

MWJP NYCC/CYC/NYMNPA

Examination 27 February 2018 – revised 12 April 2018

Day 1: Action Points

Key

**Example:** Action Point fully completed

**MM...** : cross reference with Schedule of Additional Changes and Draft Main Modifications

	MIQ Matter and Number	Action Point	MWJP Policy Impact	Is or likely to be Main Modification	Parties involved in resolving	Inspectors request	Progress
1	Legal Matters <b>MIQ 11</b>	LPA's - consider how to provide further coverage on climate change.	<b>M17 and D11 and M20</b> - possible amend to wording. Look at umbrella policy and/or text with possible changes to specific policies for Hydrocarbons and coal. See Cumbria/ West Sussex plans for their approach.	Yes.	VP NYCC FOE	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Main Modification drafted for D11 and added to List of Main Modifications <b>MM102</b>.</li> <li>• <b>M17 done as MM53</b></li> <li>• <b>M20 done as MM61</b></li> </ul>

	<b>MIQ Matter and Number</b>	<b>Action Point</b>	<b>MWJP Policy Impact</b>	<b>Is or likely to be Main Modification</b>	<b>Parties involved in resolving</b>	<b>Inspectors request</b>	<b>Progress</b>
2	Minerals Overview <b>MIQ 1</b>	LPA's to consider additional locational criteria – to look at wording around objectives and M01	<p><b>M01</b> – possible amend to wording</p> <p>Changes to Objective 7 may also be necessary</p> <p><i>(MPA referred to their proposed wording in response to MIQ 21)</i></p>	Yes	RS – NYMNPA MPA	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Main Modification agreed with MPA / MR and added to list of Main Modifications <b>MM14-MM33 inclusive</b></li> <li>• Rather than amend M01 changes have been made to <b>M07, M08, and M09</b> with additional explanat</li> </ul>

	MIQ Matter and Number	Action Point	MWJP Policy Impact	Is or likely to be Main Modification	Parties involved in resolving	Inspectors request	Progress
							ory text
3	Minerals Crushed Rock <b>MIQ 27</b>	LPA's to provide figures on reserves/sales etc for all types of crushed rock and Note on Aggregates explaining amendments to the reserves.	<b>M09</b>	Yes	RS NYMNP RP NYCC	YES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Main Modification agreed with MPA / MR and added to list of Main Modifications <b>MM29 - MM 30</b></li> <li>Note on Aggregates completed and added to Examination Documents <b>LPA68 and LPA 69</b></li> </ul>
4	Minerals allocations <b>MJP 21</b>	LPA's to reinstate planning application boundary but record HE	<b>MJP 21</b>	Yes	RP NYCC  (Tarmac)	Yes	<b>Action point started and to be</b>

	<b>MIQ Matter and Number</b>	<b>Action Point</b>	<b>MWJP Policy Impact</b>	<b>Is or likely to be Main Modification</b>	<b>Parties involved in resolving</b>	<b>Inspectors request</b>	<b>Progress</b>
		position. No change to policy Map required					<b>progressed for 13/4/18. Added to MM table MM92</b>
5	Minerals allocations <b>MJP 17</b>	LPA's to include wider area as a Preferred Area of Search	<b>MJP 17</b>	Yes	RP NYCC Tarmac Historic England	Yes ( agreed by Tarmac)	<b>Added to MM table MM15, and also in Appendix as MM114 and MM115</b>
6	Minerals Crushed Rock <b>MIQ 32</b>	Lpa's to add ' <i>as far as practical</i> ' to <b>M06</b>	<b>M06</b>	yes	RS NYMNPA MPA	MPA request supported by Inspector	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Main Modification agreed with MPA/MR and added to list of Main Modifications <b>MM11</b></li> </ul>
7	Minerals Crushed Rock <b>MIQ 33</b>	1.LPA's to produce written note for the Inspector prior to SV on 16 March to cover : Traffic, new restrictions and impacts ,Amenity, Issues raised by	May impact on Site allocations		RP NYCC JJ NYCC	yES	1. Note produced and provided to the Inspector on

