






Minerals and Waste Joint Plan



Sustainability Appraisal Supporting Paper Historic Impact Assessment

October 2016

Contents

Introduction	
Methodology/	approach3
Site Appendic	ces7
Appendix 1	MJP06 Langwith Hall Farm8
Appendix 2	MJP07 Oaklands11
Appendix 3	MJP10 Potgate Quarry14
Appendix 4	MJP17 Land south of Catterick
Appendix 5	MJP21 Land at Killerby23
Appendix 6	MJP22 Hensall Quarry29
Appendix 7	MJP33 Home Farm, Kirkby Fleetham
Appendix 8	MJP51 Great Givendale
Appendix 9	MJP55 Land adjacent to former Escrick Brickworks

Introduction

Following guidance from Historic England after the consultation on the Preferred Options, a number of sites were subject to further assessment of the contribution that they currently make to the significance of designated historic assets, as well as their predicted contribution once developed. The methodology used to make this assessment was agreed with Historic England and the findings of the assessment are set out below.

Methodology/approach

1(c) Heritage assets likely to be affected by the Site's development.

Data was acquired from the Historic England Heritage List data download site for Listed Buildings within 1km of each site, Scheduled Monuments within 2km and Registered Parks and Gardens, Registered Battlefields and World Heritage Sites within 5km. Data was acquired from the relevant district council websites for Conservation Areas within 1km of each site.

2(a) Contribution that the site in its present form makes to the significance of the heritage asset (including positive and negative contributions)

Data for non-designated heritage assets was gathered from the North Yorkshire Historic Environment Record within 100m of each site to assess any potential impacts to designated assets through loss or damage to related assets.

Site visits were undertaken during August 2016 to further contextualise the sites as they related to the heritage assets.

2(b) Predicted effect which the proposed development might have upon those elements identified in step 2(a) which contribute to the significance of the heritage asset (including positive and negative effects)

Assessments of impact were undertaken following the methodology detailed in DMRB, Volume 11, Section 3, Part 2: Cultural Heritage HA208/07:

Value

Assessment of value has involved consideration of how far the asset(s) contribute to an understanding of the past, through their individual or group qualities, either directly or potentially. These are professional judgements, but they are also guided by legislation, national policies, acknowledged standards, designations, criteria and priorities.

The assessment has involved reference to Annexes 5, 6 and 7 of the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB), HA208/07 (Cultural Heritage) which recommends the adoption of six ratings for value in relation to archaeology and built heritage: very high, high, medium, low, negligible and unknown. Definitions for each rating are outlined in Table 1 and 2.

Many of the assets are artefact scatters or findspots which have been identified through fieldwalking and surface collection. Since the items have been removed through surface collection, they have been assessed as negligible value. The location, frequency and value of the items themselves, however, may relate to the location of potential archaeological remains.

Table1: Factors for Assessing the Value of Archaeological Assets

Value	Example
Very High	World Heritage Sites (including nominated sites)
	Assets of acknowledged international importance
	Assets that can contribute significantly to acknowledged international research objectives
High	Scheduled Monuments (including proposed sites)
	Undesignated assets of scheduled quality and importance
	Assets that can contribute significantly to acknowledged national research objectives
Medium	Designated or undesignated assets that contribute to regional research objectives
Low	Designated and undesignated assets of local importance
	Assets compromised by poor preservation and/or poor survival of contextual associations
	Assets of limited value, but with potential to contribute to local research objectives
Negligible	Assets with very little or no surviving archaeological interest
Unknown	The importance of the resource has not been ascertained

Table 2: Criteria for Establishing the Value of Built Heritage Assets

Value	Status and Definition
Very High	International importance i.e. World Heritage Sites.
High	National importance i.e. listed buildings at Grade I and II* Scheduled Ancient Monuments with standing remains, conservation areas containing very important buildings and undesignated structures of clear national importance.
Medium	Regional importance i.e. listed buildings at Grade II, conservation areas containing buildings that contribute significantly to its historic character, historic townscape with important integrity in their buildings, or built settings and undesignated structures of clear regional importance.
Low	Local importance i.e. undesignated assets of modest quality in their fabric or historical association and historic townscape of limited historic integrity (including buildings and structures included in local list prepared by local authority).
Negligible	Assets of no architectural or historical note
Unknown	Assets with some hidden i.e. inaccessible potential for historic or architectural significance.

Magnitude of Impact

The determination of magnitude of impact has been based on the vulnerability of the study area, its current state of survival/condition and the nature of the impact upon it. The survival and extent of the archaeological deposits is often uncertain and consequently, the magnitude of change can be difficult to predict with any certainty.

The assessment has involved reference to Annexes 5, 6 and 7 of DMRB guidance HA208/07 (Cultural Heritage) which recommends the adoption of six ratings for value in relation to archaeology and built heritage: very high, high, medium, low, negligible and unknown. Definitions for each rating are outlined in Tables 3 and 4.

Magnitude of Impact	Example
Major	Change to most or all key archaeological materials, such that the resource is totally altered Comprehensive changes to setting
Moderate	Changes to many key archaeological materials, such that the resource is clearly modified Considerable changes to setting that affect the character of the asset
Minor	Changes to key archaeological materials, such that the asset is slightly altered Slight change to setting
Negligible	Very minor changes to archaeological materials, or setting
No Change	No change

Table 3: Factors for Assessing the Magnitude of Impact for Archaeological Assets

Table 4: Criteria for the Assessment of the Magnitude of Impact for Built Heritage Assets

Magnitude	Factors in the assessment of the magnitude of impacts
Major	Change to key elements of the built heritage asset(s), such that the resource is totally altered Comprehensive changes to the setting
Moderate	Change to many key elements of the built heritage asset(s), such that the resource is significantly modified Changes to the setting of a built heritage asset, such that it is significantly modified
Minor	Change to key elements of the built heritage asset(s), such that the asset is slightly different. Change to setting of a built heritage asset, such that it is noticeably changed.
Negligible	Slight changes to elements of the built heritage asset(s) or setting that hardly affect it

3(a) Are there other developments nearby that could add to the effect?

Other development application sites nearby the site were considered as part of assessment of the effect on the asset

3(b) Proposed measures by which any harm might be reduced

Where the assessment has identified higher order impacts, suitable mitigation measures have been identified. This may involve protecting a particular asset(s) or a requirement for further investigation or recording. At this stage, these mitigation proposals represent possible outcomes of the application process and do not represent detailed proposals, except where detailed proposals have been submitted by the applicant.

3(c) Likely effect on elements identified in Step 2(a) which contribute to the significance of the asset with the mitigation measures identified in Step 3(b) in place.

The effects of the site on the asset were reassessed using the above methodology in the light of the suggested mitigation

3(d) Are there any means by which the significance of the heritage asset might be enhanced by this development

The assessment of effects in the above method can arrive at positive and negative outcomes. The possibility of enhancement was assessed in the light of proposed mitigation measures in section 3(b)

4(a) Discussion about the merits of allocating the site for development versus the impact upon the significance of the heritage asset.

This section is the result of the above assessment method, modified by the broader perspective of the planning specialists

Site Appendices

STEP 1: UTILISE DATA DRAWN FROM SITE SELECTION METHODOLOGY / UNDERTAKE SITE VISIT WITH HERITAGE PROFESSIONAL TO VERIFY HERITAGE SITES THAT SHOULD BE SCOPED IN.		EXPERTISE		STEP3: PARTLY IDENTIFIED THROUGH SITE SELECTION METHODOLOGY / NEEDS HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT EXPERTISE (DISCUSSION)				STEP 4: REQUIRES DISCUSSION BETWEEN PLANNING SPECIALISTS AND HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT SPECIALIST	
1(a) Site Ref	1(b) Location	1(c) Heritage assets likely to be affected by the Site's development (Record each as separate line)	2(a) Contribution that the site in its present form makes to the significance of the heritage asset (including positive and negative contributions)	2 (b) Predicted effect which the proposed development might have upon those elements identified in step 2(a) which contribute to the significance of the heritage asset (including positive and negative effects)	3(a) Are there other developments nearby that could add to the effect?	3(b) Proposed measures by which any harm might be reduced	3(c) Likely effect on elements identified in Step 2(a) which contribute to the significance of the asset with the mitigation measures identified in Step 3(b) in place.	3(d) Are there any means by which the significance of the heritage asset might be enhanced by this development	4(a) Discussion about the merits of allocating the site for development versus the impact upon the significance of the heritage asset.
Areas o	f Search							visits show greater inter-	
MJP06	Langwith Hall Farm – sand and gravel extraction	table. 1 Scheduled Monument "Earth circles, cursus, pit alignments and burial sites near Nosterfield and Thornborough, including Centre Hill round barrow". Recorded on the	Site is partially screened by topography and vegetation so is not readily visible. Site contributes to landscape setting of monument as medieval and post medieval farming	Removal of landscape context and permanent replacement of agricultural land with open water in long views from the monument may detract from designation significance. Additional traffic through Nosterfield village would increase	Cumulative landscape change with existing quarries to south and proposed Oaklands site to west.	Landscaping measures may be taken to revert the landscape as much as possible back to present condition after the quarry is	It is likely that investigation works could produce positive effects that would balance the effect on significance.to a <u>Negligible</u> level. Landscaping measures may be	Comprehensiv e, detailed archaeological and palaeoenviron mental investigation may enhance the significance of	Site could contribute to meeting requirements for sand and gravel in the southwards distribution area. The proposal will have an impact on the landscape setting but this is likely to be minor

