

## Schedule of **Additional Changes** Publication Draft – Post hearing on 13.4.18 and 25 January 2019 and following consultation on Written Ministerial Statement and Select Committee Report – Produced 31<sup>st</sup> January 2019

### Introduction

1. It has been accepted by the Inspector that the changes suggested in the “Addendum of Proposed Changes” (July 2017)(CD09) be treated as part of the Plan as submitted for examination, along with the Publication Draft and its Appendices (CD17-21).
2. The document sets out further modifications which have emerged since the addendum. The changes identified in this document include those identified in the “Schedule of Further Proposed changes to Publication Draft” (November 2017)(SD01), which were incorporated into “Suggested Main Modifications between Submission and MIQs” (February 2018)(LPA37). LPA37 also included amendments to Tables and other supporting text in the draft plan which arose from the document “Implication of any changes resulting from the North Yorkshire sub region LAA 2017 and Addendum of Proposed Changes to Publication Draft July 2017”(January 2018)(LPA06). Some further changes need to be made to those Tables and supporting text (see the Note LPA/68) and these are incorporated into this Schedule.
3. Also included in this Schedule are modifications identified in the Authorities responses to the MIQs and discussed at the examination hearings in spring 2018.
4. Two types of change/modification will be listed in these documents;
  - Additional Changes (AC) – this will include corrections to text, typographical errors and any changes which will not influence the policies in the Plan
  - Main Modifications (MM) – this will include any changes to Policy or supporting text which will have an influence on the Policy.

This document only includes Additional Changes (AC); the Main Modifications (MM) are included in a separate document which can be viewed on the website.

### Key

Example: New Text

**Example:** Deleted Text

**Example:** Text in bold is Policy wording

5. Please note that this is a rolling document which is still to be finalised and subject to sustainability appraisal. Proposed Main Modifications will be available for consultation in due course and parties will be able to provide comments for consideration at that stage. Those Main Modifications will be put forward without prejudice to the Inspector's final conclusions. They will not distinguish between the Main Modifications suggested by the Authorities and those put forward by the Inspector and they will not indicate the stage where the Main Modification has arisen. It should be noted that the Additional Changes will be published for completeness alongside the Main Modifications but they are not for consultation.

| AC number | Page No. | Policy Ref/Paragraph Number/Reference point | Change proposed   | Reason   |
|-----------|----------|---|---|--|
| AC01      | 6        | Policy W10                                  | Revise Policy Title:<br>Policy W10: Overall locational principles for provision of waste <u>management</u> capacity | To correct omission of the word 'management' from the Policy title |
| AC02      | 10       | Figure 1                                    | Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National Park.                                       | Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary.                          |
| AC03      | 11       | Figure 2                                    | Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National Park.                                       | Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary.                          |
| AC04      | 27       | Figure 4                                    | Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National Park.                                       | Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary.                          |
| AC05      | 27       | Figure 5                                    | Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National Park.                                       | Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary.                          |
| AC06      | 28       | Figure 6                                    | Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National Park.                                       | Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary.                          |
| AC07      | 32       | Figure 7                                    | Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National Park.                                       | Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary.                          |

|      |    |           |   |   |
|------|----|-----------|---|---|
| AC09 | 48 | Figure 9  | Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National Park.   | Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary.   |
| AC10 | 66 | Figure 10 | Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National park  | Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary.   |
| AC11 | 68 | 5.68      | <p>Revise the Para:</p> <p>There are only three Mineral Planning Authority areas in England that produce silica sand suitable for high quality glass manufacture: Norfolk and Surrey County Councils and Cheshire East Council. Supply also takes place from Fife in Scotland. Supply from Cheshire East is due to cease in 2016 with no new supply sources available. <del>Neither of Sites within</del> the other two MPAs in England <u>with reserves of silica sand</u> currently <del>has</del> <u>do not have</u> a 10 year <del>landbank stock</del> as required by <del>the NPPF</del> <u>national policy</u>, although both are seeking to make future provision through their emerging land use plans which, if achieved, would enable supply to continue over a longer period should the market require. In both areas resources are constrained by a range of important environmental designations.</p> | To be more consistent with National Policy  |
| AC12 | 69 | Figure 11 | Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National Park.   | Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary.   |
| AC13 | 69 | M13       | <p>Insert text:</p> <p>In Part 1) i) of the Policy:</p> <p><b>i) Allocation <u>as shown on the Policies Map</u> required in order to meet requirements during the Plan period:</b></p> <p><b><u>Land to north of Hemingbrough clay pit (MJP45), in Selby District</u></b></p> <p>In Part 1) ii) of the Policy:</p> <p><b>ii) Allocation <u>as shown on the Policies Map</u> potentially required to contribute to maintaining longer term supply for Plasmor Blockworks</b></p>   | Provides further locational detail for sites to provide clarity and a cross reference to the Policies Map |

