

Schedule of **Additional Changes** Publication Draft – Post hearing on 13.4.18 and 25 January 2019 and following consultation on Written Ministerial Statement and Select Committee Report – Produced 31st January 2019

Introduction

1. It has been accepted by the Inspector that the changes suggested in the “Addendum of Proposed Changes” (July 2017)(CD09) be treated as part of the Plan as submitted for examination, along with the Publication Draft and its Appendices (CD17-21).
2. The document sets out further modifications which have emerged since the addendum. The changes identified in this document include those identified in the “Schedule of Further Proposed changes to Publication Draft” (November 2017)(SD01), which were incorporated into “Suggested Main Modifications between Submission and MIQs” (February 2018)(LPA37). LPA37 also included amendments to Tables and other supporting text in the draft plan which arose from the document “Implication of any changes resulting from the North Yorkshire sub region LAA 2017 and Addendum of Proposed Changes to Publication Draft July 2017”(January 2018)(LPA06). Some further changes need to be made to those Tables and supporting text (see the Note LPA/68) and these are incorporated into this Schedule.
3. Also included in this Schedule are modifications identified in the Authorities responses to the MIQs and discussed at the examination hearings in spring 2018.
4. Two types of change/modification will be listed in these documents;
 - Additional Changes (AC) – this will include corrections to text, typographical errors and any changes which will not influence the policies in the Plan
 - Main Modifications (MM) – this will include any changes to Policy or supporting text which will have an influence on the Policy.

This document only includes Additional Changes (AC); the Main Modifications (MM) are included in a separate document which can be viewed on the website.

Key

Example: New Text

Example: Deleted Text

Example: Text in bold is Policy wording

5. Please note that this is a rolling document which is still to be finalised and subject to sustainability appraisal. Proposed Main Modifications will be available for consultation in due course and parties will be able to provide comments for consideration at that stage. Those Main Modifications will be put forward without prejudice to the Inspector's final conclusions. They will not distinguish between the Main Modifications suggested by the Authorities and those put forward by the Inspector and they will not indicate the stage where the Main Modification has arisen. It should be noted that the Additional Changes will be published for completeness alongside the Main Modifications but they are not for consultation.

AC number	Page No.	Policy Ref/Paragraph Number/Reference point	Change proposed	Reason
AC01	6	Policy W10	Revise Policy Title: Policy W10: Overall locational principles for provision of waste <u>management</u> capacity	To correct omission of the word 'management' from the Policy title
AC02	10	Figure 1	Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National Park.	Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary.
AC03	11	Figure 2	Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National Park.	Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary.
AC04	27	Figure 4	Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National Park.	Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary.
AC05	27	Figure 5	Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National Park.	Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary.
AC06	28	Figure 6	Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National Park.	Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary.
AC07	32	Figure 7	Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National Park.	Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary.

AC09	48	Figure 9	Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National Park.	Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary.
AC10	66	Figure 10	Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National park	Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary.
AC11	68	5.68	<p>Revise the Para:</p> <p>There are only three Mineral Planning Authority areas in England that produce silica sand suitable for high quality glass manufacture: Norfolk and Surrey County Councils and Cheshire East Council. Supply also takes place from Fife in Scotland. Supply from Cheshire East is due to cease in 2016 with no new supply sources available. Neither of Sites within the other two MPAs in England <u>with reserves of silica sand</u> currently has <u>do not have</u> a 10 year landbank stock as required by the NPPF <u>national policy</u>, although both are seeking to make future provision through their emerging land use plans which, if achieved, would enable supply to continue over a longer period should the market require. In both areas resources are constrained by a range of important environmental designations.</p>	To be more consistent with National Policy
AC12	69	Figure 11	Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National Park.	Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary.
AC13	69	M13	<p>Insert text:</p> <p>In Part 1) i) of the Policy:</p> <p>i) Allocation <u>as shown on the Policies Map</u> required in order to meet requirements during the Plan period:</p> <p><u>Land to north of Hemingbrough clay pit (MJP45), in Selby District</u></p> <p>In Part 1) ii) of the Policy:</p> <p>ii) Allocation <u>as shown on the Policies Map</u> potentially required to contribute to maintaining longer term supply for Plasmor Blockworks</p>	Provides further locational detail for sites to provide clarity and a cross reference to the Policies Map

