

Employment Land Refresh 2010

Appendix 6 Existing Employment Sites Site Assessment Sheets

January 2011



Employment Land Refresh 2010 Appendix 6 Site Assessment Sheets

Contents

ELR Site Ref	Site Name	Address	SDLP Ref	SDLP Allocations	Emp Land Review 2007 Ref	Site Size (ha)
1	Magazine Farm, A63 Bypass/A19 Junction	Barlby			EMP16	2.08
2	BAR/1 - Land at Magazine Road	Barlby	BAR/1	Employment Allocation	BAR/1	5.47
3	Strategic Site G - Selby Farms	Barlby/Selby			EMP13	36.99
4	Strategic Site D - South of Olympia Mills	Barlby/Selby	BAR/2	Special Policy Area	EMP12	38.84
5	BAR/1A - Land South of Railway	Barlby	BAR/1A	Employment Allocation & BAR/2 SPA	BAR/1A	10.94
6	RIC/1 - Land at Riccall Common, Market Weighton Road	North Duffield	RIC/1	Other		3.19
7	BOCM, Barlby Road	Barlby/Selby			EMP14	6.46
8	CLF/1 - Land at Cliffe Common	Cliffe	CLF/1	Employment Allocation	CLF/1	1.31
9	Removed following consultation - in active use					
10	BRAY/2 - East of Bawtry Road	Brayton/Selby	BRAY/2	Employment Allocation	BRAY/2	1.6
11	Land South of Chemical Works Bawtry Road	Selby	Significant Employment Permission	Other		8.42
12	Civic Centre Portholme Road	Selby				1.96
13	Former Gas Holders Prospect Way	Selby			EMP6	0.64
14	Vivars Way Canal Road	Selby	SEL/7 & Significant Employment Permission	Special Policy Area		0.41
15	Former Rigid Paper Denison Road	Selby			EMP9	6.47
16	The Holmes SPA Holme Lane	Selby	SEL/7A	Special Policy Area		4.66
17	Former Wood Yard Station Road	-	SEL/6	Special Policy Area	EMP8	0.55
18	Former Tate & Lyle East Common Lane	Selby				0.97
19	Land NE of A63 Bypass/A19 Junction	Brayton			EMP3	6.85
20	Land SE of Brayton	Brayton			EMP4	20.87
21	Land NW of A63 Bypass/A19 Junction	Brayton			EMP2	6.16
22	Land East of A63 Roundabout	Thorpe Willoughby			EMP1	18.69
23	Church Fenton Airbase Busk Lane	Ulleskelf	CFA/1	Special Policy Area		11.13

ELR Site Ref	Site Name	Address	SDLP Ref	SDLP Allocations	Emp Land Review 2007 Ref	Site Size (ha)
24	Land Adjacent Ulleskelf Railway Line Church Fenton Lane	Ulleskelf				1.12
25	TAD/3 - Land at London Road	Tadcaster	TAD/3	Employment Allocation	TAD/3	9.1
26	Robin Hoods Yard Kirkgate,	Tadcaster				0.36
27	Papyrus Works Wetherby Road	Newton Kyme	Major Developed Site in Green Belt	Other		8.86
28	Removed following consultation - in active use					
29	Land at 211 Weeland Road	Kellingley				0.37
30	Removed following consultation - in active use					
31	Removed following consultation - in active use					
32	Removed following consultation - in active use					
33	BRAY/1 - Land west of Selby Business Park , Bawtry Road,	Selby	BRAY/1	Employment Allocation	BRAY/1	3.52
34	SHB/2 - Land at Sherburn Enterprise Park	Sherburn In Elmet	SHB/2	Employment Allocation	SHB/2	2.35
35	Depot and Silos, Barlby Road	Barlby			EMP5	1.4
36	Land to East of SEL/4, East Common Lane	Selby	SEL/4	Employment Allocation	SEL/4	1.88
37	Land West of SEL/4 East Common Lane	Selby	SEL/4	Employment Allocation	SEL/4	0.87

NB Sites 9, 28, 30, 31 and 32 removed from original list as in active use and therefore not available employment land.