|      |    |           |   |   |
|------|----|-----------|---|---|
|      |    |           | <p><b>A Preferred Area on land adjacent to former Escrick Brickworks (MJP55), <u>in Selby District</u></b></p> <p>In Part 2) of the Policy:</p> <p><b>2) Maintaining the supply of clay is also supported through identifying an allocated site <u>as shown on the Policies Map</u> for engineering clay at:</b></p> <p><b>Land north of Duttons Farm, Upper Poppleton (MJP52), <u>in the City of York</u></b></p>  |   |
| AC14 | 75 | Figure 12 | Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National Park.   | Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary. |
| AC15 | 87 | 5.127     | <p>Add additional text:</p> <p>A key factor leading to designation of an area as a National Park or Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty is the quality of its landscape. These areas benefit from a very high degree of protection in national policy, which states that major development within them should be refused unless there are exceptional circumstances and the development would be in the public interest. National Parks and AONBs are very important in contributing to the overall environmental quality, distinctive character and rural economy of the Plan area, yet substantial areas of PEDLs are located in them. In some cases, development outside a National Park or AONB could have an impact on its setting, and conflict with the statutory purposes of its designation. A particular consideration is whether the scale, nature and location of a proposed development <u>close to the designated area</u> would detract from <del>its the</del> special qualities <del>of the designated area</del>. Tall elements of surface hydrocarbons development, such as drill rigs associated with exploration and appraisal, or production wells, may typically be 35-40m in height. Such equipment may only be present on site for relatively short periods, or potentially a number of months, or intermittently over a period of years at established well pads where successive wells are drilled or re-fracturing of existing wells take place.</p> | To provide clarity                        |

|  |         |  | However, where they would be located in close proximity to National Parks or AONBs, they have the potential to cause significant adverse impact on the setting of these important areas. This could include impact on important views to or from the National Park or AONB, or on the dark night skies typically associated with such areas as a result of the need for site lighting during 24-hour operations at some stages of development. Further justification for the protection of the setting of National Parks and AONBs is provided in paras. 9.26 and 9.27.   |   |  |         |                                 |         |  |                                 |         |  |                              |         |  |                    |
|--|---------|--|---|---|--|---------|---------------------------------|---------|--|---------------------------------|---------|--|------------------------------|---------|--|--------------------|
| AC16   | 96      | M18, Key links to other relevant policies and objectives   | Amend Key Links section to include: <a href="#">W08</a>   | Reflects the links between Part 1) of Policy M18: Waste Management and reinjection of wells and Policy W08: Managing waste water and sewage sludge. |  |         |                                 |         |  |                                 |         |  |                              |         |  |                    |
| AC17   | 99      | Figure 16  | Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National Park.   | Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary.   |  |         |                                 |         |  |                                 |         |  |                              |         |  |                    |
| AC18   | 109     | Table 4  | <p>Addition to the ‘comment’ column within the ‘Construction, Demolition and Excavation waste’ row:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="555 938 1451 1385"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">North Yorkshire Sub-region - Estimated Main Waste Arisings 2014 (tonnes)</th> <th>Comment</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Local Authority Collected Waste</td> <td>425,864</td> <td>Does not include arisings within the Redcar and Cleveland area of the NYMNP.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Commercial and Industrial waste</td> <td>322,872</td> <td>Excludes large volumes of power station ash from Drax and Eggborough Power Stations deposited at private disposal facilities at Barlow and Gale Common ash disposal sites.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Construction, Demolition and</td> <td>820,705</td> <td><a href="#">Excludes waste managed at EA</a></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | North Yorkshire Sub-region - Estimated Main Waste Arisings 2014 (tonnes)  |  | Comment | Local Authority Collected Waste | 425,864 | Does not include arisings within the Redcar and Cleveland area of the NYMNP. | Commercial and Industrial waste | 322,872 | Excludes large volumes of power station ash from Drax and Eggborough Power Stations deposited at private disposal facilities at Barlow and Gale Common ash disposal sites. | Construction, Demolition and | 820,705 | <a href="#">Excludes waste managed at EA</a> | To provide clarity |
| North Yorkshire Sub-region - Estimated Main Waste Arisings 2014 (tonnes) |         | Comment  |   |   |  |         |                                 |         |  |                                 |         |  |                              |         |  |                    |
| Local Authority Collected Waste  | 425,864 | Does not include arisings within the Redcar and Cleveland area of the NYMNP.   |   |   |  |         |                                 |         |  |                                 |         |  |                              |         |  |                    |
| Commercial and Industrial waste  | 322,872 | Excludes large volumes of power station ash from Drax and Eggborough Power Stations deposited at private disposal facilities at Barlow and Gale Common ash disposal sites. |   |   |  |         |                                 |         |  |                                 |         |  |                              |         |  |                    |
| Construction, Demolition and   | 820,705 | <a href="#">Excludes waste managed at EA</a>   |   |   |  |         |                                 |         |  |                                 |         |  |                              |         |  |                    |