	MIQ Matter and Number	Action Point	MWJP Policy Impact	Is or likely to be Main Modification	Parties involved in resolving	Inspectors request	Progress
		<p>Campions. Include appeal decision.</p> <p>2. LPA's to produce SV itinerary including meeting place and locations to visit</p> <p>3. Mr Ratcliff to produce brief written note covering Traffic and amenity</p> <p>4. LPA Clarification on whether Wath Quarry open</p>			<p>MH – NYMNPA RP - NYCC</p>		<p>15/3/18 and added to Examination Documents <b>LPA 67</b></p> <p>2. SV itinerary produced and used.</p> <p>3. MR produced Note for SV Examination document <b>OTH/01</b></p> <p>4. LPA Note covered position at Wath</p>

	<b>MIQ Matter and Number</b>	<b>Action Point</b>	<b>MWJP Policy Impact</b>	<b>Is or likely to be Main Modification</b>	<b>Parties involved in resolving</b>	<b>Inspectors request</b>	<b>Progress</b>
							Quarry
8	Minerals – Aggregates <b>MIQ 16</b>	LPA's to provide link to table for clarification	Link to <b>M02</b>	Yes	RS - NYMNPA	Yes	Main Modification agreed with MPA/MR and added to list of Main Modifications
9	Minerals – Aggregates <b>MIQ 18</b>	LPA'S TO refer to 5 yr review	<b>M02</b> and other reference to mid term review	Yes	RS - NYMNPA	Proposed by LPA'S and agreed by Inspector	Main Modification agreed with MPA/MR and added to list of Main Modifications <b>MM03</b>
10	Minerals Sand and Gravel <b>MIQ 18</b> <b>20,21,22,</b>	LPA's to review with regard additional flexibility	Possible impact Policies <b>M03,M04,M07</b>	Yes	RS - NYMNPA	yES	Main Modification agreed with MPA /MR and added to list of Main Modifications <b>MM06 and MM16</b>
11	Minerals BUILDING Sand <b>MIQ 25</b>	LPA's to review with regard additional flexibility	Possible impact <b>M08</b>	Yes	RS - NYMNPA	Yes	Main Modification agreed with MPA/MR

	<b>MIQ Matter and Number</b>	<b>Action Point</b>	<b>MWJP Policy Impact</b>	<b>Is or likely to be Main Modification</b>	<b>Parties involved in resolving</b>	<b>Inspectors request</b>	<b>Progress</b>
							and added to list of Main Modifications <b>MM23</b>

Examination 28 February 2018

Day 2: Action Points

	MIQ Matter and Number	Action Point	MWJP Policy Impact	Is or likely to be Main Modification	Parties involved in resolving	Inspectors request	Progress
1	Minerals Silica Sand <b>MIQ 34</b>	LPA'S to revise text in <b>para 5.67</b> as per proposal in Appendix to MIQ 34	<b>Paragraph 5.67</b>	Unlikely – just additional text	JJ NYCC	LPA proposal agreed by MPA and Insp.	Main Modification drafted and added to the list of Main Modifications <b>MM35</b>
2	Minerals Silica Sand <b>MIQ 35</b>	LPA'S to revise text as per proposal in Appendix to MIQ 35	<b>M12 and Para 5.68</b>	yes	JJ NYCC	LPA proposal agreed by MPA and Insp	Main Modification drafted and added to the list of Main Modifications <b>MM33 and AC11</b>
3	Minerals Silica Sand <b>MIQ 37</b>	LPAS to allocate Blubberhouses Quarry	<b>M12 and MJP 15 Sensitivities to be mentioned – HE, RSPB,YWT</b>	yes	RP NYCC	YES – agreed by MPA and LPA	Main Modification drafted and added to the list of Main Modifications <b>MM33</b>
4	Minerals Potash <b>MIQ 67</b>	LPAS to add text in terms of ' <i>no unacceptable impact</i> ' to provide flexibility	<b>M22</b>	yes	CF/CS NYMNPA Sirius Minerals	yes	Main Modification drafted and added to the list of Main