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	001
Site name	Magazine Farm
Address	A63 Bypas/A19 Junction, Barlby
Grid Ref	463445 433011
Parish	Barlby
SHLAA Ref	PHS/16/001
Employment Land Study ID	EMP16
NLUD Ref	
Current land use	Derelict agricultural buildings
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	2.08
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No Use Class / Mixed
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	No
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	No
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	No
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	3
Summary of reasons for score	Current policy would support re-use of existing buildings not new-build / redevelopment on GF sites in the countryside. Countryside location but physically adjacent to built area of Barlby/Selby

3. Physical/Technical Constraints	
Major Constraints	Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI
Designations	Site/555i
Floodzone 1 2 3a 3b	SINC
Green Infrastructure Corridor	Ancient Woodland
Green Belt	Historic battlefield
LILA	National or local Historic Park or Garden
ROS/allotments	Strategic Countryside Gap
Local Amenity Space	Listed Building
Scheduled Ancient Monument	Conservation Area
Protected Road Scheme	Mineral Safeguarded Area
Public Right of Way	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
Site Features:	
HSE Zone	
Groundwater Protection Zone	
Presence of Underground Services	Water / Rising Main
Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	
Structures/enclosures e.g. structures, OHP lines,	Derelict brick built buildings
contamination	3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
Contamination or Pollution / details	Known/Not known/Potential for
Overhead power lines / details	Above/adjacent
•	,
Extent that physical features technically constrain the site	4
Summary of reason for score	Functional flood plain. Demolition/clearing required
QUALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT in eyes of end user	3
Summary of reasons for score	Buildings awkward to re-use. Site clearance required.
Adjacent Land Uses:	
List of uses	A63 Bypass, A19, Countryside, employment
	uses/allocation
Bad neighbour uses / details	Yes pickle factory/No/Not known
Potential noise nuisance / details	Rail/road/other
Close to WWTW	No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Other constraints	
PERCEPTION OF WIDER ENVIRONMENT	2
Summary of reasons for score	Rural location but pickle factory nearby
Callinary of reasons for soore	Tidial location but pickle factory flearby

Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated /
	Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/
needed?	Complex or high investment required/
	Likely to be prohibitive Not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No Not known
Other highways information	

INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	No infrastructure constraints identified

4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail Selby/Motorway M62/Road A19, A63
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact /
	Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes Barlby Rd?/No (distance to/frequency?) also cycle path close by
Infrastructure Assets	List direct access to roundabout A19/A63 Bypass
Other assets	List
Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	Comments as appropriate

OVERALL SUSTAINAB	ILITY SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for		Close proximity to main town with access services/facilities