Historic England	landscape as well	intrusive noise.	exhausted. It i	able to reduce	the monument	due t
Monuments At Risk	as the general	This is considered to be	however,	some of the effects	by adding to	and t
Survey(1004912)	topography which	a Minor negative effect	unlikely that	once the site is	our knowledge	is po
750m south	has survived	on significance.	the complete	exhausted, but	of the past	reduc
	through the		restoration of	without a detailed	landscape.	effec
	various periods of		the landscape	plan, this is difficult		resto
	the monument.		will be	to quantify.		
	Non-designated		possible.			The p
	assets include a		Comprehensiv			direc
	potential for		e			on ar
	unidentified		archaeologica			herita
	prehistoric assets		and			asset
	which could form		palaeoenviron			not s
	part of the		mental			warra
	significance of the		investigation			prese
	archaeological		may provide			mitig
	landscape at		information to			acce
	Thornborough		enhance the			
	Moor. The site		significance of			
	does not have a		the monument			
	direct impact on					
	the Scheduled					
	Monument, but is					
	visible in views					
	from cursus					
	towards round					
	barrow					
5 Grade II Listed	Site is screened	Continued traffic flows on	Measures to	Mitigation would	n/a	Main
Buildings in	by topography and	B6267 will extend the	ensure	remove this effect		serve
Nosterfield Village	vegetation so is	existing noise impacts by	minimum	on significance.		away
between 680m and	not visible. No	the lifespan of the site.	traffic			howe
880m south. "The	other contribution	The access point is away	movement			reaso
Freemasons Arms,	to asset	from the village, so this is	through the			traffic
Chapel Row X 2,	significance is	considered a <u>Minor</u>	village			throu
Village Farmhouse	observed.	Negative effect on				this v
and Kiln		significance.				probl
Farmhouse"						traffic
(1150778, 1315194,						migh
1190346,						which
1150783,1190384)						effec
1 Scheduled	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There
Monument "Moated	by topography,	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		<u>be no</u>
site at Upsland	vegetation and			significance.		signif

able to reduce	the monument	due to existing screening
some of the effects	by adding to	and topography. There
once the site is	our knowledge	is potential to further
exhausted, but	of the past	reduce the overall
vithout a detailed	landscape.	effects through
olan, this is difficult		restoration.
o quantify.		
		The proposal will have direct physical impacts on archaeological heritage assets. These assets in themselves are not so important as to warrant physical preservation and a mitigation scheme is acceptable.
litigation would	n/a	Main markets will be
emove this effect		served by movements
on significance.		away from the village
		however it would not be
		reasonable to prevent all
		traffic movements
		through the village and
		this would displace the
		problem elsewhere. A
		traffic management plan
		might identify mitigation
		which could lessen this
		effect on significance.
here is considered	n/a	There is considered to
o be no effect on		be no effect on
ignificance.		significance.
	L	

	ırm" (1015439)	buildings so is not					
1.7	7km south-east	visible. No other					
		contribution to					
		asset significance					
		is observed.					
1 G	Grade II	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There is considered to
Reg	gistered Park and	by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		be no effect on
Gai	arden "Thorpe	vegetation so is			significance.		significance.
Per	errow" (1001075)	not visible. No					
3.4	4km north-west	other contribution					
		to asset					
		significance is					
		observed.					
1 G	Grade II	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There is considered to
Reg	gistered Park and	by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		be no effect on
Gai	arden "Norton	vegetation so is			significance.		significance.
Cor	onyers" (1001068)	not visible. No					
3.4	1km north-west	other contribution					
		to asset					
		significance is					
		observed.					

Appendix 2 MJP07 Oaklands

SITE SE UNDER HERITA	ELECTION ME TAKE SITE V GE PROFES GE SITES TH D IN.	TA DRAWN FROM ETHODOLOGY / 'ISIT WITH SIONAL TO VERIFY HAT SHOULD BE SITE VISIT TAKES PLACE	STEP 2: NEEDS HI	ISTORIC ENVIRONMENT			OUGH SITE SELECTI RIC ENVIRONMENT E	-
1(a) Site Ref	1(b) Location	1(c) Heritage assets likely to be affected by the Site's development (Record each as separate line)	2(a) Contribution that the site in its present form makes to the significance of the heritage asset (including positive and negative contributions)	2 (b) Predicted effect which the proposed development might have upon those elements identified in step 2(a) which contribute to the significance of the heritage asset (including positive and negative effects)	3(a) Are there other developments nearby that could add to the effect?	3(b) Proposed measures by which any harm might be reduced	3(c) Likely effect on elements identified in Step 2(a) which contribute to the significance of the asset with the mitigation measures identified in Step 3(b) in place.	3 a v s c f n e t c
Areas of	Search	show greater inter-vis -For Scheduled mor visibility or other path -For Registered Park All measurements are approximations.	ibility or other pathwa numents an initial se ways for impacts betw as and Gardens, Reg taken from the bour	Areas an initial search area ays for impacts between a m arch area of 2km has been ween a minerals / waste site gistered Battlefields or Wo adaries of sites to point data ntly affected. For those deer	ninerals / waste site applied for each site and receptors. orld Heritage Sites on heritage using N	and receptors. . However, asses an initial search a lapInfo GIS. As po	sors may increase this rea of 5km has been a pint data has been use	app ed,
MJP07	Oaklands – sand and gravel extraction	1 Scheduled Monument "Earth circles, cursus, pit alignments and burial sites near Nosterfield and Thornborough, including Centre Hill round barrow" (1004912) 1km south. Recorded on	Site is partially screened by topography and vegetation so is not readily visible. Site contributes to landscape setting of monument as medieval and post medieval farming landscape as well	Removal of ritual landscape context and permanent replacement of agricultural land with open water in views from the monument and loss of potential prehistoric assets may detract from designation significance. Additional traffic through Nosterfield village would	Cumulative landscape change with existing quarries to south and proposed Langwith Hall Farm site to east.	Landscaping measures may be taken to revert the landscape as much as possible back to present condition after the quarry is exhausted. It is	It is likely that investigation works could produce positive effects that would partially balance negative impacts. Traffic management would reduce noise impacts. Landscaping of the	C e a p m ir t t t

N PERTISE	STEP 4: REQUIRES DISCUSSION BETWEEN PLANNING SPECIALISTS AND HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT SPECIALIST			
(d) Are there any means by which the significance of the neritage asset night be enhanced by his levelopment	4(a) Discussion about the merits of allocating the site for development versus the impact upon the significance of the heritage asset.			
nay increase thi	s threshold if site visits			
reshold if site visits show greater inter-				
blied. measurements should be considered				