Examination 2 March 2018

Day 3: Action Points

	MIQ Matter and Number	Action Point	MWJP Policy Impact	Is or likely to be Main Modification	Parties involved in resolving	Inspectors request	Progress
1	Minerals – Building Stone <b>MIQ 47</b>	LPAs to add Whitewall Quarry to table of building stone quarry sites	<b>Paragraph 5.83</b>	Yes	RP/JJ NYCC	Clifford Watts' request agreed by LPAs and Insp	Main Modification drafted and added to the list of Main Modifications <b>MM36</b>
2	Minerals – Building Stone <b>MIQ 50, 51 and 53</b>	LPAs to add positive wording in terms of support for building stone products / processing industries and other stone uses (proposed wording to be put forward by MPA)	<b>M15</b>		MH NYMNPA MPA	Yes	Main Modification drafted and added to the list of Main Modifications <b>MM37</b>
3	Minerals – Building Stone <b>MIQ 52</b>	LPAs to revise wording to allow greater flexibility regarding supply to areas outside the Park	<b>M15 – paragraphs 2 and 3</b>	Yes	MH NYMNPA	Yes	Main Modification drafted and added to the list of Main Modifications <b>MM37</b>
4	Minerals – Coal <b>MIQ 65</b>	LPAs to add explicit wording about taking climate change into consideration	Links with MIQ 11 – either specific in relation to coal (and hydrocarbons) or inclusion of	Yes	VP NYCC	Yes	<b>Done covered by MM61</b>

	<b>MIQ Matter and Number</b>	<b>Action Point</b>	<b>MWJP Policy Impact</b>	<b>Is or likely to be Main Modification</b>	<b>Parties involved in resolving</b>	<b>Inspectors request</b>	<b>Progress</b>
			umbrella policy				

Examination 13 March 2018

Day 4: Action Points

	MIQ Matter and Number	Action Point	MWJP Policy Impact	Is or likely to be Main Modification	Parties involved in resolving	Inspectors request	Progress
1	Matter 1 Hydrocarbons <b>MIQ 60</b>	<p>1. LPA's to review the definition of hydraulic fracturing for hydrocarbons chapter in line with PPG definition. Done</p> <p>2.LPA's to revise M16 to provide greater flexibility in the application of the 3.5km buffer zone; replace word 'buffer' zone as well as reference to 'exceptional circumstances' with text to confirm that requirement for detailed assessment will not be absolute. Supporting text to confirm detailed assessment may be requires outside the 3.5km. Possible reference to LVIAs.</p>	<b>M16 and para 5.119 5.128</b>	Yes	<p>CF NYMNPA</p> <p>UKOOG</p>	yes	<p>1. Main Modification drafted and added to the list of Main Modifications <b>MM45 and MM43</b></p>
2	Hydrocarbons <b>MIQ61</b>	LPA'S to provide more flexibility; review use of 'exceptional circumstances'; to	<b>M17</b>	Yes	<p>AD/MS – CYC</p> <p>UKOOG</p>	yes	<b>Note produced ref LPA/89</b>