Type of ownership / details Have landowners been contacted Landowner intentions known Ves - Long term / Yes - Medium term Yes - Immediate release / No Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details Is the site owned by a developer or another agency known to undertake employment development? Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for development? Is there developer interest in the site? Is the site being actively marketed? Is the site being actively marketed? Is there been any recent market activity? Is there public funding committed (or likely to be provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site constraints to make employment on this site be viable, without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed? OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE Market Attractiveness Multiple / Public / Private Yes / No Yes - Long term / Yes - Medium term Yes - Immediate release / No Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not known Yes/No not known Yes/No not known Yes/No/Not known Yes/No/Not known Yes/No/Not known No No No No No No No Not known Not known Not known High / medium / low (comments) High / medium / low (comments)	F. Own and him / Antibulting Franks are	
Have landowners been contacted Landowner intentions known Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details Is the site owned by a developer or another agency known to undertake employment development? Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for development? Is there developer interest in the site? Is there developer interest in the site? Is there been any recent market activity? Is there public funding committed (or likely to be provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site constraints to make employment development viable? Would employment development on this site be viable, without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed? Market Attractiveness High / medium / low (comments) OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Landowner intentions known Wes – Long term / Yes - Medium term Yes – Immediate release / No Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details Is the site owned by a developer or another agency known to undertake employment development? Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for development? Is there developer interest in the site? Is there developer interest in the site? Is the site being actively marketed? Is there been any recent market activity? Is there public funding committed (or likely to be provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site constraints to make employment development viable? Would employment development development viable? Would employment development on this site be viable, without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed? Market Attractiveness High / medium / low (comments) Werall ACTIVITY SCORE Wes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not known Yes/No not known Yes/No not known Yes/No not known Yes/No/Not known Explanation Yes/No/Not known Yes/No/Not known No Semployment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not known No No No No No No High / medium / low (comments)		
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details Is the site owned by a developer or another agency known to undertake employment development? Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for development? Is there developer interest in the site? Is there developer interest in the site? Is the site being actively marketed? Has there been any recent market activity? Is there public funding committed (or likely to be provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site constraints to make employment development viable? Would employment development on this site be viable, without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed? Market Attractiveness Strength of local demand Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not known Yes/No/Not known Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not known Enquiries / sales / lettings No No No No High / medium / low (comments) High / medium / low (comments)	Have landowners been contacted	
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details Is the site owned by a developer or another agency known to undertake employment development? Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for development? Is there developer interest in the site? Is the site being actively marketed? Is there been any recent market activity? Is there public funding committed (or likely to be provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site constraints to make employment development on this site be viable, without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed? Market Attractiveness Strength of local demand Wes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not known Yes/No/Not known Yes/No/Not known Explanation Yes/No/Not known Fenquiries / sales / lettings No No No No No Not known High / medium / low (comments) High / medium / low (comments) High / medium / low (comments)	Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency known to undertake employment development? Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for development? Is there developer interest in the site? Is there developer interest in the site? Is there been any recent market activity? Is there been any recent market activity? Is there public funding committed (or likely to be provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site constraints to make employment development viable? Would employment development on this site be viable, without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed? Market Attractiveness High / medium / low (comments) Strength of local demand Yes Housing/Yes Employment/Yes Other/ No/Not known Explanation Yes/No/Not known Yes/No/Not known No be Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not known Yes/No/Not known No be Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not known No be Indicated the subject of		Yes – Immediate release / No
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency known to undertake employment development? Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for development? Is there developer interest in the site? Is there developer interest in the site? Is there been any recent market activity? Is there been any recent market activity? Is there public funding committed (or likely to be provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site constraints to make employment development viable? Would employment development on this site be viable, without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed? Market Attractiveness High / medium / low (comments) Strength of local demand Yes Housing/Yes Employment/Yes Other/ No/Not known Explanation Yes/No/Not known Yes/No/Not known No be Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not known Yes/No/Not known No be Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not known No be Indicated the subject of	Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
known to undertake employment development? Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for development? Is there developer interest in the site? Is the site being actively marketed? Is the site being actively marketed? Has there been any recent market activity? Is there public funding committed (or likely to be provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site constraints to make employment development viable? Would employment development on this site be viable, without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed? Market Attractiveness High / medium / low (comments) OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE Yes/No/Not known Yes/No/Not known Yes/Pho/Not known No known No how	Is the site owned by a developer or another agency	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for development? Is there developer interest in the site? Is the site being actively marketed? Has there been any recent market activity? Is there public funding committed (or likely to be provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site constraints to make employment development viable? Would employment development on this site be viable, without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed? Market Attractiveness High / medium / low (comments) Strength of local demand OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE Yes/No/Not known Yes/No/Not known No hown No hown No hot known No known Not known Not known Not known High / medium / low (comments)		
an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for development? Is there developer interest in the site? Is the site being actively marketed? Has there been any recent market activity? Is there public funding committed (or likely to be provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site constraints to make employment development viable? Would employment development on this site be viable, without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed? Market Attractiveness High / medium / low (comments) Strength of local demand Wes/No/Not known Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not known No known No hot known High / medium / low (comments)		Yes/No not known
Is there developer interest in the site? Is the site being actively marketed? Has there been any recent market activity? Is there public funding committed (or likely to be provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site constraints to make employment development viable? Would employment development on this site be viable, without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed? Market Attractiveness High / medium / low (comments) Strength of local demand OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE Yes/No/Not known Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not known No No No No High / medium / low (comments)		Explanation
Is there developer interest in the site? Is the site being actively marketed? Has there been any recent market activity? Is there public funding committed (or likely to be provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site constraints to make employment development viable? Would employment development on this site be viable, without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed? Market Attractiveness High / medium / low (comments) High / medium / low (comments) OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE 3		F 22 222
Is the site being actively marketed? Has there been any recent market activity? Is there public funding committed (or likely to be provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site constraints to make employment development viable? Would employment development on this site be viable, without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed? Market Attractiveness High / medium / low (comments) Strength of local demand OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not known No No No No Not known High / medium / low (comments)		1
Rown Enquiries / sales / lettings	Is there developer interest in the site?	Yes/No/Not known
Rown Enquiries / sales / lettings	Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site constraints to make employment development viable? Would employment development on this site be viable, without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed? Market Attractiveness High / medium / low (comments) Strength of local demand High / medium / low (comments)		
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site constraints to make employment development viable? Would employment development on this site be viable, without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed? Market Attractiveness High / medium / low (comments) Strength of local demand High / medium / low (comments)	Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings
provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site constraints to make employment development viable? Would employment development on this site be viable, without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed? Market Attractiveness High / medium / low (comments) Strength of local demand High / medium / low (comments)		
provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site constraints to make employment development viable? Would employment development on this site be viable, without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed? Market Attractiveness High / medium / low (comments) Strength of local demand High / medium / low (comments)	Is there public funding committed (or likely to be	No
Constraints to make employment development viable? Would employment development on this site be viable, without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed? Market Attractiveness High / medium / low (comments) Strength of local demand OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE 3		
Would employment development on this site be viable, without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed? Market Attractiveness Strength of local demand High / medium / low (comments) High / medium / low (comments)		
without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed? Market Attractiveness		Not known
on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed? Market Attractiveness High / medium / low (comments) Strength of local demand High / medium / low (comments) OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE 3		
Market Attractiveness High / medium / low (comments) Strength of local demand High / medium / low (comments) OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE 3	on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	
Strength of local demand High / medium / low (comments) OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE 3		1
Strength of local demand High / medium / low (comments) OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE 3	Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE 3	Strength of local demand	
	•	, , ,
	OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	
Summary of reasons for score Landowner's intentions not known	Summary of reasons for score	Landowner's intentions not known