Comprehensiv	Site could contribute to
e, detailed	meeting requirements
archaeological	for the supply of sand
and	and gravel to the
balaeoenviron	southwards distribution
nental	area.
nvestigation	
nay enhance	The proposal has the
he	potential to cause
significance of	considerable changes to
he monument	setting that affect the

the	Historic England	as the general	increase intrusive noise.	however,	finished site may	by adding to	character of the
	-	topography which	This is considered to be	unlikely that	reduce impacts	our knowledge	monuments. However,
surv		has survived	a Moderate negative	the complete	however, without	of the past	there is potential to
	,	through the	effect on significance	restoration of	detailed design, this	landscape.	further reduce the
		various periods of		the landscape	is difficult to predict,		overall effects through
		the monument.		will be	Overall, it is likely		appropriate siting, design,
		Non-designated		possible.	that the remaining		mitigation and
		assets include a		Comprehensiv	impact would be		restoration, although this
		potential for		e	Slight negative		may be for a reduced
		unidentified		archaeological	effect on		area.
		prehistoric assets		and	significance.		
		which could form		palaeoenviron	<u></u>		The proposal will have
		part of the		mental			direct physical impacts
		significance of the		investigation			on archaeological
		archaeological		may provide			heritage assets. These
		landscape at		information to			assets in themselves are
		Thornborough		enhance the			not so important as to
		Moor. The site		significance of			warrant physical
		does not have a		the monument,			preservation and a
		direct impact on		but would still			mitigation scheme is
		the Scheduled		result in the			acceptable.
		Monument, but is		removal of this			
		very nearby the		contextual			A traffic management
		line of the cursus		information.			plan might identify
		and is visible in		Traffic			mitigation which could
		views from the		management			lessen the traffic effect
		northern henge		measures			on significance.
		and in views of the		could bypass			
		monument from		Nosterfield			
		local vantage		village and			
		points. The site is		keep traffic			
		almost certainly		movements			
		part of the wider		further way			
		ritual landscape of		from the			
		the monument.		monument.			
		The potential					
		change in					
		groundwater					
		levels in the wider					
		ritual landscape of					
		the henge may					
		damage unknown					
		archaeological					

	remains directly					
	associated with					
	the monument				,	
1 Grade II Listed	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There is considered to
Building "Old School		no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		be no effect on
House" (1393103)	vegetation and			significance.		significance.
940m north-west	buildings so is not visible. No other					
	contribution to					
	asset significance					
	is observed.					
1 Grade II	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There is considered to
Registered Park an	by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		be no effect on
Garden "Thorpe	vegetation so is			significance.		significance.
Perrow" (1001075)	not visible. No					
3.4km north-west	other contribution					
	to asset					
	significance is					
5 Grade II Listed	observed. Site is screened	Continued traffic flows on	Measures to	Mitigation would	n/a	Main markets will be
Buildings in	by topography and	B6267 will extend the	ensure	Mitigation would remove this impact	n/a	served by movements
Nosterfield Village	vegetation so is	existing noise impacts by	minimum			away from the village
between 680m and	not visible. No	the lifespan of the site.	traffic			however it would not be
880m south. "The	other contribution	The access point is away	movement			reasonable to prevent all
Freemasons Arms,	to asset	from the village, so this is	through the			traffic movements
Chapel Row X 2,	significance is	considered a Minor	village			through the village and
Village Farmhouse	observed.	Negative effect on				this would displace the
and Kiln		significance.				problem elsewhere. A
Farmhouse"						traffic management plan
(1150778, 1315194						might identify mitigation
1190346,						which could lessen this
1150783,1190384) 1 Conservation Are	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	effect on significance. There is considered to
"Well" 900m north-	by topography,	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on	n/a	be no effect on
west	vegetation and	<u>no chect on significance.</u>	required	significance.		significance.
	buildings so is not					oiginnoariooi
	visible. No other					
	contribution to					
	asset significance					
	is observed.					

STEP 1: UTILISE DATA DRAWN FROM SITE SELECTION METHODOLOGY / UNDERTAKE SITE VISIT WITH HERITAGE PROFESSIONAL TO VERIFY HERITAGE SITES THAT SHOULD BE SCOPED IN.		STEP 2: NEEDS H EXPERTISE	ISTORIC ENVIRONMENT	STEP3: PARTLY IDENTIFIED THROUGH SITE SELECTION METHODOLOGY / NEEDS HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT EXP (DISCUSSION)				
1(a) Site Ref	1(b) Location	1(c) Heritage assets likely to be affected by the Site's development (Record each as separate line)	2(a) Contribution that the site in its present form makes to the significance of the heritage asset (including positive and negative contributions)	2 (b) Predicted effect which the proposed development might have upon those elements identified in step 2(a) which contribute to the significance of the heritage asset (including positive and negative effects)	3(a) Are there other developments nearby that could add to the effect?	3(b) Proposed measures by which any harm might be reduced	3(c) Likely effect on elements identified in Step 2(a) which contribute to the significance of the asset with the mitigation measures identified in Step 3(b) in place.	3(d an wi sig of he mi en thi de
Areas of	Search	show greater inter-vis -For Scheduled mon visibility or other path -For Registered Parl All measurements are approximations.	bibility or other pathwa numents an initial se ways for impacts betw is and Gardens, Re taken from the bour	Areas an initial search area ays for impacts between a m arch area of 2km has been a ween a minerals / waste site gistered Battlefields or Wo ndaries of sites to point data ntly affected. For those deer	ninerals / waste site applied for each site and receptors. orld Heritage Sites on heritage using N	and receptors. A However, asses an initial search a IapInfo GIS. As po	te. However, assessors sors may increase this area of 5km has been a pint data has been use	thre ppli d, n
MJP10	Potgate – Limestone extraction	1 Grade II Listed Building "Friars Hurst" (1315294) 290m north	Site is currently post-medieval to modern enclosed fields, well screened by vegetation and topography. Part of the wider agricultural context of the asset. The	Loss of agricultural context would lead to a small reduction in the significance of the asset. There is considered to be a <u>Moderate negative</u> <u>effect on significance.</u>		Ensure adequate vegetation screening is retained and maintained on north site boundary	Mitigation is likely to reduce the impact to a <u>Slight negative</u> <u>effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>	n/3

ON XPERTISE	STEP 4: REQUIRES DISCUSSION BETWEEN PLANNING SPECIALISTS AND HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT SPECIALIST				
3(d) Are there any means by which the significance of the heritage asset might be enhanced by this development	4(a) Discussion about the merits of allocating the site for development versus the impact upon the significance of the heritage asset.				
may increase this threshold if site visits threshold if site visits show greater inter-					
oplied. d, measurements	should be considered				

n/a	Site could contribute to
	meeting Magnesian
	limestone requirements.
	The proposal will have
	an impact on the
	landscape setting and
	this is likely to be
	moderate given the
	close proximity and
	topography. There is

I			Γ	1	Γ	Γ	
		significant context					p
		asset itself is					C
		principally the					la
		farm compound,					С
		which is very					
		sheltered and					
		inward-looking					
		due to					
		topography.					
	5 Grade II Listed	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	T
	Buildings	by topography,	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		b
	"Sleningford Park,.	vegetation and			significance.		<u>s</u>
	Stables	other buildings so					
	Approximately 150	is not visible. No					
	Metres South-West	other contribution					
	of Sleningford Park,	to asset					
	Barn Approximately	significance is					
	150 Metres South of	observed.					
	Sleningford Park,						
	Dovecote						
	Approximately 200						
	Metres South-West						
	of Sleningford Park,						
	Gate Piers and						
	Gates and Railings						
	Approximately 300						
	Metres to South-						
	East of Sleningford						
	Park" (1150580,						
	1315295, 1150582,						
	1150581, 1315296)						
	600m north.						
	4 Grade II Listed	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	Т
	Buildings "Old	by topography and		required	to be no effect on		b
	Sleningford Hall And				significance.		<u>s</u>
	Attached Garden	not visible. No					
	Wall, Stables	other contribution					
	Approximately 30	to asset					
	Metres North-East	significance is					
	of Old Sleningford	observed.					
	Hall, Gates, Gate						
	Piers and Flanking						
	Walls With Railings						
		1					

	potential to reduce the overall effects through landscaping and site design.
/a	There is considered to be no effect on significance.
/a	<u>There is considered to</u> <u>be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>

Annrovim	ately 100		 			
	ately 100 outh of Old					
Sleningfo						
Well Cov						
	Gates To					
Old Sleni						
Hall" (115	-					
	1315297,					
1174338						
north.						
4 Grade I	I Listed Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There is considered to
	"Stainley by topography and		required	to be no effect on		be no effect on
Hall, Stat				significance.		significance.
Approxim	-					
Metres N						
of Stainle	y Hall, to asset					
Gatepiers	and significance is					
Gates to	Stainley observed.					
Hall, Gaz						
	, 1150619,					
	1315293)					
800m eas						
1 Schedu		There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There is considered to
Monumer	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		be no effect on
Tanfield d	8			significance.		significance.
medieval	_					
(1016260	,					
north-eas	t to asset significance is					
	observed.					
1 Schedu		There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There is considered to
	nt "Castle by topography and		required	to be no effect on		be no effect on
Dikes def	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		10 0	significance.		significance.
Roman v	-			<u> </u>		<u> </u>
(1017467						
south-eas	/					
	significance is					
	observed.					
1 Schedu	led Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There is considered to
Monumer	nt "Earth by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		be no effect on
circles, ci				significance.		significance.
alignmen	ts and not visible. No					
burial site	s near other contribution					