	MIQ Matter and Number	Action Point	MWJP Policy Impact	Is or likely to be Main Modification	Parties involved in resolving	Inspectors request	Progress
		provide a note for the Inspector on why the 500m needs to be retained with supporting evidence to enable her to form a view on soundness					
3	Hydrocarbons <b>MIQ 58</b>	<p>1. LPA's to provide note to explain the distinction on conventional and unconventional – possibly work through M16 explaining distinctions being made; LPA'S PC 66 in Addendum of Proposed Changes does not adequately address the industry concerns Note to also explain why subsurface development triggers the MDT and more explanation on straddling applications.</p> <p>2. LPA'S to provide a definition for the term 'Areas which Protect the Historic Character and Setting of York'</p>	<b>M16, para 5.124 and Addendum of Proposed Changes PC 66</b>	Yes	<p>CF/RS NYMNPA</p> <p>UKOOG</p> <p>RHA/AC - CYC</p>	yes	<p><b>MM47</b></p> <p><b>Note produced ref unconventional and conventional hydrocarbons ref LPA/87</b></p> <p><b>Note produced ref Historic character and setting of York ref LPA/88</b></p>

	MIQ Matter and Number	Action Point	MWJP Policy Impact	Is or likely to be Main Modification	Parties involved in resolving	Inspectors request	Progress
4	Hydrocarbons <b>MIQ 62</b>	LPA's to revise wording in M18 to clarify position on decommissioning and sub surface restoration and clarify text in M18 /explanatory wording to link with para 5.151 to ensure consistency with explanatory text relating to liaison with other regulatory agencies.	<b>M18 and para 5.151/addition to para 5.159</b>	yes	VP NYCC	yes	<b>To be provided for 13/4/18 Done covered in MM47</b>
5	Hydrocarbons <b>MIQ 63</b>	1.LPA'S to revise wording on M17 (1)(iii) to read ...via underground pipeline where practicable... and ....of water where practicable and having regard to the nature of proposals and cross reference to other policies	<b>M17</b>	yes	VP NYCC	yes	1.Main Modification drafted and added to the list of Main Modifications <b>MM53</b>

	<b>MIQ Matter and Number</b>	<b>Action Point</b>	<b>MWJP Policy Impact</b>	<b>Is or likely to be Main Modification</b>	<b>Parties involved in resolving</b>	<b>Inspectors request</b>	<b>Progress</b>
		2. LPA's to revise wording to add flexibility to look at individual circumstances not limited to school holidays eg agreeing a TMP which takes into account seasonal variations and other considerations					<b>2. MM54</b>
6	<b>Policies Map</b>	LPA's to insert location of a further PEDL licence	<b>Policies Map</b>	no	MH - NYMNPA	no	<b>Added to MM table as AC52</b>

Examination 14 March 2018\$

Day 5: Action Points

	MIQ Matter and Number	Action Point	MWJP Policy Impact	Is or likely to be Main Modification	Parties involved in resolving	Inspectors request	Progress
1	Matter 3 other Infrastructure <b>MIQ112</b>	<i>MPA</i> to revise wording regarding value added/ complementary – possibly add a further category	<b>I02</b>	yes	MPA to produce wording for LPA'S to consider	yes	<b>MM80 – text in policy reinstated and text added to 7.12 and 7.13 as new MM78 and MM79</b>
2	Safeguarding <b>MIQ124,125,126,127</b>	1. <b>INEOS/SIRIUS</b> to meet asap and to prepare Statement of Common Ground and/ or Statement of uncommon ground to be submitted to the Inspector and LPA'S; to consider cautious approach; consider buffer zone and whether right size and shape safeguarded; whole resource not to be	<b>S01</b>	yes	INEOS/SIRIUS to progress and then meet with LPA's to discuss  <b>CF/MH/RS-</b>	yes	Late note provided by Industry – <b>OTH/07</b>  <b>3..New policy for potash safeguarding drafted. NYMNPA text added to MM table, MM64 and some new MM82 for</b>