			<p>A Preferred Area on land adjacent to former Escrick Brickworks (MJP55), <u>in Selby District</u></p> <p>In Part 2) of the Policy:</p> <p>2) Maintaining the supply of clay is also supported through identifying an allocated site <u>as shown on the Policies Map</u> for engineering clay at:</p> <p>Land north of Duttons Farm, Upper Poppleton (MJP52), <u>in the City of York</u></p>	
AC14	75	Figure 12	Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National Park.	Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary.
AC15	87	5.127	<p>Add additional text:</p> <p>A key factor leading to designation of an area as a National Park or Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty is the quality of its landscape. These areas benefit from a very high degree of protection in national policy, which states that major development within them should be refused unless there are exceptional circumstances and the development would be in the public interest. National Parks and AONBs are very important in contributing to the overall environmental quality, distinctive character and rural economy of the Plan area, yet substantial areas of PEDLs are located in them. In some cases, development outside a National Park or AONB could have an impact on its setting, and conflict with the statutory purposes of its designation. A particular consideration is whether the scale, nature and location of a proposed development <u>close to the designated area</u> would detract from <u>its</u> the special qualities of the designated area. Tall elements of surface hydrocarbons development, such as drill rigs associated with exploration and appraisal, or production wells, may typically be 35-40m in height. Such equipment may only be present on site for relatively short periods, or potentially a number of months, or intermittently over a period of years at established well pads where successive wells are drilled or re-fracturing of existing wells take place.</p>	To provide clarity

			However, where they would be located in close proximity to National Parks or AONBs, they have the potential to cause significant adverse impact on the setting of these important areas. This could include impact on important views to or from the National Park or AONB, or on the dark night skies typically associated with such areas as a result of the need for site lighting during 24-hour operations at some stages of development. Further justification for the protection of the setting of National Parks and AONBs is provided in paras. 9.26 and 9.27.													
AC16	96	M18, Key links to other relevant policies and objectives	Amend Key Links section to include: W08	Reflects the links between Part 1) of Policy M18: Waste Management and reinjection of wells and Policy W08: Managing waste water and sewage sludge.												
AC17	99	Figure 16	Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National Park.	Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary.												
AC18	109	Table 4	<p>Addition to the ‘comment’ column within the ‘Construction, Demolition and Excavation waste’ row:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="555 938 1451 1385"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">North Yorkshire Sub-region - Estimated Main Waste Arisings 2014 (tonnes)</th> <th>Comment</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Local Authority Collected Waste</td> <td>425,864</td> <td>Does not include arisings within the Redcar and Cleveland area of the NYMNP.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Commercial and Industrial waste</td> <td>322,872</td> <td>Excludes large volumes of power station ash from Drax and Eggborough Power Stations deposited at private disposal facilities at Barlow and Gale Common ash disposal sites.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Construction, Demolition and</td> <td>820,705</td> <td>Excludes waste managed at EA</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	North Yorkshire Sub-region - Estimated Main Waste Arisings 2014 (tonnes)		Comment	Local Authority Collected Waste	425,864	Does not include arisings within the Redcar and Cleveland area of the NYMNP.	Commercial and Industrial waste	322,872	Excludes large volumes of power station ash from Drax and Eggborough Power Stations deposited at private disposal facilities at Barlow and Gale Common ash disposal sites.	Construction, Demolition and	820,705	Excludes waste managed at EA	To provide clarity
North Yorkshire Sub-region - Estimated Main Waste Arisings 2014 (tonnes)		Comment														
Local Authority Collected Waste	425,864	Does not include arisings within the Redcar and Cleveland area of the NYMNP.														
Commercial and Industrial waste	322,872	Excludes large volumes of power station ash from Drax and Eggborough Power Stations deposited at private disposal facilities at Barlow and Gale Common ash disposal sites.														
Construction, Demolition and	820,705	Excludes waste managed at EA														