Nosterfield and Thornborough, including Centre Hill round barrow" (1004912) 2.5km north. Recorded on	to asset significance is observed.					
the Historic England Monuments At Risk Survey 1 Grade II Registered park and garden "Norton Conyers" (1001068)	Site is screened by topography and vegetation so is not visible. No	There is considered to be no effect on significance.	No mitigation required	<u>There is considered</u> <u>to be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>	n/a	<u>There is considered to</u> <u>be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>
3.1km east 1 Grade I Registered park and garden "Hackfall"	other contribution to asset significance is observed. Site is screened by topography and vegetation so is	There is considered to be no effect on significance.	No mitigation required	<u>There is considered</u> to be no effect on significance.	n/a	<u>There is considered to</u> <u>be no effect on</u> significance.
(1000130) 3.1km west	not visible. No other contribution to asset significance is observed.	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There is considered to
Registered park and garden "Studley Royal" (1000410) 3.1km west	by topography and vegetation so is not visible. No other contribution to asset significance is observed.	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on significance.		<u>be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>
1 World Heritage Site "Studley Royal Park including the ruins of Fountains Abbey" (1000130) 3.1km west	Site is screened by topography and vegetation so is not visible. No other contribution to asset significance is observed.	There is considered to be no effect on significance.	No mitigation required	<u>There is considered</u> <u>to be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>	n/a	<u>There is considered to</u> <u>be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>

Appendix 4 MJP17 Land south of Catterick

SITE SE UNDER HERITA	ELECTION MI TAKE SITE V GE PROFES GE SITES TI D IN.	TA DRAWN FROM ETHODOLOGY / VISIT WITH SIONAL TO VERIFY HAT SHOULD BE SITE VISIT TAKES PLACE	STEP 2: NEEDS HI	STORIC ENVIRONMENT			OUGH SITE SELECTI RIC ENVIRONMENT E	
1(a) Site Ref	1(b) Location	1(c) Heritage assets likely to be affected by the Site's development (Record each as separate line)	2(a) Contribution that the site in its present form makes to the significance of the heritage asset (including positive and negative contributions)	2 (b) Predicted effect which the proposed development might have upon those elements identified in step 2(a) which contribute to the significance of the heritage asset (including positive and negative effects)	3(a) Are there other developments nearby that could add to the effect?	3(b) Proposed measures by which any harm might be reduced	3(c) Likely effect on elements identified in Step 2(a) which contribute to the significance of the asset with the mitigation measures identified in Step 3(b) in place.	a v s c h r t t
Areas o	Search	show greater inter-vis -For Scheduled mor visibility or other path -For Registered Park All measurements are approximations.	ibility or other pathwa numents an initial se ways for impacts betw ts and Gardens, Reg taken from the bour	Areas an initial search area ays for impacts between a m arch area of 2km has been a ween a minerals / waste site gistered Battlefields or Wo adaries of sites to point data ntly affected. For those deer	ninerals / waste site applied for each site and receptors. orld Heritage Sites on heritage using N	and receptors. . However, asses an initial search a lapInfo GIS. As po	sors may increase this Irea of 5km has been a pint data has been use	app ed,
MJP17	Land South of Catterick – sand and gravel extraction	1 Grade II Listed Building "Rudd Hall" (1318276) 250m west	Rear elevation of building looks out over the landscape of the site, Placement of the structure takes advantage of a natural ridge, giving panoramic views across the site. Site forms an	Removal of a significant amount of landscape context for a temporary industrial landscape and permanent replacement of agricultural land with wetland landscape in views from the building may detract from designation significance. Operation would increase		Landscaping measures may be taken to revert the landscape as much as possible back to present condition after the quarry is exhausted. It is	Landscaping of the finished site may reduce some the impact on the landscape setting after a period of time. However, without detailed design this is difficult to predict. In the interim, the	r

ON (PERTISE	STEP 4: REQUIRES DISCUSSION BETWEEN PLANNING SPECIALISTS AND HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT SPECIALIST					
3(d) Are there any means by which the significance of the heritage asset might be enhanced by this development	4(a) Discussion about the merits of allocating the site for development versus the impact upon the significance of the heritage asset.					
may increase this threshold if site visits						
threshold if site v	isits show greater inter-					
plied. I, measurements should be considered						

Site could contribute to
meeting requirements
for sand and gravel in
the northwards
distribution area.
The proposal will have
an impact on the
landscape setting and
this is likely to be
moderate given the

	important part of the agricultural landscape context of the building.	intrusive noise. <u>This is considered to be</u> <u>a Moderate negative</u> <u>effect on significance.</u>	however, unlikely that the complete restoration of the landscape will be possible. The impact during operation would still be significant. Off- site screening may be feasible to lessen the industrial character of the impact but not the severance from the landscape.	screening of the site as well as intrusive industrial noise is likely to have impacts on the building. The overall impact is likely to remain <u>Moderate</u> <u>negative during</u> <u>operation and</u> <u>reduce to Minor</u> <u>negative effect on</u> <u>significance</u> once restoration is completed and the land returned to agriculture	
1 Grade II Listed Building "Gyll Hall" (1295789) 140m west	Rear elevation of building looks out over part of the site. While its place as part of the farming complex is its principal setting, the wider agricultural landscape is also important top its significance.	Removal of a large amount of landscape context for a temporary industrial landscape and permanent replacement of agricultural land with wetland landscape in views from the building may detract from designation significance. Operation would increase intrusive noise. <u>This is considered to be</u> <u>a Moderate negative</u> <u>effect on significance.</u>	Landscape. Landscaping measures may be taken to revert the landscape as much as possible back to present condition after the quarry is exhausted. It is however, unlikely that the complete restoration of the landscape will be possible. The impact during operation would still be	Landscaping of the finished site would reduce some the impact on the landscape setting after a period of time. However, without detailed design this is difficult to predict. In the interim, the screening of the site as well as intrusive industrial noise is might reduce the viability of the building, but as a working farm, this may not be as pronounced as above. The overall	n/a

	close proximity and topography. There is potential to reduce the overall effects through landscaping and site design.
n/a	Site has been identified as having potential to contribute to meeting sand and gravel requirements for the northwards distribution area.
	The proposal will have an impact on the landscape setting and this is likely to be moderate given the close proximity and topography. There is potential to reduce the overall effects through landscaping and site design.

				significant. Off-	effect on	
				site screening	significance is likely	
				may be	to remain <u>Moderate</u>	
				feasible to	negative during	
				lessen the	operation and	
				industrial	reduce to	
				character of	<u>Negligible</u> once	
				the impact but	restoration is	
				not the	completed and the	
				severance	land returned to	
				from the	agriculture	
				landscape.		
	1 Grade II	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a
	Registered Park and	by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on	
	Garden "Hornby	vegetation so is			significance.	
	Castle Park"	not visible. No				
	(1001075) 3.4km	other contribution				
	north-west	to asset				
		significance is				
		observed.				
	4 Grade II Listed	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a
	Buildings in	by topography,	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on	
	Associated with	vegetation and the		-	significance.	
	Oran House 530m	A1 so is not				
	north. "Oran House,	visible. No other				
	Barn With Stables	contribution to				
	And Oran Cottages	asset significance				
	Numbers One, Two	is observed.				
	and Four, Former					
	Laundry					
	Approximately Ten					
	Metres North West					
	of Oran House, Pair					
	of Outbuildings					
	Approximately Five					
	Metres to North of					
	Oran House"					
	(1301661, 1318267,					
	1180057, 1131497)					
	1 Grade II Listed	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a
	Building 830m	by topography,	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on	
	north. "Stable Block	vegetation and the		•	significance.	
	to Killerby Hall"	A1 so is not				
	,					1

n/a	The land closest to the Registered Park and Garden is to be excluded from the area of extraction.
n/a	There is considered to be no effect on significance.
n/a	There is considered to be no effect on significance.