|      |     |                     |  |   |  |   |
|------|-----|---------------------|--|---|--|---|
|      |     |                     | Excavation waste   |   | <a href="#">Registered Exemption sites.</a>  |   |
|      |     |                     | Hazardous Waste  | 33,143                                  |  |   |
|      |     |                     | Agricultural waste   | 33,786                                  | Excludes large volumes of organic farm waste managed directly within the farm holding. |   |
|      |     |                     | Low-Level Radioactive waste  | Estimated at less than 50m <sup>3</sup> | EA Estimate  |   |
|      |     |                     | Waste water  | No data available                       |  |   |
| AC19 | 118 | Footnote to Table 6 | North Yorkshire sub region Waste Arisings and Capacity Requirements Update Report September 2016 (Urban Vision) – <a href="#">Capacity information subsequently updated March 2017 in accordance with 2015 Environment Agency Waste Data Interrogator</a>  |   |  | Footnote amended to reflect the update to capacity information subsequent to the publication of the September 2016 Report |
| AC20 | 120 | Para. 6.46          | <p>Revise 1<sup>st</sup> sentence:</p> <p>Based on this approach, capacity gaps exist throughout the plan period for <del>recycling of CD&amp;E waste</del>, treatment of waste (physical and chemical), incineration of waste (specialist high temperature) and landfill of Hazardous waste. A capacity gap <a href="#">for recycling of CD&amp;E waste is projected over the majority of the Plan period and for</a> landfill of CD&amp;E waste occurs in the second half of the Plan period. There is potential for a <del>very</del>-small capacity gap for landfill of C&amp;I, LACW and agricultural waste at the end of the plan period. Other waste management methods are projected to be in surplus throughout the Plan period, although as indicated later in this Chapter, provision of further capacity for these forms of waste management may be justified in certain circumstances, including in order to provide further opportunities for movement of specific waste streams up the waste hierarchy or an enhanced geographical network of facilities.</p> |   |  | Updated text to reflect the changes to capacity gaps/surplus in Table 8.  |
| AC21 | 120 | Footnote to Table 8 | <p>Revise footnote:</p> <p>North Yorkshire sub region Waste Arisings and Capacity Requirements Update Report September 2016 (Urban Vision) – <a href="#">Capacity information subsequently updated March 2017 in accordance with 2015 Environment Agency Waste Data Interrogator</a></p>   |   |  | Footnote amended to reflect the update to capacity information subsequent to the publication of the September 2016 Report |

|      |             |      |  |   |
|------|-------------|------|--|---|
| AC22 | 122/<br>123 | 6.55 | <p>Revise the Para:</p> <p>During preparation of the Joint Plan a number of potential allocations were put forward for sites which could manage a combination of LACW and C&amp;I waste, due to the similarity between these streams and the ways in which they need to be managed. A number of these are allocated<sup>37</sup> in the Joint Plan and they have been identified in <u>Policy W03 and</u> Policy W04 dealing with C&amp;I waste. <del> although their potential dual role should be noted in the context of Policy W03<sup>37</sup>.</del></p> | Reflects the addition of site allocations to Policy W03   |
| AC23 | 123         | 6.56 | <p>Revise final sentence:</p> <p>There is potential for a <del>very</del> small gap in non-hazardous landfill capacity at the end of the Plan period.</p>  | Updated text to reflect the changes to capacity gaps/surplus in table 8                               |
| AC24 | 123         | 6.59 | <p>Revise 3<sup>rd</sup> sentence:</p> <p>Permission was also granted in 2014 for a substantial anaerobic digestion facility at the former North Selby Mine site in the City of York, <del>although this too has not yet</del> <u>which has</u> been implemented <u>but is not yet operational</u>.</p>  | Updated text to reflect the implementation of the North Selby AD planning permission in November 2016 |
| AC25 | 125         | 6.61 | <p>Revise 6<sup>th</sup> sentence:</p> <p>Policy W10 addressing Overall locational principles for provision of waste <u>management</u> capacity</p>  | To correct omission of the word 'management' from the Policy title                                    |
| AC26 | 125         | 6.63 | <p>Revise 5<sup>th</sup> sentence:</p> <p><del>An unimplemented</del> <u>A</u> planning permission also exists for a substantial anaerobic digestion facility at the former North Selby Mine site in York.</p>   | Updated text to reflect the implementation of the North Selby AD planning permission in November 2016 |
| AC27 | 125/<br>126 | 6.64 | <p>Revise the Para:</p> <p>In <del>these</del> circumstances <u>where committed capacity at all of the following sites:</u></p>  | Identifies large scale waste facilities for clarity   |