			Excavation waste		Registered Exemption sites.	
			Hazardous Waste	33,143		
			Agricultural waste	33,786	Excludes large volumes of organic farm waste managed directly within the farm holding.	
			Low-Level Radioactive waste	Estimated at less than 50m ³	EA Estimate	
			Waste water	No data available		
AC19	118	Footnote to Table 6	North Yorkshire sub region Waste Arisings and Capacity Requirements Update Report September 2016 (Urban Vision) – Capacity information subsequently updated March 2017 in accordance with 2015 Environment Agency Waste Data Interrogator			Footnote amended to reflect the update to capacity information subsequent to the publication of the September 2016 Report
AC20	120	Para. 6.46	<p>Revise 1st sentence:</p> <p>Based on this approach, capacity gaps exist throughout the plan period for recycling of CD&E waste, treatment of waste (physical and chemical), incineration of waste (specialist high temperature) and landfill of Hazardous waste. A capacity gap for recycling of CD&E waste is projected over the majority of the Plan period and for landfill of CD&E waste occurs in the second half of the Plan period. There is potential for a very-small capacity gap for landfill of C&I, LACW and agricultural waste at the end of the plan period. Other waste management methods are projected to be in surplus throughout the Plan period, although as indicated later in this Chapter, provision of further capacity for these forms of waste management may be justified in certain circumstances, including in order to provide further opportunities for movement of specific waste streams up the waste hierarchy or an enhanced geographical network of facilities.</p>			Updated text to reflect the changes to capacity gaps/surplus in Table 8.
AC21	120	Footnote to Table 8	<p>Revise footnote:</p> <p>North Yorkshire sub region Waste Arisings and Capacity Requirements Update Report September 2016 (Urban Vision) – Capacity information subsequently updated March 2017 in accordance with 2015 Environment Agency Waste Data Interrogator</p>			Footnote amended to reflect the update to capacity information subsequent to the publication of the September 2016 Report

AC22	122/ 123	6.55	<p>Revise the Para:</p> <p>During preparation of the Joint Plan a number of potential allocations were put forward for sites which could manage a combination of LACW and C&I waste, due to the similarity between these streams and the ways in which they need to be managed. A number of these are allocated³⁷ in the Joint Plan and they have been identified in <u>Policy W03 and</u> Policy W04 dealing with C&I waste. although their potential dual role should be noted in the context of Policy W03³⁷.</p>	Reflects the addition of site allocations to Policy W03
AC23	123	6.56	<p>Revise final sentence:</p> <p>There is potential for a very small gap in non-hazardous landfill capacity at the end of the Plan period.</p>	Updated text to reflect the changes to capacity gaps/surplus in table 8
AC24	123	6.59	<p>Revise 3rd sentence:</p> <p>Permission was also granted in 2014 for a substantial anaerobic digestion facility at the former North Selby Mine site in the City of York, although this too has not yet <u>which has</u> been implemented <u>but is not yet operational</u>.</p>	Updated text to reflect the implementation of the North Selby AD planning permission in November 2016
AC25	125	6.61	<p>Revise 6th sentence:</p> <p>Policy W10 addressing Overall locational principles for provision of waste <u>management</u> capacity</p>	To correct omission of the word 'management' from the Policy title
AC26	125	6.63	<p>Revise 5th sentence:</p> <p>An unimplemented <u>A</u> planning permission also exists for a substantial anaerobic digestion facility at the former North Selby Mine site in York.</p>	Updated text to reflect the implementation of the North Selby AD planning permission in November 2016
AC27	125/ 126	6.64	<p>Revise the Para:</p> <p>In these circumstances <u>where committed capacity at all of the following sites:</u></p>	Identifies large scale waste facilities for clarity