(4005757)		1				
(1295757)	visible. No other					
	contribution to					
	asset significance					
	is observed.					
1 Grade II Listed	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There is considered to
Building 590m	by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		be no effect on
south. "Manor	vegetation so is			significance.		significance.
House Farmhouse"	not visible. No					
(1150926)	other contribution					
	to asset					
	significance is					
	observed.					
1 Grade II Listed	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There is considered to
Building 870m	by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		be no effect on
south-east.	vegetation so is			significance.		significance.
"Bowbridge"	not visible. No					
(1315116)	other contribution					
	to asset					
	significance is					
	observed.					
1 Grade II Listed	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There is considered to
Building 950m	by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		be no effect on
south-west. "The	vegetation so is			significance.		significance.
Greyhound Inn"	not visible. No					
(1315105)	other contribution					
	to asset					
	significance is					
	observed.					
1 Grade II Listed	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There is considered to
Building 830m	by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		be no effect on
north-west. "the	vegetation so is			significance.		significance.
Manor House"	not visible. No					
(1315105)	other contribution					
	to asset					
	significance is					
	observed.					
1 Scheduled	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There is considered to
Monument 400m	by topography,	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		be no effect on
north-east. "World	vegetation and the			significance.		significance.
War II fighter pens	A1 so is not					
and associated	visible. No other					
defences at former	contribution to					
RAF Catterick,	asset significance					
,		I		I	1	

120m south and	is observed.				
340m north east of					
Oran House"					
(1020990)					
1 Scheduled	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered n/a	There is considered to
Monument 620m	by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on	be no effect on
north. "Bainesse	vegetation, so is			significance.	significance.
Roman roadside	not visible. No				
settlement and	other contribution				
Anglian cemetery"	to asset				
(1020990)	significance is				
	observed.				

SITE SE UNDER HERITA	ELECTION M TAKE SITE GE PROFES GE SITES T	ATA DRAWN FROM ETHODOLOGY / VISIT WITH SSIONAL TO VERIFY HAT SHOULD BE SITE VISIT TAKES PLACE	STEP 2: NEEDS H EXPERTISE	ISTORIC ENVIRONMENT	STEP3: PARTLY IDENTIFIED THROUGH SITE SELE METHODOLOGY / NEEDS HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT (DISCUSSION)			
1(a) Site Ref	1(b) Location	1(c) Heritage assets likely to be affected by the Site's development (Record each as separate line)	2(a) Contribution that the site in its present form makes to the significance of the heritage asset (including positive and negative contributions)	2 (b) Predicted effect which the proposed development might have upon those elements identified in step 2(a) which contribute to the significance of the heritage asset (including positive and negative effects)	3(a) Are there other developments nearby that could add to the effect?	3(b) Proposed measures by which any harm might be reduced	3(c) Likely effect on elements identified in Step 2(a) which contribute to the significance of the asset with the mitigation measures identified in Step 3(b) in place.	a v s c t r e t
Areas o	f Search	show greater inter-vis -For Scheduled mo visibility or other path -For Registered Parl All measurements are approximations.	sibility or other pathwa numents an initial se ways for impacts betw ks and Gardens, Re e taken from the bour	Areas an initial search area ays for impacts between a n arch area of 2km has been ween a minerals / waste site gistered Battlefields or Wo ndaries of sites to point data ntly affected. For those dee	ninerals / waste site applied for each site and receptors. orld Heritage Sites on heritage using M	and receptors. A However, asses an initial search a IapInfo GIS. As p	te. However, assessors sors may increase this area of 5km has been a oint data has been use	app ed,
MJP21	Land at Killerby – sand and gravel extraction	1 Grade II Listed Building 60m south and surrounded on 3 sides. "Stable Block to Killerby Hall" (1295757)	Site forms an important part of the agricultural landscape context of the overall farm/hall complex, which is the primary setting of the building.	Removal of a significant amount of landscape context for an industrial landscape may detract from designation significance. Operation would increase intrusive noise. <u>This is considered to be</u> <u>a Minor negative effect</u> <u>on significance.</u>		Landscaping of the finished site may reduce some the impact on the landscape setting after a period of time. Particularly the proposed return to	Landscaping of the finished site would redress some of the impact on the landscape setting after a period of time. However, in the interim, the landscape severance and intrusive industrial	r

N	STEP 4: REQUIRES
PERTISE	DISCUSSION
	BETWEEN PLANNING
	SPECIALISTS AND
	HISTORIC
	ENVIRONMENT
	SPECIALIST
3(d) Are there	4(a) Discussion about
any means by	the merits of allocating
• •	•
which the	the site for
significance	development versus
of the	the impact upon the
of the heritage asset	the impact upon the significance of the
heritage asset might be	significance of the
heritage asset might be enhanced by	significance of the
heritage asset might be enhanced by this	significance of the
heritage asset might be enhanced by	significance of the
heritage asset might be enhanced by this development	significance of the heritage asset.
heritage asset might be enhanced by this development	significance of the
heritage asset might be enhanced by this development may increase thi	significance of the heritage asset. s threshold if site visits
heritage asset might be enhanced by this development may increase thi	significance of the heritage asset.
heritage asset might be enhanced by this development may increase thi	significance of the heritage asset. s threshold if site visits
heritage asset might be enhanced by this development may increase thi	significance of the heritage asset. s threshold if site visits
heritage asset might be enhanced by this development may increase thi threshold if site v	significance of the heritage asset. s threshold if site visits

n/a	Site could contribute to
	meeting requirements
	for sand and gravel in
	the northwards
	distribution area.
	The proposal will have
	an impact on the
	landscape setting and
	this is likely to be minor
	given the close proximity

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Re Ga Ca (1	egistered Park and arden "Hornby astle Park" 001075) 3.4km outh-west	Site is screened by topography and vegetation so is not visible. No other contribution to asset	There is considered to be no effect on significance.	agriculture in a large part of the site to the south of the building. However, while the introduction of open water and wetland to the north would be softer than an industrial landscape type, it is still not in keeping with the agricultural landscape setting. Landscape setting. Landscape and vegetation screening may lessen the industrial character of the impact but not the severance from the landscape. No mitigation required	noise means the overall effect on significance is likely to remain Minor negative during operation and reduce to Minor or following the proposed restoration measures.	n/a
4 (Bu	Grade II Listed	significance is observed. Site is screened by topography and vegetation so is	There is considered to be no effect on significance.	No mitigation required	There is considered to be no effect on significance.	n/a

	and topography. There	
	is potential to reduce the overall effects through site design and restoration.	
n/a	There is considered to be no effect on significance.	
n/a	There is considered to be no effect on significance.	

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1			1	Γ	
	Oran House 530m	not visible. No					
	north. "Oran House,	other contribution					
	Barn With Stables	to asset					
	And Oran Cottages	significance is					
	Numbers One, Two	observed.					
	and Four, Former						
	Laundry						
	Approximately Ten						
	Metres North West						
	of Oran House, Pair						
	of Outbuildings						
	Approximately Five						
	Metres to North of						
	Oran House"						
	(1301661, 1318267,						
	1180057, 1131497)						
	2 Grade II Listed	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There is considered to
	Building 780m	by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		be no effect on
	north. "Manor	vegetation so is		•	significance.		significance.
	House, Manor	not visible. No					
	Cottages" (1157328,	other contribution					
	1131458)	to asset					
	,	significance is					
		observed.					
	1 Grade II Listed	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There is considered to
	Building 430m	by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		be no effect on
	north-east. "Cow	vegetation so is	ŭ	I	significance.		significance.
	Byre Approximately	•			<u> </u>		<u> </u>
	400 Metres To West	other contribution					
	of Kiplin Hall"	to asset					
	(1315105)	significance is					
		observed.					
	1 Grade II Listed	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There is considered to
	Building 920m	by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		be no effect on
	north-east.	vegetation so is	······································		significance.		significance.
	"Boundary Stone"	not visible. No			<u></u>		
	(1150997)	other contribution					
		to asset					
		significance is					
		observed.					
	1 Grade I Listed	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There is considered to
	Building and 5	by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on	1.70	be no effect on
	Grade II Listed	vegetation so is	no oncor on significance.		significance.		significance.
		vegetation 30 15			<u>signinoance.</u>		<u>orgrinioance.</u>