|      |     |           |  |  |
|------|-----|-----------|--|--|
|      |     |           | <u>Allerton Waste Recovery Park facility, Southmoor Energy Centre (WJP03), former ARBRE Power Station (WJP25) and North Selby Mine (WJP02), becomes operational</u> it is not considered appropriate to support the principle of further large-scale recovery capacity in the area where the waste proposed to be managed would arise mainly outside the Plan area, unless it can be demonstrated that the facility would represent the nearest appropriate installation for recovery of the waste, in line with relevant legislation. |  |
| AC28 | 129 | 6.75      | Revise 4 <sup>th</sup> sentence:<br><br>Policy W10 addressing Overall locational principles for provision of waste <u>management</u> capacity  | To correct omission of the word 'management' from the Policy title   |
| AC29 | 131 | 6.79      | Revise 3 <sup>rd</sup> sentence:<br><br>There is however a range of specialist provision in the area, including specialist storage, processing and incineration plants for animal <del>s</del> by-products.  | To correct a typographical error   |
| AC30 | 131 | 6.81      | Revise 4 <sup>th</sup> sentence:<br><br>National policy indicates that local plans for waste should address the need to <del>for</del> manage this waste stream.   | To correct a typographical error   |
| AC31 | 133 | 6.90      | Revise 2 <sup>nd</sup> sentence:<br><br>In some instances, particularly for larger scale <del>WWTW</del> <u>waste water treatment works</u> , it may be appropriate to co-locate anaerobic digestion capacity at the site as this would reduce the need for transport of waste.  | To replace an acronym with the full term, as the term has not previously been used   |
| AC32 | 136 | Figure 17 | Amend Plan to reflect site data in the North Yorkshire Sub-region Waste Arisings and Capacity Requirements Update Report (September 2016).   | Due to an oversight, the Plan in the Publication Draft Plan does not reflect the North Yorkshire Sub-region Waste Arisings and Capacity Requirements |



|      |     |  |  |  |
|------|-----|--|--|--|
|      |     |  | Amend Plan to reflect updated site data.   | Update Report (September 2016). This change corrects this.<br><br>Waste Sites updated as a result of released 2015 Waste Data Interrogator, inclusion of new waste facilities and changes to methods and waste streams managed at existing waste facilities. |
| AC33 | 136 | Figure 17  | Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National Park.  | Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary.  |
| AC34 | 137 | Policy W10 Title   | Revise Policy Title:<br><br><b>Policy W10: Overall locational principles for provision of waste <u>management</u> capacity</b>   | To correct omission of the word 'management' from the Policy title   |
| MM74 | 143 | Figure 18  | Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National Park.  | Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary.  |
| AC35 | 158 | S06 (Policy will change to S07)  | Revise 1 <sup>st</sup> sentence of the Policy:<br><br><b>Where development, other than exempt development as defined in the Safeguarding Exemption Criteria list, <u>as set out in paragraph 8.47</u>, is proposed in an area safeguarded on the Policies Map for minerals resources, minerals transport infrastructure, minerals ancillary infrastructure and waste infrastructure, and the proposed development site is located outside the City of York and North York Moors National Park areas, consultation with North Yorkshire County Council will be required before permission is granted.</b> | To provide a cross reference to location of exemptions list  |
| AC36 | 159 | 8.47, Safeguarding exemption criteria list ( <i>Italics: PC88 in the</i> | Revise 12 <sup>th</sup> bullet point:<br><br>Applications for development on land which is already allocated in an adopted local plan where the plan took account of minerals, waste <i>and minerals and waste transport infrastructure</i> safeguarding requirements, <u>or, in the case of an emerging local plan allocations, where the Minerals and Waste Planning</u>   | To clarify that the Safeguarding Exemption Criteria list includes reference to allocations in emerging local plans, in addition to those that are adopted.   |