			<u>Allerton Waste Recovery Park facility, Southmoor Energy Centre (WJP03), former ARBRE Power Station (WJP25) and North Selby Mine (WJP02), becomes operational</u> it is not considered appropriate to support the principle of further large-scale recovery capacity in the area where the waste proposed to be managed would arise mainly outside the Plan area, unless it can be demonstrated that the facility would represent the nearest appropriate installation for recovery of the waste, in line with relevant legislation.	
AC28	129	6.75	Revise 4 th sentence: Policy W10 addressing Overall locational principles for provision of waste <u>management</u> capacity	To correct omission of the word 'management' from the Policy title
AC29	131	6.79	Revise 3 rd sentence: There is however a range of specialist provision in the area, including specialist storage, processing and incineration plants for animals s by-products.	To correct a typographical error
AC30	131	6.81	Revise 4 th sentence: National policy indicates that local plans for waste should address the need to for manage this waste stream.	To correct a typographical error
AC31	133	6.90	Revise 2 nd sentence: In some instances, particularly for larger scale WWTW <u>waste water treatment works</u> , it may be appropriate to co-locate anaerobic digestion capacity at the site as this would reduce the need for transport of waste.	To replace an acronym with the full term, as the term has not previously been used
AC32	136	Figure 17	Amend Plan to reflect site data in the North Yorkshire Sub-region Waste Arisings and Capacity Requirements Update Report (September 2016).	Due to an oversight, the Plan in the Publication Draft Plan does not reflect the North Yorkshire Sub-region Waste Arisings and Capacity Requirements

			Amend Plan to reflect updated site data.	Update Report (September 2016). This change corrects this. Waste Sites updated as a result of released 2015 Waste Data Interrogator, inclusion of new waste facilities and changes to methods and waste streams managed at existing waste facilities.
AC33	136	Figure 17	Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National Park.	Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary.
AC34	137	Policy W10 Title	Revise Policy Title: Policy W10: Overall locational principles for provision of waste <u>management</u> capacity	To correct omission of the word 'management' from the Policy title
MM74	143	Figure 18	Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National Park.	Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary.
AC35	158	S06 (Policy will change to S07)	Revise 1 st sentence of the Policy: Where development, other than exempt development as defined in the Safeguarding Exemption Criteria list, <u>as set out in paragraph 8.47</u>, is proposed in an area safeguarded on the Policies Map for minerals resources, minerals transport infrastructure, minerals ancillary infrastructure and waste infrastructure, and the proposed development site is located outside the City of York and North York Moors National Park areas, consultation with North Yorkshire County Council will be required before permission is granted.	To provide a cross reference to location of exemptions list
AC36	159	8.47, Safeguarding exemption criteria list (<i>Italics: PC88 in the</i>	Revise 12 th bullet point: Applications for development on land which is already allocated in an adopted local plan where the plan took account of minerals, waste <i>and minerals and waste transport infrastructure</i> safeguarding requirements, <u>or, in the case of an emerging local plan allocations, where the Minerals and Waste Planning</u>	To clarify that the Safeguarding Exemption Criteria list includes reference to allocations in emerging local plans, in addition to those that are adopted.

		<i>Addendum of Proposed Changes to Publication Draft (July 2017))</i>	Authority has raised no safeguarding concerns during consultation on the emerging plan allocation	
AC37	167	9.24	Revise 3 rd sentence: It should be noted that major development in terms of paragraph 116 of the NPPF is not the same as that defined under the Town and Country Planning Act (Development Management Procedure Order) (England) Order 2010 2015 .	To update to reflect the current regulations
AC38	179-180	Water Environment Heading	Ensure the 'Water Environment' and 'Policy Justification' headings are at the head of the page.	To improve presentation of the document
AC39	179	D09	Revise Part 4) of the Policy: climate mitigation and adaption measures including use of sustainable urban drainage systems.	Corrected for accuracy
AC40	183	Figure 19	Change the number from Figure 19 to Figure 20	
AC41	183	Figure 20	Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National Park.	Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary.
AC42	188	9.98	Revise 4 th sentence of the Para: The incorporation of sustainable design measures such as sustainable urban drainage systems (SuDs),	Corrected for accuracy
AC43	192	D13	Revise 1 st sentence of the Policy: ... identified by the Coal Authority as shown on the Interactive Policies Map and on page 4 of the paper version of the Policies Map, proposals should be accompanied by ...	Provides a cross reference to the Policies Map