Buildings associated with it 800m north- east "Kiplin Hall, North West Gateway and Lodge to Kiplin Hall, Outbuilding Approximately 500 Metres to North of Kiplin Hall, Fruit Store and Coach House Approximately 100 Metres to North of Kiplin Hall, Servants' Wing Approximately 3 Metres to North of Kiplin Hall, Gatepiers, Gates and Railings to East of Kiplin Hall" (1315476, 1150208, 1188380, 1188393, 1188445, 1294767)	not visible. Principal elevations of Kiplin Hall are west-north-west and east-south- east, away from the site. No other contribution to asset significance is observed.				
1 Grade II Listed Building 950m east. "Kipllin Farmhouse" (1150209)	Site is screened by topography and vegetation so is not visible. No other contribution to asset significance is observed.	There is considered to be no effect on significance.	No mitigation required	<u>There is considered</u> <u>to be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>	n/a
One Grade II Listed Building 200m east "Hook Car Hill Farmhouse" (1150927)	Site is screened by topography and vegetation so is not visible. No other contribution to asset significance is observed.	<u>There is considered to be</u> <u>no effect on significance.</u>	No mitigation required	<u>There is considered</u> <u>to be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>	n/a
One Grade II Listed Building 560m east	Site is screened by topography and	There is considered to be no effect on significance.	No mitigation required	<u>There is considered</u> to be no effect on	n/a
2 2.1.4.1.9 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 2	e, topography and				L

n/a	<u>There is considered to</u> <u>be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>
n/a	<u>There is considered to</u> <u>be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>
n/a	There is considered to be no effect on

					,
"Gate Piers	vegetation so is			significance.	
Approximately 50					
Metres to South	other contribution				
West of Kirkby	to asset				
Fleetham Hall"	significance is				
(1174452)	observed.				
Two Grade II* Lis	sted Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/
Buildings 760m e	east by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on	
"Kirkby Fleetham	_			significance.	
Hall, Church of S					
Mary" (1295737,					
1150928)	the building group				
	and long views				
	north and east. No				
	other contribution				
	to asset				
	significance is				
	observed.				
One Grade II List		There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/:
Building 580m	by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on	
south-east "Friars	U			significance.	
Garth" (1295739)					
	other contribution				
	to asset				
	significance is				
	observed.				
1 Scheduled	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/:
Monument 120m		no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on	
north-west. "Worl	U			significance.	
War II fighter pen					
and associated	other contribution				
defences at forme					
RAF Catterick,	significance is				
120m south and	observed.				
340m north east	of				
Oran House"					
(1020990)					
1 Scheduled	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/:
Monument 840m	5 1 0 1 5	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on	
north-west.	vegetation, so is			significance.	
"Bainesse Romar	n not visible. No				
roadside settleme	ent other contribution				
and Anglian	to asset				

	significance.
/a	<u>There is considered to</u> <u>be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>
/a	<u>There is considered to</u> <u>be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>
/a	<u>There is considered to</u> <u>be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>
/a	<u>There is considered to</u> <u>be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>

cemetery"	significance is					
(1020990)	observed.					
1 Scheduled	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There is considered to
Monument 490m	by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		be no effect on
north. "Castle Hills	vegetation, so is			significance.		significance.
medieval motte and	not visible. No					
bailey castle, and	other contribution					
20th century airfield	to asset					
defences, 700m	significance is					
north east of Oran	observed.					
House" (1020991)						

SITE SE UNDER HERITA	ELECTION M TAKE SITE V GE PROFES GE SITES TI D IN.	ATA DRAWN FROM ETHODOLOGY / /ISIT WITH SIONAL TO VERIFY HAT SHOULD BE SITE VISIT TAKES PLACE	STEP 2: NEEDS H EXPERTISE	ISTORIC ENVIRONMENT			OUGH SITE SELECTI RIC ENVIRONMENT E	-
1(a) Site Ref	1(b) Location	1(c) Heritage assets likely to be affected by the Site's development (Record each as separate line)	2(a) Contribution that the site in its present form makes to the significance of the heritage asset (including positive and negative contributions)	2 (b) Predicted effect which the proposed development might have upon those elements identified in step 2(a) which contribute to the significance of the heritage asset (including positive and negative effects)	3(a) Are there other developments nearby that could add to the effect?	3(b) Proposed measures by which any harm might be reduced	3(c) Likely effect on elements identified in Step 2(a) which contribute to the significance of the asset with the mitigation measures identified in Step 3(b) in place.	3 a w s o h m e t t d
Areas of	Search	show greater inter-vis -For Scheduled mon visibility or other path -For Registered Parl All measurements are approximations.	sibility or other pathwa numents an initial se ways for impacts betw ks and Gardens, Re e taken from the bour	Areas an initial search area ays for impacts between a n arch area of 2km has been ween a minerals / waste site gistered Battlefields or Wo ndaries of sites to point data ntly affected. For those dee	ninerals / waste site applied for each site and receptors. orld Heritage Sites on heritage using N	and receptors. A However, asses an initial search a IapInfo GIS. As po	te. However, assessors sors may increase this area of 5km has been a oint data has been use	appl appl ed, I
MJP22	Hensall Quarry - Sand extraction	A group of 3 Grade II Listed Buildings Church of St Paul (1295734), Hensall Primary School (1148400) and the Red House (1148401) at 400 metres west of the site	Site is part of wider area of post – medieval and modern agricultural land context and is partially visible in long distance views of the church steeple. Generally well	Moderate loss of post- medieval agricultural context, and increase of modern industrial context. Change is likely to be small scale, but context has already experienced change due to existing quarrying and works		Control of haulage routes/traffic calming measures to minimise increasing industrial context on road passing by on A645.	It is likely that moving haulage routes would reduce effects on the group buildings to a negligible level. However, this would be likely to increase impacts on listed buildings in Snaith. Traffic calming	Π

ON (PERTISE	STEP 4: REQUIRES DISCUSSION BETWEEN PLANNING SPECIALISTS AND HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT SPECIALIST
3(d) Are there any means by which the significance of the heritage asset might be enhanced by this development	4(a) Discussion about the merits of allocating the site for development versus the impact upon the significance of the heritage asset.
hreshold if site v	s threshold if site visits isits show greater inter- should be considered

n/a	Site could contribute to
	meeting requirements
	for sand
	The proposal will have
	an impact on the
	landscape setting and
	this is likely to be minor
	given the distance and
	screening.
	.

	screened by vegetation, buildings and topography	traffic. This is considered to be a <u>Minor negative</u> <u>effect on significance.</u>		measures would likely reduce the effect on significance to <u>Negligible</u> .		It would not be reasonable to restrict traffic movements on the A645. A traffic management plan might identify mitigation which could lessen this effect on significance.
1 Grade II Listed Building "Hensall House" 580m northeast	Site is screened by topography and other buildings so is not visible. No other contribution to asset significance is observed.	<u>There is considered to be</u> <u>no effect on significance.</u>	No mitigation required	<u>There is considered</u> <u>to be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>	n/a	<u>There is considered to</u> <u>be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>
1 Grade II Listed Building "Hensall Signal Box" 200m west	Site is partially screened by topography and vegetation. Site is not part of the setting of the building. No other contribution to asset significance is observed.	There is considered to be no effect on significance.	No mitigation required	<u>There is considered</u> <u>to be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>	n/a	<u>There is considered to</u> <u>be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>

Appendix 7 MJP33 Home Farm, Kirkby Fleetham

SITE SE UNDER HERITA	LECTION MI TAKE SITE V GE PROFES GE SITES TH D IN.	TA DRAWN FROM ETHODOLOGY / VISIT WITH SIONAL TO VERIFY HAT SHOULD BE SITE VISIT TAKES PLACE	STEP 2: NEEDS HI EXPERTISE	ISTORIC ENVIRONMENT			OUGH SITE SELECTI RIC ENVIRONMENT E	-
1(a) Site Ref	1(b) Location	1(c) Heritage assets likely to be affected by the Site's development (Record each as separate line)	2(a) Contribution that the site in its present form makes to the significance of the heritage asset (including positive and negative contributions)	2 (b) Predicted effect which the proposed development might have upon those elements identified in step 2(a) which contribute to the significance of the heritage asset (including positive and negative effects)	3(a) Are there other developments nearby that could add to the effect?	3(b) Proposed measures by which any harm might be reduced	3(c) Likely effect on elements identified in Step 2(a) which contribute to the significance of the asset with the mitigation measures identified in Step 3(b) in place.	3 a v s c c f n e t t c
Areas of	Search	show greater inter-vis -For Scheduled mor visibility or other path -For Registered Park All measurements are approximations.	ibility or other pathwa numents an initial se ways for impacts betw ts and Gardens, Reg taken from the bour	Areas an initial search area ays for impacts between a m arch area of 2km has been ween a minerals / waste site gistered Battlefields or Wo adaries of sites to point data ntly affected. For those deer	ninerals / waste site applied for each site and receptors. orld Heritage Sites on heritage using N	and receptors. . However, asses an initial search a lapInfo GIS. As po	sors may increase this rea of 5km has been a pint data has been use	app ed,
MJP33	Home Farm, Kirkby Fleetham – sand and gravel extraction	One Grade II Listed Building 560m east of site boundary "Gate Piers Approximately 500 Metres to South West of Kirkby Fleetham Hall" (1174452)	Principal setting is the context of the two other buildings, Kirkby Fleetham Hall and Church of St Mary. The site contributes to the setting of the group as agricultural land in	Removal of agricultural setting, temporarily replacing it with industrial landscape and change to curated views. This is considered to be a <u>Minor</u> <u>negative effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>	Cumulative impact with other quarries would increase industrialisation of the landscape on a wider scale, adding to the degree of change along the approach to the	Landscaping measures may be taken to revert the landscape as much as possible back to present condition after the quarry is exhausted. It is	Landscaping of the finished site may reduce some the impact on the landscape setting after a period of time. However, without detailed design this is difficult to predict.	r

