There are no areas of piecemeal enclosure within the current landscape of the parish, although there are some areas that have seen boundary loss and which have been characterised as modern improved fields.

There is no act of parliament for the enclosure of the parish of Scrayingham, there are however extensive areas of planned enclosure which are broadly contemporary, dating between AD 1750 and 1850. An example of this is the fieldscape that surrounds Plaster Pits (SE 743612), which comprises regular, medium-sized fields defined by straight hedges. One of the interesting aspects of this field system is the fact that it is defined on three external sides by shelter-belt-style plantation woodland.

There are six areas of woodland of a size large enough to be characterised by the project, see Figure 201. The oldest of these is Leppington Wood which lies to the north east of the village, see Figure 202. Consisting of non-coniferous species defined externally by erratic hedges, this is an area of ancient woodland which dates before AD 1600. With very little change since the first edition six inch County Series Ordnance Survey mapping (1846-63), this is an excellent example of where the natural environment can be understood in terms of human activity. The woodland is defined by a watercourse that runs to the north and east. To the west, between the village and the woodland, is an area of enclosed strip fields, and from its form, the woodland appears to pre-date these.

Figure 202  Leppington Wood, to the north east of Leppington village, also showing areas of enclosed strip fields

High Resolution Aerial Imagery of the UK © ukperspectives.com 2003
7.2 Analysis of the Birdsall Estate

The aim of this section is to focus on one area in some detail, and show how the information recorded by the HLC project can be utilised in the day to day work of a local authority historic environment team. The guidance for the completion of farm environment plans, as part of the higher level stewardship agri-environment schemes promoted by Natural England, makes explicit reference to the use of HLC data, where available\textsuperscript{159}. Early on in the North Yorkshire and Lower Tees Valley HLC project, North Yorkshire County Council’s historic environment team received a request for information for a large-scale farm environment plan related to the Birdsall Estate. This provided an opportunity for this area to be characterised in order to assist with the stewardship scheme. As such, as a landscape with a very different character to the other pilot areas characterised at the beginning of the project, this work was done before the HLC methodology was finalised. As a result, there are a number of areas characterised which are less than two hectares in extent. Once the methodology was formalised, areas below two hectares were not characterised individually for the remainder of the project area. As the estate holdings straddle a number of areas with different geologies, the estate area also enables discussion of the roles that geology and topography play in the historic character of a landscape.

Covering 2,908 hectares, the Birdsall Estate lies on the northern edge of the Yorkshire Wolds, see Figure 203. The southern half of the estate sits on white chalk deposits, whilst to the north there are deposits of Corrallian material, consisting of mudstone, limestone, siltstone and sandstone. At SE 842660, there is an outcrop of white chalk with a band of grey chalk defining the outer edge. This is surrounded by deposits from the West Walton formation, Kimmeridge Clay and Ampthill Clay (WWKCAC) deposits, see Figure 205. This geology influences the topography of the

\textsuperscript{159} Natural England 2010a and 2010b
area, with the mudstone, sandstone and siltstone of the WWKCAC deposits defining the scarp slope and the ground rises up at the point of the outcrop of white and grey chalk. There are a number of small, steep valleys running into this scarp, which form significant features in the physical character of the landscape, see Figure 206.

© Crown Copyright. All rights reserved. North Yorkshire County Council. 100017946 2010

**Figure 204**  *The historic landscape character of the Birdsall Estate mapped by broad type*

Enclosed land dominates the historic landscape character of the Birdsall Estate, accounting for 2,503 hectares or 86% of the area, see Figure 204. However, there is wide variation within the character of this enclosure. There have been 20th-century changes to the fieldscape, particularly on the lower-lying sedimentary geology. There are two areas of modern improved fields found on the higher, white chalk series, accounting for 450 hectares, where boundaries have been lost. The highest concentration of large, planned fields is in the northern part of the estate, around Dale Bottom (SE 825670). These changes in the type of enclosure reflect changes in the management of the landscape and changes in agricultural practice.
Figure 205  The geology of the Birdsall Estate

Figure 206  Contours of the land in the Birdsall Estate
7.3 Potential areas for further research

Whilst the project has carried out a fairly intensive study of the whole project area, it is evident that the characterisation has thrown up as many questions as it has answers. This section will outline some of the potential areas for future study which could be taken forward on the basis of the HLC work undertaken to date.

Settlements

With the exception of the urban settlement areas of the Lower Tees Valley, a relatively broad-brush approach has been taken in relation to the characterisation of the settlements throughout the rest of the project area. This is an area which would benefit from further detailed study, for example along the lines of the extensive urban survey programme sponsored by English Heritage. There is also scope for further detailed analysis of village origins, morphology and development.

Planned enclosure

A large number of areas were characterised as post-medieval planned enclosure during the course of this project. However, as it has been beyond the remit of the project to pursue additional sources to those outlined in Section 3, it has not been possible to determine, in more detail, the origin of these areas. For example, there is potential for further research to identify whether these enclosures are as a result of private agreement or individual landowners, through investigation of tithe maps, enclosure awards and other archives.