AC44	192	D13	Revise text in Policy Amend text of Policy D13 as following: '... exempt development as defined in the Development High Risk Exemptions list, <u>as set out in paragraphs 9.116 and 9.117</u>, is proposed within Development High Risk Areas ...'	To provide clarity
AC45	192	9.113	Revise 3 rd sentence: They occur mainly within Selby District and more limited locations in the North York Moors National Park and in the western part of the Plan area.	To provide clarity
AC46	Appendix 1 Title Page		Revise Appendix 1 Title: Allocated Sites and Areas of Search	To correct a typographical error.
AC47	Appendix 1 p 5-6	Contents list	Update to reflect addition of MJP12, MJP13, MJP15 and WJP01 sites as allocations	To reflect decision of Inspector in EIP
AC48	Appendix 1	Heading of 2 nd box down for each site	Change for each site the 2 nd box heading: Nature of Submitted Proposal Nature of Allocation	To reflect status of site at Main Modifications
AC49	Appendix 1 page 11	WJP13	Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Structures proposed over 50m in height Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC50	Appendix	WJP17	Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:	Requested by MOD in Hearing

	ndix 1 page 14		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Structures proposed over 50m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development 	Statement
AC51	Appen dix 1 page 17	MJP06	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Structures proposed over 91.4m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 91.4m in height in connection with this development 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC52	Appen dix 1 page 21	MJP07	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Structures proposed over 91.4m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 91.4m in height in connection with this development and any development of open water bodies, creation of wetland habitat, refuse or landfill site within the RAF Leeming and RAF Topcliffe birdstrike safeguarding zones 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC53	Appen dix 1 page 25	MJP33	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Structures proposed over 91.4m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 91.4m in height in connection with this development and any development of open water bodies, creation of wetland habitat, refuse or landfill site within the RAF Leeming birdstrike safeguarding zone 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC54	Appen	MJP11	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p>	Requested by MOD in Hearing

	ndix 1 page 29		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Structures proposed over 15.2m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 15.2m in height in connection with this development and any development of open water bodies, creation of wetland habitat, refuse or landfill site within the RAF Leeming birdstrike safeguarding zone 	Statement
AC55	Appendix 1 p41	MJP14 Key sensitivities	<p>Revise 1st bullet point: Ecological issues, including impacts on: Ripon Parks and River Ure Bank Ripon Parks SSSIs, SINCs, High Batts SSSI and Nature Reserve and river Ure Corridor, woodland, protected species, lamprey as an Annex ii species of the Humber Estuary SAC and the presence of invasive species including himalayan balsam.</p> <p>Revise 5th bullet point: Water issues, including: hydrology, dewatering, flood risk (zones 2 and 3), surface water drainage, and potential for flood storage and water quality & geomorphology issues important to the features of the SSSI.</p> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Structures proposed over 91.4m in height 	To correct a factual error and clarify the key sensitivities of the Site
AC56	Appendix 1 p41	MJP14 Development requirements criteria	<p>Revise 1st bullet point: Mitigation of ecological issues, in particular with regard to avoiding impacts on the Ripon Parks and River Ure Bank Ripon Parks SSSIs and the River Ure to demonstrate that minerals extraction at this site will not destroy or damage the interest features for which the High Batts Nature Reserve, Ripon Parks and River Ure Bank Ripon Parks SSSIs are designated. This includes designing the development (including any bunds and discharge outfalls) to protect the SSSI ecological features from the impact of haul roads and the impacts of flood events and potential erosion by the river that might lead to river encroachment into the quarry and SSSI (to include a buffer zone between the north western part of the development and the River Ure), or alterations to the stability of the</p>	To clarify the Development requirements of the Site