N	STEP 4: REQUIRES
	DISCUSSION
FERIJE	
	BETWEEN PLANNING
	SPECIALISTS AND
	HISTORIC
	ENVIRONMENT
	SPECIALIST
3(d) Are there	4(a) Discussion about
any means by	the merits of allocating
which the	the site for
significance	development versus
of the	the impect upon the
or the	the impact upon the
heritage asset	significance of the
heritage asset	significance of the
heritage asset might be	significance of the
heritage asset might be enhanced by	significance of the
heritage asset might be enhanced by this development	significance of the heritage asset.
heritage asset might be enhanced by this development	significance of the
heritage asset might be enhanced by this development may increase thi	significance of the heritage asset. s threshold if site visits
heritage asset might be enhanced by this development may increase thi	significance of the heritage asset.
heritage asset might be enhanced by this development may increase thi	significance of the heritage asset. s threshold if site visits
heritage asset might be enhanced by this development may increase thi hreshold if site v	significance of the heritage asset.
heritage asset might be enhanced by this development may increase thi hreshold if site v	significance of the heritage asset. s threshold if site visits

n/a	Site could contribute to
	meeting requirements
	for sand and gravel to
	the northwards
	distribution area.
	Due to group value there
	is a minor negative
	effect on significance but
	in its own right there is
	considered to be no

Two Grade II* Listed Buildings 760m east of site boundary "Kirkby Fleetham Hall, Church of St Mary" (1295737, 1150928)	long views to the east and screening to the west Principal setting of the buildings are the quiet and sheltered location with long views over agricultural land to east and north-east. The site occupies much of this agricultural land. Some of these views are curated views from the upper storeys and gardens	Removal of agricultural setting, replacing it with industrial landscape and change to curated views. This is considered to be a <u>Moderate negative</u> <u>effect on significance.</u>	building Cumulative impact with other quarries would increase industrialisation of the landscape on a wider scale, adding to the degree of change along the approach to the building	however, unlikely that the complete restoration of the landscape will be possible. The impact during operation would still be unaffected Large scale planting may reduce or soften impacts from the change in character, but would add to the impact on curated views. Return to agriculture after operation may reduce or remove the impact at that time but would not reduce impacts during	Landscaping of the finished site may reduce some the impact on the landscape setting after a period of time. However, without detailed design this is difficult to predict.	n/a	effect on significance.
One Grade II Listed Building 100m west of site boundary "Hook Car Hill Farmhouse" (1150927)	Site is partially screened from the building by vegetation. Site comprises the agricultural setting of the farmhouse	Removal of agricultural context and replacement with industrial. This is considered a <u>Moderate</u> <u>Adverse effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>	Cumulative impact with other quarries would increase industrialisation of the landscape on a wider scale, adding to the degree of change along the approach to the building	operation. Large scale planting may reduce or soften impacts from the change in character, but would add to the impact on curated views. Return to agriculture	Landscaping of the finished site may reduce some the impact on the landscape setting after a period of time. However, without detailed design this is difficult to predict.	n/a	The proposal will have an impact on the landscape setting and this is likely to be moderate given the existing screening and topography despite close proximity. There is potential to reduce the overall effects through landscaping and site design.

1 Grade II Listed Building 330m south-east. "North Lowfield Farmhouse" (1150929)	Site is screened by topography and vegetation so is not visible. No other contribution to asset significance is observed.	<u>There is considered to be</u> no effect on significance.	after operation may reduce or remove the impact at that time but would not reduce impacts during operation. No mitigation required	<u>There is considered</u> to be no effect on significance.	n/a	<u>There is considered to</u> <u>be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>
1 Grade II Listed Building 180m east. "Langton Farmhouse" (1315474)	Site is screened by topography and vegetation so is not visible. No other contribution to asset significance is observed.	<u>There is considered to be</u> <u>no effect on significance.</u>	No mitigation required	<u>There is considered</u> <u>to be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>	n/a	<u>There is considered to</u> <u>be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>
1 Grade II Listed Building 930m north. "Cow Byre Approximately 400 Metres To West of Kiplin Hall" (1315105)	Site is screened by topography and vegetation so is not visible. No other contribution to asset significance is observed.	<u>There is considered to be</u> <u>no effect on significance.</u>	No mitigation required	<u>There is considered</u> <u>to be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>	n/a	<u>There is considered to</u> <u>be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>
1 Grade I Listed Building and 5 Grade II Listed Buildings associated with it 1km north "Kiplin Hall, Gates and Railings to East of Kiplin Hall" (1315476, 1294767)	Site is screened by topography and vegetation so is not visible. Principal elevations of Kiplin Hall are west-north-west and east-south- east, away from the site. No other	<u>There is considered to be</u> <u>no effect on significance.</u>	No mitigation required	<u>There is considered</u> <u>to be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>	n/a	<u>There is considered to</u> <u>be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>

	contribution to asset significance					
	is observed.					
1 Grade II Listed	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There is considered to
Building 460m	by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		be no effect on
north. "Kipllin	vegetation so is			significance.		significance.
Farmhouse"	not visible. No					
(1150209)	other contribution					
	to asset					
	significance is					
1 Grade II Listed	observed. Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	2/2	There is considered to
Building 460m	by topography and	There is considered to be no effect on significance.	No mitigation required	There is considered to be no effect on	n/a	There is considered to be no effect on
north. "East	vegetation so is	no enect on significance.	required	significance.		significance.
Gateway and Lodge	not visible. No			olgimioariool		
to Kiplin Hall"	other contribution					
(1150207)	to asset					
	significance is					
	observed.					
One Grade II Listed	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There is considered to
Building 780m south	by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		be no effect on
"Friars Garth"	vegetation so is not visible. No			significance.		significance.
(1295739)	other contribution					
	to asset					
	significance is					
	observed.					
1 Scheduled	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There is considered to
Monument 1.6km	by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		be no effect on
north-west. "World	vegetation so is			significance.		significance.
War II fighter pens	not visible. No					
and associated	other contribution					
defences at former RAF Catterick,	to asset significance is					
120m south and	observed.					
340m north east of	00301700.					
Oran House"						
(1020990)						
1 Scheduled	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There is considered to
Monument 1.7km	by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		be no effect on
south. "Motte and	vegetation, so is			significance.		significance.
bailey castle and	not visible. No					
medieval settlement	other contribution					

earthworks within Hall Garth" (1021103) 1 Scheduled Monument 1.7km north. "Castle Hills medieval motte and bailey castle, and 20th century airfield	to asset significance is observed. Site is screened by topography and vegetation, so is not visible. No other contribution to asset	There is considered to be no effect on significance.	No mitigation required	<u>There is considered</u> to be no effect on significance.	n/a	<u>There is considered to</u> <u>be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>
defences, 700m north east of Oran House" (1020991)	significance is observed.					
1 Conservation Area "Kirby Fleetham" 750m south	Site is screened by topography and vegetation, so is not visible. No other contribution to asset significance is observed.	There is considered to be no effect on significance.	No mitigation required	<u>There is considered</u> <u>to be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>	n/a	<u>There is considered to</u> <u>be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>