	<b>MIQ Matter and Number</b>	<b>Action Point</b>	<b>MWJP Policy Impact</b>	<b>Is or likely to be Main Modification</b>	<b>Parties involved in resolving</b>	<b>Inspectors request</b>	<b>Progress</b>
		safeguarded as not proportionate.  2. LPA's to then meet with industry to discuss wording  1. LPA's to consider a separate policy for Potash with explanatory text  2. MPA to produce Note on Potash safeguarding			NYMNPA  CF/MH/RS – NYMNPA		new S03  4. MPA Potash note provided – Examination Document <b>OTH05</b>
3	Safeguarding <b>MIQ 129,130</b>	LPA's to add in ref to other regulatory bodies and need to take their views into account.	<b>S02/explanatory text</b>	YES	CF/MH - NYMNPA	yes	<b>Text added to 8.25 as MM85</b>
4	Safeguarding <b>MIQ 31,132,133,136,138,139,144,145,150,151,152 153,</b>	LPA's to revise wording as set out in Appendix to MIQ'S	<b>S02,S03,S04,S05,S06</b>	Yes	All – and already completed	Yes	<b>Main Modification drafted and added to the list of Main Modifications,</b>

	<b>MIQ Matter and Number</b>	<b>Action Point</b>	<b>MWJP Policy Impact</b>	<b>Is or likely to be Main Modification</b>	<b>Parties involved in resolving</b>	<b>Inspectors request</b>	<b>Progress</b>
5	Safeguarding <b>MIQ 137, 143,149</b>	LPA's to add 'viability'	<b>S03, S04, S05</b>	yes	VP - NYCC	yes	<b>To be provided for 13/4/18 Added to MM86 (S03), MM89 (S04) MM91 (S05)</b>

Examination 15 March 2018

Day 6: Action Points

	MIQ Matter and Number	Action Point	MWJP Policy Impact	Is or likely to be Main Modification	Parties involved in resolving	Inspectors request	Progress
1	DMP <b>MIQ 155</b>	LPAs to 1. add “ <i>local communities and residents</i> ” after “local amenity” 2. add explanatory sentence to link in monitoring under the EI Regs, for clarity 3. add wording to clarify that there will be interaction with other agencies regarding monitoring 4 Add explanation on HIA Regs 2012 and explain interaction	<b>D02</b>	Yes	CYC	Request of Ryedale Liberals, supported by Inspector	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Main Modification on 1 MM75 done as part D02</li> <li>- <b>MM92</b></li> <li>- Point 2, 3 and 4 incorporated into <b>MM93</b> – para 9.13</li> </ul>
2	DMP <b>MIQ 157</b>	LPAs to 2. replace “will be” with “ <i>should be</i> ” in Part 1 3. replace “will usually include” with something like “ <i>can include</i> ” in Part 1 (a) 4. amend wording to something like “ <i>local economy, which</i> ”	<b>D04</b>	Yes	NYMNPA	Yes	Main Modification drafted and added to the list of Main Modifications <b>MM94</b>

	<b>MIQ Matter and Number</b>	<b>Action Point</b>	<b>MWJP Policy Impact</b>	<b>Is or likely to be Main Modification</b>	<b>Parties involved in resolving</b>	<b>Inspectors request</b>	<b>Progress</b>
		<i>includes that of the National Park or AONB</i> in Part 1 (b)					
3	DMP <b>MIQ 158</b>	LPA to add in words " <i>cost and scope</i> " to Part 1 (c)	<b>D04</b>	Yes	NYMPA	Yes	Main Modification drafted and added to the list of Main Modifications <b>MM94</b>
4	Development Management Policies (DMP) <b>MIQ 159</b>	Reword Part 1 (d) in line with NPPF para 116, add that consideration will be given to any significant compromise to reason for designation, and add some justification to explanatory text	<b>D04</b>	Yes	NYMPA	Yes	Additional change/ Main Modification drafted and added to the list of Main Modifications <b>AC57</b>
5	DMP <b>MIQ 160</b>	LPAs to replace "avoidable" with " <i>unavoidable</i> " in Part (1) as proposed in PC20	<b>D04</b>	Yes	NYMPA	LPA proposal agreed by Insp	Main Modification drafted and added to the list of Main Modifications <b>MM94</b>
6	DMP <b>MIQ 161</b>	LPAs to replace "will not" with " <i>will not usually</i> " in Part (3)	<b>D04</b>	Yes	NYMPA	Yes	Main Modification drafted and added to the list of Main Modifications <b>MM94</b>