Such an approach would be particularly beneficial within the area of the Nidderdale Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. As identified in Section 5.1.2, following analysis of the characterisation results for the AONB, it has emerged that there appears to have been a particular historic process occurring in the latter half of the 19th century. Areas of piecemeal enclosure were having their erratic boundaries replaced with straight boundaries. Whether this is a result of an individual landowner, or other groups within the community, is unclear. This would require more detailed study of the archives for this area.

Transport networks

One of the key elements of the landscape that has fallen outside the remit of this HLC project is the road network. Roads contribute greatly to the historic character of the project area and there are several elements which have great antiquity, for example the Great North Road having its origins in at least the Roman period. Later networks, such as the railways and canal system, reflect the historic character of the post-medieval period. There is scope for these networks to be characterised, using a similar methodology to that developed for HLC, to further enhanced understanding of these networks.

Assarting

A number of areas characterised within the project demonstrate evidence of assarting. Further work into this historic character type needs to be twofold: both in relation to management and research.

160 http://www.english-heritage.org.uk/professional/research/landscapes-and-areas/characterisation/townscape-character/
Assarting is particularly vulnerable to losing its current historic landscape character through the removal of the small areas of fieldside woodland, which help to define it. This can be seen in the parish of Womersley in Selby District, for example, where a number of these small woodland areas have been removed since the mid 19th century. To maintain their historic landscape character, these areas require effective, proactive management, which has a joint natural environment and historic environment approach.

More research needs to be carried out to establish areas of assarting which may not have been identified during this project, due to either the broad scale of the study or woodland loss since the mid 19th century.

Extractive landscapes

One of the limitations of the HLC project has been the ability to characterise extraction, particularly where it is dispersed, due to the small-scale nature of the surviving evidence. Examples of this include the jet working in the North York Moors National Park, and the extensive small-scale quarrying found throughout the project area. More work could be done to characterise the landscapes of these industries, in particular to provide an historic narrative for current mineral extraction within the study area.

The influence of country estates

During the HLC project, characterisation of areas of designed landscapes and their surrounding areas has thrown up a number of questions as to the influences that large country houses and their estates had upon the surrounding landscape.
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Chart 39  Legibility of municipal designed landscapes within the project area, by number of areas

Chart 40  Legibility of municipal designed landscapes within the project area, by hectarage
Chart 41  Number of private designed landscapes within the project area, by HLC type

Chart 42  Status of private designed landscapes within the project area
Chart 43  Legibility of industrial areas within the project area

Chart 44  Number of areas recorded for each HLC type within the broad type industrial
**Chart 45**  
Relative areas of quarrying and mining, by hectarage, within the project area

**Chart 46**  
Relative numbers of areas of quarrying and mining, within the project area
Chart 47  Products of extractive landscapes within the project area

Chart 48  Previous character of extractive landscapes within the project area
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Chart 50  Legibility of lead mining within the project area
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Chart 52  Scale of lead mining within the project area
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Chart 54  Legibility of coal mining within the project area
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Chart 59  Legibility of communications areas within the project area
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Chart 62  Legibility of commercial areas within the project area
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Appendix B: Plates

Plate 1  Terracing of the first decades of the 20th century at King Edwards Square, Middlesbrough recently renovated as student housing by the University of Teesside

Plate 2  Inter war semi-detached housing at Gypsy Lane, Marton, Middlesbrough. Note the hipped roofs, bow windows, quoin stones and former recessed porches
Plate 3 Prefabricated houses such as this example at Kathleen Street, Hartlepool (demolished since HLC) were erected immediately after World War II.

Plate 4 Planned estates of the 1960s to 1990s dominate large areas of the Tees Valley.
Large apartment blocks replaced many institutional buildings such as Middlesbrough General Hospital in the early 2000s.

Early 20th-century planned estates are now undergoing clearance in many urban areas.
Plates 7 through to 28 are taken from the Geograph website: http://www.geograph.org.uk and licenced for reuse under the Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 2.0 Generic License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/2.0/ or send a letter to Creative Commons, 171 Second Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California, 94105, USA.
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Plate 7  Enclosed land at Harkerside Place

© Copyright DS Pugh and licensed for reuse under the Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 2.0 Generic License

Plate 8  Stainburn from Almscliffe, planned fields
Plate 9  Farmland off Nidd Road (SE 2959)

Plate 10  Enclosed strip fields
Plate 11  View from possible assarting into South Wood, an area of ancient woodland.

Plate 12  Landmoth Wood
Plate 13  Guisecliff Wood

Plate 14  Hovingham Wood ancient semi-natural woodland (restocked)
Plate 15  Entrance into Brafferton spring wood

Plate 16  Wharfedale near Skyreholme
Plate 17  A pond in the marsh of Seaton Snook

Plate 18  Houses on Devonshire Place Harrogate, part of the historic core of High Harrogate
Plate 19  Mallinson Lane / Leadhall Lane, Harrogate

Plate 20  Boulby alum works
Plate 21  Peak alum works

Plate 22  Entrance to Irton Manor
Plate 23  Drainage ditches defining a large arable field on the Humberhead Levels

Plate 24  17th-century house in Cawood
Plate 25  Gateforth village green

Plate 26  Gascoigne Wood mine
Plate 27          Drax power station

Plate 28          Gale Common ash disposal site
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