

Minutes of Yorkshire and Humber AWP Meeting

4th July 2018 11am – 1pm

North Yorkshire County Council, County Hall,
Northallerton, North Yorkshire, DL7 8AD

Chair: Vicky Perkin North Yorkshire CC

Secretariat: Carolyn Williams Urban Vision

Attendees:

Katie Bowman	East Riding of Yorkshire
Geoff Storey	Aggregate Industries
Helen McCluskie	Doncaster MBC
James Durham	East Riding of Yorkshire
David Atkinson	Tarmac /MPA
Mark North	MPA
Michael Hodges	BAA
Joan Jackson	North Yorkshire CC
Ryan Shepherd	Rotherham MBC
Carolyn Williams	Urban Vision/NW AWP
James Barker	Kirklees Council
Stuart Ray	Breedon Southern
Mark Wrigley	The Crown Estate
Anita Seymour	Calderdale Council
Dave Parrish	YDNP
Malcolm Ratcliffe	WC Watts
Mark Hill	NYMNPA

Apologies:

Carole Howarth	Bradford/WYCA
Andy Duncan	Rotherham MBC
Andy Wainright	East Riding
Helen Miller	Leeds
Louise White	Leeds
Rebecca Harrison	York CC
Tom Brown	Hanson

Item	Description
1.	Introductions and apologies
2.	Minutes and actions of last meeting
3.	AM 2018 – Discussion of Draft report
4	Progress on Local Aggregate Assessments (2017 data) - Ratification of NYCC LAA and East Riding LAA
5.	NCG/ National Secretaries Meeting update. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • National Guideline Figures • NPPF and minerals planning and the role of AWP's
6.	MHCLG and the next 4 yearly aggregates survey
7.	Progress on development plans
8.	Crown estate update
9.	Industry update
10.	MPAs update
11.	AOB
12.	Date of next meeting

1) Introduction and apologies

Vicky Perkin (VP) – Welcomed everyone to the meeting and invited Any Other Business to be discussed at the end

2) Minutes and actions of last meeting

VP – Invited comments. One amended was noted for p7, the minutes were then accepted as an accurate record.

3) Draft AM2018

Carolyn Williams (CW) – provided a summary of the 2018 report and highlighted areas where information/input was required by relevant authorities.

Mark North raised concern that the AWP AMR states in Paragraph 1.3 that the AWP is required to consider if the area is making full contribution to meeting both national and local aggregate needs. He would like a health warning that the AMR does not necessarily provide a full picture. The LAA's are looking only at 10 yr. averages for estimating future demand and there is concern that this is not good enough.

CW mentioned that the same comment had been made at the EM AWP. The discussion took place around the lack of information on movements of where material is going to which makes this requirement hard to deliver without input at the national level which will require commitment to update the national figures and commission a new 4yearly survey. VP commented that there

needs to be consistency across the country in the way AWP AMRs report information and how LAAs are prepared. This would need to be agreed at the national level. Geoff Storey (GS) stated that MHCLG do not have the in-house capability to produce any new figures.

MN commented that the case needs to be made to MHCLG to update the national figures. It was agreed by all present that the national figures are out of date and as such, without MHCLG taking steps to update these, it is not possible to know if we are currently making a contribution to national need.

James Barker (JB) commented that the AWP's need to show that DtC is working.

MN requested that the conclusion to the AMR be expanded to cover national requirements and note that this is difficult without knowing what the future demand will be. The report could state the need for new figures. It was agreed that new text would be added to reflect this.

Malcolm Ratcliffe (MR) mentioned that the WCLAA looks at consumption. It has information on how much is being consumed in the region. LAAs could benefit from adding this information in. MR commented that S&G is now travelling further due to local a shortage which is not sustainable; therefore we cannot assume the region is meeting local needs.

Dave Parish (DP) reiterated the need for a new 4 yearly survey, but MN said there is currently no indication this will happen and BGS have not been allocated funding to undertake this work.

Action: CW to include new text to the conclusion of the report.

4) Progress on Local Aggregate Assessments (2016 data)

Humber LAA was on the table for ratification. This has been consulted on. WY had requested some clarity on supply areas as this is useful for understanding cross boundary movements. These comments had been addressed and LAA was ratified.

NYCC 2017 (2016 data) was presented for approval. A change was noted for one quarry which is active not mothballed (Barton/Ducket). The LAA was ratified by the AWP.