	<b>MIQ Matter and Number</b>	<b>Action Point</b>	<b>MWJP Policy Impact</b>	<b>Is or likely to be Main Modification</b>	<b>Parties involved in resolving</b>	<b>Inspectors request</b>	<b>Progress</b>
7	<b>DMP MIQ 162</b>	LPAs to replace “or” with “and”	<b>D05</b>	Yes	CYC	Yes	Main Modification drafted and added to list of Main Modifications <b>MM96</b>
8	<b>DMP MIQ 163</b>	LPAs to revise wording of Part 2 along the lines of NPPF para 88 and 89 ; not to use the term ‘ <i>inappropriate development</i> ’ in the policy and add a sentence about weight to be given to development impacting on Green Belt openness, and to replace “appropriate” with “ <i>supported</i> ” in the third paragraph	<b>D05</b>	Yes	CYC	Yes	Main Modification drafted and added to list of Main Modifications <b>MM96</b>
9	<b>DMP MIQ 164, 165, 166</b>	LPAs to add wording along lines of “whether within or outside the nature conservation area”, and to redraft the end of (3) in liaison with Kit Bennett	<b>D07</b>	Yes	RH NYCC KB	Yes	<b>Done as MM98</b>
10	<b>DMP MIQ 167</b>	1. LPAs to redraft wording of (6) to push offsetting into the background and look	<b>D07</b>	Yes	RH NYCC KB	Yes	<b>MM98</b>

	<b>MIQ Matter and Number</b>	<b>Action Point</b>	<b>MWJP Policy Impact</b>	<b>Is or likely to be Main Modification</b>	<b>Parties involved in resolving</b>	<b>Inspectors request</b>	<b>Progress</b>
		at other methods  2. <b>Mr KB</b> to submit suggested wording to LPA by Friday 23 March					
11	<b>DMP MIQ 168</b>	LPAs to redraft wording of (6)(iv) to incorporate flexibility to go cross-border if necessary	<b>D07</b>	Yes	<b>RH NYCC</b>	Yes	<b>MM98</b>
12	<b>DMP MIQ 169</b>	LPAs to redraft D07 to give more emphasis to considering cumulative impacts	<b>D07</b>	Yes	<b>RH NYCC</b>	Yes	<b>MM98</b>
13	<b>DMP MIQ 170</b>	LPAs to remove the word “urban”	<b>D09</b>	Yes	<b>JJ NYCC</b>	Yes	Main Modification drafted and added to the list of Main Modifications <b>MM100</b>
14	<b>DMP MIQ 173</b>	LPAs to sufficiently define “high environmental value” or remove it	<b>D12</b>	Yes	<b>JJ NYCC</b>	Yes	<b>MM103</b>
15	<b>DMP MIQ 174</b>	LPAs to align the policy with the supporting text by making reference to the paragraphs with the exemptions rather than add the list of exemptions into the	<b>D13 para 9.116</b>	Yes	<b>RP NYCC</b>	Yes	Main Modification drafted and added to the list of Main Modifications <b>MM104</b>

	<b>MIQ Matter and Number</b>	<b>Action Point</b>	<b>MWJP Policy Impact</b>	<b>Is or likely to be Main Modification</b>	<b>Parties involved in resolving</b>	<b>Inspectors request</b>	<b>Progress</b>
		Policy itself					
16	<b>DMP MIQ 177</b>	LPAs to consider inclusion of an overarching air quality policy	<b>New Policy</b>	yes	NYCC	Yes	<b>Draft policy as MM106</b>
17	DMP – general point	D01 – not required but leaving in does not make Plan unsound, LPAs to take a view whether to leave or remove.	<b>D01</b>	If removed - yes	LPA's	yes	<b>D01 – to be left in the Plan</b>