			<p><u>hydrology associated with the SSSI and to protect lamprey as an Annex ii species of the Humber Estuary SAC</u>; and, in respect of protected species, including measures to address and control invasive species</p> <p>Revise last bullet point: An appropriate restoration using opportunities for habitat creation, but which is also appropriate to location within a birdstrike safeguarding zone <u>and which includes long term management arrangements to ensure the protection and enhancement of the SSSI.</u></p> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 91.4m in height in connection with this development and any development of open water bodies, creation of wetland habitat, refuse or landfill site within the RAF Leeming and RAF Topcliffe birdstrike safeguarding zones</u> 	
AC57	Appendix 1 page 45	MJP10	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Structures proposed over 91.4m in height or over 47.5m in height</u> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>The Ministry of Defence should be consulted in respect of RAF Leeming on any structures proposed over 91.4m in height at this development; in respect of RAF Topcliffe on any structures proposed over 47.5m in height and any development of open water bodies, creation of wetland habitat, refuse or landfill site within the RAF Leeming birdstrike safeguarding zone</u> 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC58	Appendix 1 page	WJP08	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Structures proposed over 91.4m in height</u> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p>	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement

	49		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 91.4m in height in connection with this development and any development of open water bodies, creation of wetland habitat, refuse or landfill site within the RAF Linton on Ouse birdstrike safeguarding zone 	
AC59	Appendix 1 page 53	WJP24	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Structures proposed over 91.4m in height or over 47.5m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ministry of Defence should be consulted in respect of RAF Leeming on any structures proposed over 91.4m in height at this development and in respect of RAF Topcliffe on any structures proposed over 47.5m in height 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC60	Appendix 1 page 56	WJP18	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Structures proposed over 91.4m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ministry of Defence should be consulted in respect of RAF Leeming on any structures proposed over 91.4m in height at this development. 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC61	Appendix 1 page 59	MJP08	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Structures proposed over 50m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC62	Appendix 1 page 63	MJP30	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Structures proposed over 50m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p>	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development 	
AC63	Appendix 1 page 66	MJP63	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Structures proposed over 50m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC64	Appendix 1 page 70	WJP15	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Structures proposed over 15.2m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ministry of Defence should be consulted in respect of Staxton Wold Radar on any structures proposed over 15.2m in height 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC65	Appendix 1 page 73	MJP45	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Structures proposed over 50m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC66	Appendix 1 page 78	MJP55	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Structures proposed over 50m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC67	Appendix	MJP28	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Structures proposed over 50m in height 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement

	1 page 82		<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development 	
AC68	Appendix 1 page 85	MJP29	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Structures proposed over 50m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC69	Appendix 1 p89	MJP23 Key Sensitivities and Development requirements	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Structures proposed over 50m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development 	Additional clarification as required by the Inspector
AC70	Appendix 1 page 93	MJP22	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Structures proposed over 50m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC71	Appendix 1 page 99	MJP54	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Structures proposed over 50m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p>	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development 	
AC72	Appendix 1 page 102	MJP09	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Structures proposed over 50m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC73	Appendix 1 page 105	MJP24	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Structures proposed over 50m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC74	Appendix 1 page 108	MJP27	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Structures proposed over 50m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC75	Appendix 1 page 111	MJP26	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Structures proposed over 50m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC76	Appendix	WJP10	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Structures proposed over 50m in height 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement

	1 page 114		<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development 	
AC77	Appendix 1 page 117	WJP16	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Structures proposed over 50m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC78	Appendix 1 page 120	WJP06	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Structures proposed over 50m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC79	Appendix 1 page 125	WJP22	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Structures proposed over 50m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC80	Appendix 1 p126	WJP22 – Reasons for allocating site, 2 nd Para	<p>Revise the Para:</p> <p>The allocation of this site could contribute to the further provision of a range of infrastructure which could help move waste up the waste hierarchy (Policy W01) and provide flexibility in capacity for management of C&I waste in line with Policy W04. and it The allocation would not conflict with other strategic</p>	To clarify that the site could play a role in the management of C & I waste.

