

Publication stage Response form - Part B		
Please use a separate Part B form for each representation		
Name or Organisation :	Ryedale Liberals 6.2 Appendix 6.	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To which part of the Minerals and Waste Joint Plan does this representation relate? 		
Paragraph No./ Site Allocation Reference No.	Policy No. M17 1 i) a) 3846/0973/M17/LC.U.DTC	Policies Map
2. Do you consider the Minerals and Waste Joint Plan is :		
2.(1) Legally compliant	Yes	No
2.(2) Sound		
(2a) Which Element of soundness does your representation relate to? (please only mark with an x one element of soundness per response form).		
<i>Positively Prepared</i>	Yes	<i>Justified</i> Yes
<i>Effective</i>	No	<i>Consistent with National Policy</i> Yes
2 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	

3. Please give details below of why you consider the Minerals and Waste Joint Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Would it be better to not have a plan at all, on the basis that this would give us unlimited flexibility? None of us are aware as to how this is going to work, but in planning terms it is clearly better to have a plan that lacks a little in flexibility than to have a plan that is so flexible that it doesn't work as a plan.

a) This policy is not clear. There are no distances proposed from an A or B road. If it is permissible to use C roads to access more major roads it is not clear how far away would be considered acceptable. It is not clear how repairs to minor roads that are not engineered for high volume HGV traffic would be paid for.

b) There is no pathway for local 'unacceptability' to be monitored or acted upon.

c) Routing of traffic must consider bottlenecks or other issues at a distance from a well

(continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

4. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Minerals and Waste Joint Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the Matter you have identified at 3. above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to cooperate is incapable of modification at examination). You

will need to say why this modification will make the Minerals and Waste Joint Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Access will be permitted in locations with suitable direct access on classified A and B roads. The maximum allowed travel on lesser roads must be tightly controlled and limited to one mile. Vehicles should not pass through a hamlet or village to access the site. The roads lacking adequate foundation should be made robust prior to development commencing at the cost to the operator. Roads must be repaired at the cost to the operator in a timely manner which must be before the end of the development phase.

Vehicles should be tracked to ensure compliance with agreed routes and speeds as well as the use of only agreed parking places prior to accessing the site.

Monitoring of routes must be undertaken regularly for impacts such as congestion, air quality, disadvantage to local business, acceptability to those living locally, more distant bottlenecks and hazards.

In addition, the overall serious road traffic injuries and deaths must be recorded to identify impacts from the industry. Spills from lorries and roll-overs should be monitored.

(continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

5. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

6. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

As the response does not answer our point we feel there needs to be discussion at the EiP.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

All responses received will be considered and any information provided will be made public. My consent is hereby confirmed.

Signature: John Clark	Date: 11 Feb 2018
-----------------------	-------------------