Examination 20 March 2018

Day 7: Action Points

	MIQ Matter and Number	Action Point	MWJP Policy Impact	Is or likely to be Main Modification	Parties involved in resolving	Inspectors request	Progress
1	Waste Overview <b>MIQ73</b>	LPA to add in explanatory text to the effect that <i>we would be seeking best available technology following discussions with regulatory bodies including green completions</i>	<b>W10 and explanatory text</b>	yes	VP/RP/JW NYCC	KB and others request supported by Inspector.	<b>Done as MM75</b>
2	Waste <b>MIQ 73</b>	LPA'S to add text to make clear that W08 not applicable to hydrocarbons	<b>W08</b>	yes	VP/RP/JW NYCC	yes	<b>Text added MM74</b>
3	Waste <b>MIQ 73</b>	LPA'S to clarify wording in M18 to refer to there being adequate capacity	<b>M18 1 (1)</b>	yes	VP/RP/JW NYCC	YES	<b>Text added MM57</b>
4	<b>Waste MIQ73</b>	LPA's to add trigger to 4.11 so review could be triggered by issue arising from waste water disposal in the context of hydrocarbons	<b>Para 4.11</b>	yes	VP/RP/JW NYCC	YES	<b>Text added MM02</b>
5	Waste <b>MIQ 78</b>	LPA'S to add flexibility to wording in W02(3)	<b>W02(3)</b>	yes	VP/RP/JW NYCC	YES	<b>Text added MM65</b>
6	Waste <b>MIQ ?</b>	LPA'S to add wording to W11 to refer to hazardous waste	<b>W11</b>	yes	VP/RP/JW NYCC	YES	<b>Text added MM77</b>



Examination 21 March 2018

Day 8: Action Points

	MIQ Matter and Number	Action Point	MWJP Policy Impact	Is or likely to be Main Modification	Parties involved in resolving	Inspectors request	Progress
1	Waste (C&I) <b>MIQ 98</b>	LPAs to add reference to policy W03	<b>W04</b>	Yes	NYCC	Yes	<b>MM69 – text added to para 6.55</b>
2	Waste (C&I) <b>MIQ 99</b>	LPAs to add wording to policy W03 so that both W03 and W04 refer to dual capacity of allocated sites	<b>W03 justification text</b>	Yes	NYCC	Yes	<b>MM69 – text added to para 6.55</b>
3	Waste (C&I) <b>MIQ 100</b>	LPAs to add wording to make clear how monitoring will be dealt with	<b>W04 justification text</b>	Yes	NYCC	Yes	<b>Done MM72</b>
4	Waste (C&I) <b>MIQ 101</b>	LPAs to allocate site WJP01 as a C&I waste transfer station in W04	<b>W04</b>	Yes	NYCC	Yes	<b>Done MM70</b>
5	Waste (CD&E) <b>MIQ 102</b>	1.LPAs to produce a note setting out the additional info/figures on meeting the capacity gap, to be provided to PO and interested parties and put on website before 23/03/18  2.Clifford Watts (if they wish) to produce definite figures for Whitewall	<b>W05</b>		NYCC Clifford Watts	Yes	<b>1. Note produced by LPA's 22/3/18</b>  <b>2. Schedule</b>

	<b>MIQ Matter and Number</b>	<b>Action Point</b>	<b>MWJP Policy Impact</b>	<b>Is or likely to be Main Modification</b>	<b>Parties involved in resolving</b>	<b>Inspectors request</b>	<b>Progress</b>
		Quarry capacity and to provide to LPA before 23/03/18					<b>of Figures awaited</b>
6	Waste (CD&E) <b>MIQ 105</b>	Clifford Watts (if they wish) to confirm in their schedule of figures that they would seek allocation of site for duration of plan period	<b>W05</b>		Clifford Watts		<b>Schedule of Figures awaited</b>