			policies in the Plan, including Policy W02 facilitating net self-sufficiency in the management of waste and would be consistent with the overall locational principles for waste capacity (Policy W10) and Policy W11 waste site identification principles.	
AC81	Appendix 1 page 129	WJP03	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Structures proposed over 50m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC82	Appendix 1 page 132	WJP25	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Structures proposed over 50m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC83	Appendix 1 page 135	WJP19	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Structures proposed over 50m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC84	Appendix 1 page 138	MJP52	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Structures proposed over 91.4m in height <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement

			<u>over 91.4m in height in connection with this development and any development of open water bodies, creation of wetland habitat, refuse or landfill site within the RAF Linton on Ouse birdstrike safeguarding zone</u>	
AC85	Appendix 1 page 141	WJP02	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Structures proposed over 50m in height</u> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development</u> 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC86	Appendix 1 page 145	WJP05	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Structures proposed over 91.4m in height</u> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 91.4m in height in connection with this development and any development of open water bodies, creation of wetland habitat, refuse or landfill site within the RAF Linton on Ouse birdstrike safeguarding zone</u> 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC87	Appendix 1 page 148	WJP11	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Structures proposed over 91.4m in height</u> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 91.4m in height in connection with this development and any development of open water bodies, creation of wetland habitat, refuse or landfill site within the RAF Linton on Ouse birdstrike safeguarding zone</u> 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC88	Appendix 1 page 153	Area of Search A	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Structures proposed over 91.4m, 45.7 and 15.2 in height within this area</u> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p>	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 91.4m, 45.7m and 15.2m in height in connection with development within this area and any development as it lies within the RAF Topcliffe birdstrike safeguarding zone 	
AC89	Appendix 1 page 155	Area of Search C	<p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Structures proposed over 91.4m, 45.7 and 15.2 in height within this area <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 91.4m, 45.7m and 15.2m in height in connection with development within this area and any development as it lies within the RAF Dishforth birdstrike safeguarding zone 	Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement
AC90	Appendix 2 p186	Knapton Quarry safeguarding plan	Revise plan area to reflect the inclusion of the existing additional facility types (transfer, treatment and recycling).	To more accurately reflect the current size of the site
AC91		Policies Map	Revise title on 4 th page of the paper version (CD23): Coal Mining Development Referral Area Development High Risk Area -- Policy Ref No. D13	Corrected for accuracy
AC92		Policies Map	Revise MJP11, MJP17 and WJP22 site allocation boundaries, add sites MJP12, MJP13, MJP15 and WJP01 as well as safeguarded Showfield Lane waste facility on the interactive map	To reflect change in boundaries for these sites
AC93		Policies Map	Revise MJP11, MJP17 and WJP22 site allocation boundaries and add in Allocations for WJP01, MJP12, MJP13 and MJP15 as well as safeguarded Showfield Lane waste facility on paper version of the following maps: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Aerodrome Safeguarding - Policy No. = D10 Agricultural Land Classification - Policy No. = D12 	To reflect change in boundaries for these sites

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coal Mining Development Referral Area - Policy No. = D13 • Water Environment including Flood Risk - Policy No. = D09 • PEDL licences - Policy No.s M16, M17 & M18 • Environmental and Historic Designations - MAP FIVE • Environmental and Historic Designations - MAP SIX • Environmental and Historic Designations - MAP EIGHT • Minerals Resource Safeguarding Maps - MAP 5 • Minerals Resource Safeguarding Maps - MAP 6 • Minerals Resource Safeguarding Maps - MAP 8 	
AC94		Policies Map	Add in PEDL 258 onto hydrocarbon layer	Corrected for accuracy
AC95		Policies Map	Add Historic Character and Setting of York layer to Policies Map	To reflect defined area identified by City of York Council
AC96		Policies Map	Remove safeguarding area for Boulby Mine potash site	Extraction now taking place under the sea so do not need to safeguard land resource.
AC97		Policies Map	Add revised safeguarded potash area to policies map	For accuracy

DRAFT