify relevant protected characteristics)	The targeted beneficiaries are users of HWRCs to dispose of the material in question. There are relevant protected characteristics in relation to the provision of this service.
Stakeholders	Users of the service and operators / contractors.
Relevance assessment	Include summary detail (bullet points)
Does this Policy/Function/Service deliver on objectives set out in a more strategic Policy/Function/Service? If so, what were the findings of the EIA for the more strategic function?	No statutory obligation exists to dispose of construction and demolition material. No significant impact on more strategic policies.
Does the policy affect service users, employees or the wider community?	The policy affects HWRC service users. The Council policy is currently to allow limited free access for disposal of soil and rubble (two car boot fulls per week). This waste is not household waste and therefore there is no requirement to accept any of it free of charge.
Is it a major policy, with a significant effect on how functions	No

Evidence for decision not to impact assess

<p>are delivered?</p>	
<p>Will it have a significant effect on how other organisations operate? (for example, partners, funding criteria, etc).</p>	<p>It will impact on HWRC operators with which discussions are underway and will be part of the consultation process. Should the proposals proceed discussions will include how operators manage the change and on-going operations.</p>
<p>Does it involve a significant commitment of resources?</p>	<p>There will be some resources needed to manage the consultation and implement the changes but this will largely be officer time and can be provided from existing staff capacity without significant impact on other public facing workstreams.</p>
<p>Does it relate to an area where there are known inequalities (for example, disabled people's access to public transport)</p>	<p>No, there are no known inequalities in relation to HWRC operations.</p>
<p>Are any equality and diversity issues relevant to this policy/function/service? Include a short explanation of your assessment. Reference data/evidence used in this assessment (hyperlinks/attachments)¹</p>	
<p>No equality and diversity issues have been identified as a result of the changes outlined in the proposal.</p> <p>There is established practice locally and nationally to limit or charge for certain materials such as soil and rubble or commercial waste. Such schemes are operated on the basis that these materials are not household waste and accepting them falls outside the statutory duty of the Council as a Waste Disposal Authority. As a discretionary service, a charge or limitation can legitimately be made.</p> <p>The policy will be reviewed regularly and any issues identified that impact in relation to protected characteristics will be considered as part of this review.</p>	

¹ What aspects are particularly relevant to equality? Does this only relate to certain equality strands e.g. disability?

Evidence for decision not to impact assess

Full equality impact assessment required?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Relevance to equality and diversity	High <input type="checkbox"/>	Medium <input type="checkbox"/>	Low <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Priority	High <input type="checkbox"/>	Medium <input type="checkbox"/>	Low <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Assessment made by²:	Trevor Clilverd		
Job title	Assistant Director, Strategic Resources		
Approved by³:	[REDACTED] Ian Fielding		
Job title	Assistant Director Waste and Countryside Services		
Date:	09/10/13		

² Head of service or equivalent

³ AD or equivalent