|      |         |   |   |  |
|------|---------|---|---|--|
|      |         | <i>Addendum of Proposed Changes to Publication Draft (July 2017))</i> | <a href="#">Authority has raised no safeguarding concerns during consultation on the emerging plan allocation</a>   |  |
| AC37 | 167     | 9.24  | Revise 3 <sup>rd</sup> sentence:<br><br>It should be noted that major development in terms of paragraph 116 of the NPPF is not the same as that defined under the Town and Country Planning Act (Development Management Procedure <del>Order</del> ) (England) Order <del>2010</del> <a href="#">2015</a> . | To update to reflect the current regulations   |
| AC38 | 179-180 | Water Environment Heading   | Ensure the 'Water Environment' and 'Policy Justification' headings are at the head of the page.   | To improve presentation of the document        |
| AC39 | 179     | D09   | Revise Part 4) of the Policy:<br><br><b>....climate mitigation and adaption measures including use of sustainable <del>urban</del> drainage systems.</b>  | Corrected for accuracy                         |
| AC40 | 183     | Figure 19   | Change the number from Figure <del>19</del> to Figure <a href="#">20</a>  |  |
| AC41 | 183     | Figure 20   | Amend Plan to reflect the extended boundary of Yorkshire Dales National Park.   | Reflects the change in the YDNP boundary.      |
| AC42 | 188     | 9.98  | Revise 4 <sup>th</sup> sentence of the Para:<br><br>The incorporation of sustainable design measures such as sustainable <del>urban</del> drainage systems (SuDs),  | Corrected for accuracy                         |
| AC43 | 192     | D13   | Revise 1 <sup>st</sup> sentence of the Policy:<br><br><b>... identified by the Coal Authority <a href="#">as shown on the Interactive Policies Map and on page 4 of the paper version of the Policies Map</a>, proposals should be accompanied by ...</b>   | Provides a cross reference to the Policies Map |

|      |                       |   |  |   |
|------|-----------------------|---|--|---|
| AC44 | 192                   | D13   | Revise text in Policy<br><br>Amend text of Policy D13 as following:<br>'... <b>exempt development as defined in the Development High Risk Exemptions list, <u>as set out in paragraphs 9.116 and 9.117</u>, is proposed within Development High Risk Areas ...'</b>  | To provide clarity                              |
| AC45 | 192                   | 9.113   | Revise 3 <sup>rd</sup> sentence:<br><br>They occur mainly within Selby District and more limited locations in the <a href="#">North York Moors National Park and in the</a> western part of the Plan area.   | To provide clarity                              |
|      |                       |   |  |   |
| AC46 | Appendix 1 Title Page |   | Revise Appendix 1 Title:<br>Allocated Sites <a href="#">and</a> Areas of Search  | To correct a typographical error.               |
| AC47 | Appendix 1 p 5-6      | Contents list                                     | Update to reflect addition of MJP12, MJP13, MJP15 and WJP01 sites as allocations   | To reflect decision of Inspector in EIP         |
| AC48 | Appendix 1            | Heading of 2 <sup>nd</sup> box down for each site | Change for each site the 2 <sup>nd</sup> box heading:<br><del>Nature of Submitted Proposal</del> <a href="#">Nature of Allocation</a>  | To reflect status of site at Main Modifications |
| AC49 | Appendix 1 page 11    | WJP13   | Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Structures proposed over 50m in height</a></li> </ul><br>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development</a></li> </ul> | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement           |
| AC50 | Appendix              | WJP17   | Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:   | Requested by MOD in Hearing                     |

|      |                                 |       |   |                                       |
|------|---------------------------------|-------|---|---------------------------------------|
|      | ndix<br>1<br>page<br>14         |       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Structures proposed over 50m in height</a></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development</a></li> </ul>   | Statement                             |
| AC51 | Appen<br>dix<br>1<br>page<br>17 | MJP06 | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Structures proposed over 91.4m in height</a></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 91.4m in height in connection with this development</a></li> </ul>   | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement |
| AC52 | Appen<br>dix<br>1<br>page<br>21 | MJP07 | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Structures proposed over 91.4m in height</a></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 91.4m in height in connection with this development and any development of open water bodies, creation of wetland habitat, refuse or landfill site within the RAF Leeming and RAF Topcliffe birdstrike safeguarding zones</a></li> </ul> | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement |
| AC53 | Appen<br>dix<br>1<br>page<br>25 | MJP33 | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Structures proposed over 91.4m in height</a></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 91.4m in height in connection with this development and any development of open water bodies, creation of wetland habitat, refuse or landfill site within the RAF Leeming birdstrike safeguarding zone</a></li> </ul>                    | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement |
| AC54 | Appen                           | MJP11 | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p>   | Requested by MOD in Hearing           |