Remaining LAAs for this year were discussed, with most expected for early autumn for consultation with the AWP.

Action: MPAs to prepare and send out LAAs for consultation by October

5) NCG/ National Secretaries Meeting update

A national secretaries and chairs meeting is planned for the 18th October. This was not proposed to be expanded further to invite other groups as this would

step in to the remit of an NCG. If anyone has any issues to raise for discussion at the meeting they are to contact VP/CW.

MN noted that NPPF was expected toward the end of July. NPPF came out late July post the end of the meeting.

Action : Any topics to be raised at the national secretaries and chairs meeting to be sent to VP & CW prior to 11th October.

6) MHCLG and the next 4 yearly aggregates survey

No update provided. DP reinforced the point made earlier that we need this report. Without it the AMR cannot broaden to look at supply into other areas.

7) Progress on development plans

NYCC – the plan was submitted Nov 2017 with hearings Feb through to Apr. May need a further hearing session following the consultation on shale gas. The responses are back in this and awaiting a decision by the Inspector as to whether an additional hearing session is needed. Hoping autumn for main mods consultation. An extra session consultation was held following the Ministerial Statement in May and Select Committee Report on Planning Guidance for Fracking.

GS commented that shale gas policies are having an impact on Minerals Plans and questioned should they be dealt with separately? This issue is holding up the development of minerals plans in some areas.

Calderdale – Publication draft Plan expected 10th August to 24th September, with submission planned for December.

Kirklees – EiP started Oct 2017, this included M&W which were heard at session in January 2018. Inspector has concluded sessions on Minerals and no issues of concern on minerals raised by the Inspector. Modifications on other matters out for consultation in autumn. If found sound, adoption would be early 2019.

Rotherham – All plans adopted, local plan currently up to date.

Doncaster – Informal consultation on Draft Policies and Proposed sites is e=ready to go, then will look to move to formal consultation with submission 2019

East Riding & Hull – Submission 16th July with EiP hopefully in autumn 2018 and adoption 2019.

YDNP – Plan was adopted in 2016. No proposals to review the plan to incorporate new areas in Cumbria yet.

8) Crown estate

Update circulated with meeting details detailing amount of material dredged, of which most went to aggregate in 2017. There was a new round of tenders for marine dredging in 2018 which received a lot of interest. Bids are required by October which will then be assessed for new agreements by July 2019.

9) Industry update

MN – MPA update was circulated with meeting details. The MPA are also launching a minerals strategy next week and the BEIS minister will be attending. MN to circulate when published.

David Atkinson (DA) noted that there has been a permission granted for 11.3mt at Killerby which is a replacement site for Scorton. Implementation is expected spring 2019.

GS brought the issue of dewatering to the meeting, specifically the issues around European protected sites. As a result of this, dewatering consents may not get approval. The EA are consulting on the process currently. The proposals will affect all permissions where extraction of reserves affects dewatering near HRA sites. MR noted that new consents will be time limited to 15 years which may impact investment from industry for long term future sites.

Issues noted on this in Calderdale and also for the Potash site in NWMNP.

GS commented operators will need to lodge valid applications to dewater existing sites to existing approved levels. There is a 2 year time frame in which to submit applications, if don't do this then sites cannot be worked. MN noted that if you miss the 2019 deadline then operators will need to go through the full application process. This is noted as something which could impact on future supply.

GS also noted that they are spending time defending applications against housing provision which is impacting on existing a future mineral sites and depots.

10)MPA's update

NA, nothing more to add to earlier discussions.

11) AOB

Joan Jackson (JJ) Asked for any good examples of safeguarding guidance in 2 tier areas. Lancashire CC was noted as one example.

MN noted that the agents of change principle is now in the London Plan and the NPPF. This requires the developer to protect the existing use and design their development to not impact on its existence going forward. It was noted by GS that Blackburn with Darwen have refused planning permission on this basis recently, but there is still issue in Lancaster around safeguarded resources near quarries.

GS also noted that the Durham Local Plan is also out for consultation.

Helen McCluskie (HM) had been contacted regarding authorities who may be interested in holding a session on Hydrocarbons for local government officers and Members. It was noted this is outside remit of this group and any contact to be direct with HM.

12)Date and Time of Next Meeting

To be held in November, date to be confirmed.