STEP 1: UTILISE DATA DRAWN FROM SITE SELECTION METHODOLOGY / UNDERTAKE SITE VISIT WITH HERITAGE PROFESSIONAL TO VERIFY HERITAGE SITES THAT SHOULD BE SCOPED IN.		STEP 2: NEEDS HI EXPERTISE	ISTORIC ENVIRONMENT	STEP3: PARTLY IDENTIFIED THROUGH SITE SELECTION METHODOLOGY / NEEDS HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT EX (DISCUSSION)				
1(a) Site Ref	1(b) Location	1(c) Heritage assets likely to be affected by the Site's development (Record each as separate line)	2(a) Contribution that the site in its present form makes to the significance of the heritage asset (including positive and negative contributions)	2 (b) Predicted effect which the proposed development might have upon those elements identified in step 2(a) which contribute to the significance of the heritage asset (including positive and negative effects)	3(a) Are there other developments nearby that could add to the effect?	3(b) Proposed measures by which any harm might be reduced	3(c) Likely effect on elements identified in Step 2(a) which contribute to the significance of the asset with the mitigation measures identified in Step 3(b) in place.	3(ai w si of h m ei th th d
Areas of	Search	show greater inter-vis -For Scheduled mo visibility or other path -For Registered Parl All measurements are approximations.	sibility or other pathwa numents an initial se ways for impacts betw ks and Gardens, Re e taken from the bour	Areas an initial search area ays for impacts between a n arch area of 2km has been ween a minerals / waste site gistered Battlefields or Wo ndaries of sites to point data ntly affected. For those dee	ninerals / waste site applied for each site and receptors. orld Heritage Sites on heritage using N	and receptors. . However, asses an initial search a lapInfo GIS. As po	ssors may increase this area of 5km has been a oint data has been use	s thi appl ed, i
MJP51	Great Givendale - Sand and gravel extraction	1 Grade II Listed Building "Hewick Bridge Over River Ure" (1149819) at 630 metres north	Site is former river course and part of wider area of riverbank meadows that contribute to the setting of site. However, site is well screened from the asset by topography and	Loss of wider landscape setting. Haulage traffic over bridge may introduce physical damage through vibration and load. Change in landscape setting is likely to be unnoticeable from the bridge, but increased		All site traffic should be directed away from the bridge.	Traffic management would reduce effects on significance to a <u>Neutral or</u> <u>Negligible</u> level.	n/

N	STEP 4: REQUIRES
PERTISE	DISCUSSION
	BETWEEN PLANNING
	SPECIALISTS AND
	HISTORIC
	ENVIRONMENT
	SPECIALIST
3(d) Are there	4(a) Discussion about
any means by	the merits of allocating
which the	the site for
significance	development versus
of the	the impact upon the
of the heritage asset	the impact upon the significance of the
heritage asset	significance of the
heritage asset might be	significance of the
heritage asset might be enhanced by	significance of the
heritage asset might be enhanced by this development	significance of the heritage asset.
heritage asset might be enhanced by this development	significance of the
heritage asset might be enhanced by this development may increase thi	significance of the heritage asset.
heritage asset might be enhanced by this development may increase thi hreshold if site v	significance of the heritage asset. s threshold if site visits
heritage asset might be enhanced by this development may increase thi hreshold if site v	significance of the heritage asset. s threshold if site visits

n/a	Site could contribute to
	meeting requirements
	for sand and gravel in
	the southwards
	distribution area.
	It would not be
	reasonable to prevent all
	traffic movements
	across the bridge and
	this would displace the

	vegetation in all	vibration and loading				proble
	directions.	from site traffic is likely to				traffic
		damage the bridge over				might
		time. This is considered				which
		to be a Moderate				effect
		negative effect on				
		significance.				
2 Grade II Listed	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There
	by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		be no
_	woodland so is not		1040100	significance.		signif
	visible. No other			<u>eignineariee.</u>		<u>orgrin</u>
	contribution to					
	asset significance					
	is observed.					
	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There
	by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		be no
-	woodland so is not	·········		significance.		signif
•	visible. No other			<u>g</u>		<u></u>
_	contribution to					
	asset significance					
-	is observed.					
Hall" (168004,						
1149822)						
1 Grade II*	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There
Registered park and	by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		be no
Garden 1 km	woodland so is not			significance.		signif
southeast "Newby	visible. No other					
Hall" (1001067)	contribution to					
	asset significance					
	is observed.					
	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There
Registered park and	by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		be no
Garden 3.5 km	woodland so is not			significance.		<u>signif</u>
northwest "Studley	visible. No other					
Royal" (1001067)	contribution to					
	asset significance					
	is observed.					
1 World Heritage	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	There
Site 2 km North	by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		be no
				significance.		signif
"Studley Royal Park	woodland so is not			significance.		<u></u>
	visible. No other			significance.		<u>orgrm</u>

	problem elsewhere. <u>A</u> <u>traffic management plan</u> <u>might identify mitigation</u> <u>which could lessen this</u> <u>effect on significance.</u>
/a	<u>There is considered to</u> <u>be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>
/a	<u>There is considered to</u> <u>be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>
/a	<u>There is considered to</u> <u>be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>
/a	<u>There is considered to</u> <u>be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>
/a	<u>There is considered to</u> <u>be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>

(1000094)	asset significance is observed.		

Appendix 9 MJP55 Land adjacent to former Escrick Brickworks

STEP 1: UTILISE DATA DRAWN FROM SITE SELECTION METHODOLOGY / UNDERTAKE SITE VISIT WITH HERITAGE PROFESSIONAL TO VERIFY HERITAGE SITES THAT SHOULD BE SCOPED IN.		STEP 2: NEEDS H EXPERTISE	ISTORIC ENVIRONMENT	STEP3: PARTLY IDENTIFIED THROUGH SITE SELEC METHODOLOGY / NEEDS HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT (DISCUSSION)				
1(a) Site Ref	1(b) Location	1(c) Heritage assets likely to be affected by the Site's development (Record each as separate line)	2(a) Contribution that the site in its present form makes to the significance of the heritage asset (including positive and negative contributions)	2 (b) Predicted effect which the proposed development might have upon those elements identified in step 2(a) which contribute to the significance of the heritage asset (including positive and negative effects)	3(a) Are there other developments nearby that could add to the effect?	3(b) Proposed measures by which any harm might be reduced	3(c) Likely effect on elements identified in Step 2(a) which contribute to the significance of the asset with the mitigation measures identified in Step 3(b) in place.	3 a v s c h n e t c
Areas of	Search	show greater inter-vis -For Scheduled mo visibility or other path -For Registered Par All measurements are approximations.	sibility or other pathwa numents an initial se ways for impacts betw ks and Gardens, Re e taken from the bour	Areas an initial search area ays for impacts between a nearch area of 2km has been ween a minerals / waste site gistered Battlefields or We andaries of sites to point data	ninerals / waste site applied for each site and receptors. orld Heritage Sites on heritage using N	and receptors. A However, asses an initial search a IapInfo GIS. As p	sors may increase this area of 5km has been a oint data has been use	app ed,
MJP55	Land adjacent to former Escrick Brickworks – Clay extraction site	1 Scheduled Monument 2km south "York Prebendary Manor Moated Site, 300m North West of Hawthorn Farm" (1018212)	Site is screened by topography and woodland so is not visible. No other contribution to asset significance is observed.	_		No mitigation required	<u>There is considered</u> <u>to be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>	n
		1 Grade II	Site is screened	There is considered to be		No mitigation	There is considered	r

N PERTISE	STEP 4: REQUIRES DISCUSSION BETWEEN PLANNING SPECIALISTS AND HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT SPECIALIST
(d) Are there any means by which the significance of the neritage asset night be enhanced by his levelopment	4(a) Discussion about the merits of allocating the site for development versus the impact upon the significance of the heritage asset.
-	s threshold if site visits isits show greater inter-
olied. measurements	should be considered

n/a	Site could contribute to
	meeting_requirements for
	the supply of brick clay for
	existing block
	manufacturing capacity in
	the Plan area.
	There is considered to
	<u>be no effect on</u>
	significance.
n/a	There is considered to

Registered Park and	by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		be
Garden 2.2km	woodland so is not			significance.		sigr
north-west "Moreby	visible. No other					
Hall" (1001452)	contribution to					
	asset significance					
	is observed.					
1 Grade II	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	The
Registered Park and	by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		be
Garden 4.9 km west	woodland so is not			significance.		sig
"Nun Appleton Hall"	visible. No other					
(1001069)	contribution to					
	asset significance					
	is observed.					
1 Conservation Area	Site is screened	There is considered to be	No mitigation	There is considered	n/a	The
"Escrick" 920m	by topography and	no effect on significance.	required	to be no effect on		be
north east	woodland so is not			significance.		sig
	visible. No other					
	contribution to					
	asset significance					
	is observed.					

	<u>be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>
/a	<u>There is considered to</u> <u>be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>
/a	<u>There is considered to</u> <u>be no effect on</u> <u>significance.</u>

Contact us

Minerals and Waste Joint Plan Team Planning Services, North Yorkshire County Council, County Hall, Northallerton, North Yorkshire, DL7 8AH

Tel: 01609 780780

Email: mwjointplan@northyorks.gov.uk