|      |                |   |   |  |
|------|----------------|---|---|--|
|      | ndix 1 page 29 |   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Structures proposed over 15.2m in height</u></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 15.2m in height in connection with this development and any development of open water bodies, creation of wetland habitat, refuse or landfill site within the RAF Leeming birdstrike safeguarding zone</u></li> </ul>  | Statement  |
| AC55 | Appendix 1 p41 | MJP14 Key sensitivities                 | <p>Revise 1<sup>st</sup> bullet point:<br/>Ecological issues, including impacts on: Ripon Parks and River Ure Bank Ripon Parks SSSIs, SINCs, High Batts <del>SSSI and</del> Nature Reserve and river Ure Corridor, woodland, protected species, <u>lamprey as an Annex ii species of the Humber Estuary SAC and the</u> presence of invasive species <u>including himalayan balsam.</u></p> <p>Revise 5<sup>th</sup> bullet point:<br/>Water issues, including: hydrology, dewatering, flood risk (zones 2 and 3), surface water drainage, <del>and</del> potential for flood storage <u>and water quality &amp; geomorphology issues important to the features of the SSSI.</u></p> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Structures proposed over 91.4m in height</u></li> </ul>              | To correct a factual error and clarify the key sensitivities of the Site |
| AC56 | Appendix 1 p41 | MJP14 Development requirements criteria | <p>Revise 1<sup>st</sup> bullet point:<br/>Mitigation of ecological issues, in particular with regard to avoiding impacts on the Ripon Parks and River Ure Bank Ripon Parks SSSIs and the River Ure to demonstrate that minerals extraction at this site will not destroy or damage the interest features for which the High Batts <u>Nature Reserve</u>, Ripon Parks and River Ure Bank Ripon Parks SSSIs are designated. <u>This includes designing the development (including any bunds and discharge outfalls) to protect the SSSI ecological features from the impact of haul roads and the impacts of flood events and potential erosion by the river that might lead to river encroachment into the quarry and SSSI (to include a buffer zone between the north western part of the development and the River Ure), or alterations to the stability of the</u></p> | To clarify the Development requirements of the Site                      |

|      |                    |       |  |                                       |
|------|--------------------|-------|--|---------------------------------------|
|      |                    |       | <p><u>hydrology associated with the SSSI and to protect lamprey as an Annex ii species of the Humber Estuary SAC</u>; and, in respect of protected species, including measures to address and control invasive species</p> <p>Revise last bullet point:<br/>An appropriate restoration using opportunities for habitat creation, but which is also appropriate to location within a birdstrike safeguarding zone <u>and which includes long term management arrangements to ensure the protection and enhancement of the SSSI.</u></p> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 91.4m in height in connection with this development and any development of open water bodies, creation of wetland habitat, refuse or landfill site within the RAF Leeming and RAF Topcliffe birdstrike safeguarding zones</u></li> </ul> |                                       |
| AC57 | Appendix 1 page 45 | MJP10 | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Structures proposed over 91.4m in height or over 47.5m in height</u></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>The Ministry of Defence should be consulted in respect of RAF Leeming on any structures proposed over 91.4m in height at this development; in respect of RAF Topcliffe on any structures proposed over 47.5m in height and any development of open water bodies, creation of wetland habitat, refuse or landfill site within the RAF Leeming birdstrike safeguarding zone</u></li> </ul>  | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement |
| AC58 | Appendix 1 page    | WJP08 | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Structures proposed over 91.4m in height</u></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p>   | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement |

|      |                    |       |   |                                       |
|------|--------------------|-------|---|---------------------------------------|
|      | 49                 |       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 91.4m in height in connection with this development and any development of open water bodies, creation of wetland habitat, refuse or landfill site within the RAF Linton on Ouse birdstrike safeguarding zone</u></li> </ul>  |                                       |
| AC59 | Appendix 1 page 53 | WJP24 | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Structures proposed over 91.4m in height or over 47.5m in height</u></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>The Ministry of Defence should be consulted in respect of RAF Leeming on any structures proposed over 91.4m in height at this development and in respect of RAF Topcliffe on any structures proposed over 47.5m in height</u></li> </ul> | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement |
| AC60 | Appendix 1 page 56 | WJP18 | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Structures proposed over 91.4m in height</u></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>The Ministry of Defence should be consulted in respect of RAF Leeming on any structures proposed over 91.4m in height at this development.</u></li> </ul>  | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement |
| AC61 | Appendix 1 page 59 | MJP08 | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Structures proposed over 50m in height</u></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development</u></li> </ul>   | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement |
| AC62 | Appendix 1 page 63 | MJP30 | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Structures proposed over 50m in height</u></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p>  | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement |

|      |                    |       |  |                                       |
|------|--------------------|-------|--|---------------------------------------|
|      |                    |       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development</a></li> </ul>  |                                       |
| AC63 | Appendix 1 page 66 | MJP63 | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Structures proposed over 50m in height</a></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development</a></li> </ul>  | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement |
| AC64 | Appendix 1 page 70 | WJP15 | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Structures proposed over 15.2m in height</a></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted in respect of Staxton Wold Radar on any structures proposed over 15.2m in height</a></li> </ul> | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement |
| AC65 | Appendix 1 page 73 | MJP45 | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Structures proposed over 50m in height</a></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development</a></li> </ul>  | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement |
| AC66 | Appendix 1 page 78 | MJP55 | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Structures proposed over 50m in height</a></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development</a></li> </ul>  | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement |
| AC67 | Appendix           | MJP28 | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Structures proposed over 50m in height</a></li> </ul>   | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement |



|      |                             |  |   |   |
|------|-----------------------------|--|---|---|
|      | 1<br>page<br>82             |  | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development</a></li> </ul>  |   |
| AC68 | Appendix<br>1<br>page<br>85 | MJP29  | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Structures proposed over 50m in height</a></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development</a></li> </ul> | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement                 |
| AC69 | Appendix<br>1<br>p89        | MJP23 Key Sensitivities and Development requirements | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Structures proposed over 50m in height</a></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development</a></li> </ul> | Additional clarification as required by the Inspector |
| AC70 | Appendix<br>1<br>page<br>93 | MJP22  | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Structures proposed over 50m in height</a></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development</a></li> </ul> | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement                 |
| AC71 | Appendix<br>1<br>page<br>99 | MJP54  | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Structures proposed over 50m in height</a></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p>   | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement                 |

|      |                     |       |   |                                       |
|------|---------------------|-------|---|---------------------------------------|
|      |                     |       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development</a></li> </ul>   |                                       |
| AC72 | Appendix 1 page 102 | MJP09 | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Structures proposed over 50m in height</a></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development</a></li> </ul> | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement |
| AC73 | Appendix 1 page 105 | MJP24 | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Structures proposed over 50m in height</a></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development</a></li> </ul> | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement |
| AC74 | Appendix 1 page 108 | MJP27 | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Structures proposed over 50m in height</a></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development</a></li> </ul> | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement |
| AC75 | Appendix 1 page 111 | MJP26 | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Structures proposed over 50m in height</a></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development</a></li> </ul> | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement |
| AC76 | Appendix            | WJP10 | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Structures proposed over 50m in height</a></li> </ul>  | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement |

|      |                           |   |   |  |
|------|---------------------------|---|---|--|
|      | 1<br>page<br>114          |   | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development</a></li> </ul>  |  |
| AC77 | Appendix 1<br>page<br>117 | WJP16   | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Structures proposed over 50m in height</a></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development</a></li> </ul> | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement  |
| AC78 | Appendix 1<br>page<br>120 | WJP06   | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Structures proposed over 50m in height</a></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development</a></li> </ul> | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement  |
| AC79 | Appendix 1<br>page<br>125 | WJP22   | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Structures proposed over 50m in height</a></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development</a></li> </ul> | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement  |
| AC80 | Appendix 1<br>p126        | WJP22 –<br>Reasons for<br>allocating<br>site,<br>2 <sup>nd</sup> Para | <p>Revise the Para:</p> <p>The allocation of this site could contribute to the further provision of a range of infrastructure which could help move waste up the waste hierarchy (Policy W01) <a href="#">and provide flexibility in capacity for management of C&amp;I waste in line with Policy W04.</a> <del>and it</del> <a href="#">The allocation</a> would not conflict with other strategic</p>   | To clarify that the site could play a role in the management of C & I waste. |

|      |                     |       |   |                                       |
|------|---------------------|-------|---|---------------------------------------|
|      |                     |       | policies in the Plan, including Policy W02 facilitating net self-sufficiency in the management of waste and would be consistent with the overall locational principles for waste capacity (Policy W10) and Policy W11 waste site identification principles.   |                                       |
| AC81 | Appendix 1 page 129 | WJP03 | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Structures proposed over 50m in height</a></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development</a></li> </ul> | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement |
| AC82 | Appendix 1 page 132 | WJP25 | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Structures proposed over 50m in height</a></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development</a></li> </ul> | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement |
| AC83 | Appendix 1 page 135 | WJP19 | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Structures proposed over 50m in height</a></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development</a></li> </ul> | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement |
| AC84 | Appendix 1 page 138 | MJP52 | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Structures proposed over 91.4m in height</a></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed</a></li> </ul>  | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement |

|      |                     |                  |   |                                       |
|------|---------------------|------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
|      |                     |                  | <u>over 91.4m in height in connection with this development and any development of open water bodies, creation of wetland habitat, refuse or landfill site within the RAF Linton on Ouse birdstrike safeguarding zone</u>   |                                       |
| AC85 | Appendix 1 page 141 | WJP02            | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Structures proposed over 50m in height</u></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 50m in height in connection with this development</u></li> </ul>   | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement |
| AC86 | Appendix 1 page 145 | WJP05            | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Structures proposed over 91.4m in height</u></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 91.4m in height in connection with this development and any development of open water bodies, creation of wetland habitat, refuse or landfill site within the RAF Linton on Ouse birdstrike safeguarding zone</u></li> </ul> | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement |
| AC87 | Appendix 1 page 148 | WJP11            | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Structures proposed over 91.4m in height</u></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 91.4m in height in connection with this development and any development of open water bodies, creation of wetland habitat, refuse or landfill site within the RAF Linton on Ouse birdstrike safeguarding zone</u></li> </ul> | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement |
| AC88 | Appendix 1 page 153 | Area of Search A | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Structures proposed over 91.4m, 45.7 and 15.2 in height within this area</u></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p>  | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement |

|      |                     |                                  |   |   |
|------|---------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|
|      |                     |                                  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 91.4m, 45.7m and 15.2m in height in connection with development within this area and any development as it lies within the RAF Topcliffe birdstrike safeguarding zone</a></li> </ul>   |   |
| AC89 | Appendix 1 page 155 | Area of Search C                 | <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Key Sensitivities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Structures proposed over 91.4m, 45.7 and 15.2 in height within this area</a></li> </ul> <p>Insert extra bullet point at the end of the Development requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">The Ministry of Defence should be consulted on any structures proposed over 91.4m, 45.7m and 15.2m in height in connection with development within this area and any development as it lies within the RAF Dishforth birdstrike safeguarding zone</a></li> </ul> | Requested by MOD in Hearing Statement                   |
| AC90 | Appendix 2 p186     | Knapton Quarry safeguarding plan | Revise plan area to reflect the inclusion of the existing additional facility types (transfer, treatment and recycling).  | To more accurately reflect the current size of the site |
| AC91 |                     | Policies Map                     | Revise title on 4 <sup>th</sup> page of the paper version (CD23):<br><del>Coal Mining Development Referral Area</del> <a href="#">Development High Risk Area</a> -- Policy Ref No. D13  | Corrected for accuracy                                  |
| AC92 |                     | Policies Map                     | Revise MJP11, MJP17 and WJP22 site allocation boundaries, <a href="#">add sites MJP12, MJP13, MJP15 and WJP01 as well as</a> safeguarded Showfield Lane waste facility on the interactive map   | To reflect change in boundaries for these sites         |
| AC93 |                     | Policies Map                     | Revise MJP11, MJP17 and WJP22 site allocation boundaries and <a href="#">add in Allocations for WJP01, MJP12, MJP13 and MJP15 as well as</a> safeguarded Showfield Lane waste facility on paper version of the following maps: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Aerodrome Safeguarding - Policy No. = D10</li> <li>Agricultural Land Classification - Policy No. = D12</li> </ul>   | To reflect change in boundaries for these sites         |

|      |  |              |  |  |
|------|--|--------------|--|--|
|      |  |              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Coal Mining Development Referral Area - Policy No. = D13</li> <li>• Water Environment including Flood Risk - Policy No. = D09</li> <li>• PEDL licences - Policy No.s M16, M17 &amp; M18</li> <li>• Environmental and Historic Designations - MAP FIVE</li> <li>• Environmental and Historic Designations - MAP SIX</li> <li>• Environmental and Historic Designations - MAP EIGHT</li> <li>• Minerals Resource Safeguarding Maps - MAP 5</li> <li>• Minerals Resource Safeguarding Maps - MAP 6</li> <li>• Minerals Resource Safeguarding Maps - MAP 8</li> </ul> |  |
| AC94 |  | Policies Map | Add in PEDL 258 onto hydrocarbon layer   | Corrected for accuracy   |
| AC95 |  | Policies Map | Add Historic Character and Setting of York layer to Policies Map   | To reflect defined area identified by City of York Council                           |
| AC96 |  | Policies Map | Remove safeguarding area for Boulby Mine potash site   | Extraction now taking place under the sea so do not need to safeguard land resource. |
| AC97 |  | Policies Map | Add revised safeguarded potash area to policies map  | For accuracy   |