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	18
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	2.08

Notes of any updates	Date
amended commentary in light of consultation with stakeholders - score	27 09 10
unchanged	
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	002
Site name	BAR/1
Address	Magazine Rd, Barlby
Grid Ref	463049 432836
Parish	Barlby
SHLAA Ref	PHS/16/002
Employment Land Study ID	BAR/1
NLUD Ref	
Current land use	Agricultural
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	5.47
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No
	Use Class / Mixed outline B1 B2 B8
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	No
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	Yes part of SDLP
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	Yes located within urban core of Selby (Barlby parish)
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	Employment allocation with outline planning permission

3. Physical/Technical Constraints	
Major Constraints	Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI
Designations	
Floodzone 1 2 <mark>3a</mark> 3b	SINC
Green Infrastructure Corridor	Ancient Woodland
Green Belt	Historic battlefield
LILA	National or local Historic Park or Garden
ROS/allotments	Strategic Countryside Gap
Local Amenity Space	Listed Building
Scheduled Ancient Monument	Conservation Area
Protected Road Scheme	Mineral Safeguarded Area
Public Right of Way	Willeral Galeguarded Area
Site Features:	
HSE Zone	
Groundwater Protection Zone	
	Motor / Dising Main
Presence of Underground Services	Water / Rising Main
Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	
Structures/enclosures e.g. structures, OHP lines,	
contamination	
Contamination or Pollution / details	Known/Not known/Potential for
Overhead power lines / details	Above/adjacent
Extent that physical features technically constrain	3
the site	3
Summary of reason for score	Floodzone 3. No other physical constraints
Summary of reason for score	identified
	lucitineu
QUALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT in eyes of	1
end user	
Summary of reasons for score	Attractive greenfield site
Adjacent Land Uses:	1400 Process A40 Occasional 11
List of uses	A63 Bypass, A19, Countryside, employment uses,
	abandoned pig farm
Bad neighbour uses / details	Yes pickle factory/No/Not known
Potential noise nuisance / details	Rail/road/other
Close to WWTW	No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Other constraints	
PERCEPTION OF WIDER ENVIRONMENT	2
Summary of reasons for score	Rural location but pickle factory nearby
Camillary of reasons for score	Trail and total of but plone factory flearby

Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated /
	Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/
needed?	Complex or high investment required/
	Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	

INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	3
Summary of reasons for score	Access to A19 but need to safeguard access to land to south until such time as an alternative is secured

4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail Selby/Motorway M62/Road A19, A63
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes Barlby Rd?/No (distance to/frequency?) also cycle path close by
Infrastructure Assets	Adjacent railway
Other assets	List
Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	Yes

OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	Close proximity to main town with access to services/facilities

	T
5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term
	Yes – Immediate release / No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not
known to undertake employment development?	known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by	Yes/No/ Not known
an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for	Explanation
development?	
Is there developer interest in the site?	Yes/No/Not known
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not
	known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be	No
provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site	
constraints to make employment development viable?	
Would employment development on this site be viable,	Yes
without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other	
on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	
Maylest Attypatics and a	High /madium /law/aammamta)
Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	1
	Actively being marketed
Summary of reasons for score	Actively being marketed

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	13
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	5.47

Notes of any updates	Date
Score amended in light of comments received from stakeholders	27 09 10
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	003
Site name	Strategic Site G
Address	Selby Farms, Barlby
Grid Ref	463149 432446
Parish	Barlby
SHLAA Ref	PHS/16/007
Employment Land Study ID	EMP13
NLUD Ref	
Current land use	Agricultural
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	36.99
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No Use Class / Mixed
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	Part for expansion of existing freight transhipment?
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	Yes strategic proposal for emerging Core Strategy. Previous investment by Yorkshire Forward to provide direct access from A63 Bypass
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	Yes part of Core Strategy
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	Promoted strategic site through LDF but has no planning status in current development plan/SDLP

3. Physical/Technical Constraints	
Major Constraints	Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI
Designations	
Floodzone 1 2 3a 3b	SINC
Green Infrastructure Corridor	Ancient Woodland
Green Belt	Historic battlefield
LILA	National or local Historic Park or Garden
ROS/allotments	Strategic Countryside Gap
Local Amenity Space	Listed Building
Scheduled Ancient Monument	Conservation Area
Protected Road Scheme	Mineral Safeguarded Area
Public Right of Way	Time area again add the a
Site Features:	
HSE Zone	
Groundwater Protection Zone	
Presence of Underground Services	Water / Rising Main
Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	Water / Histing Main
Structures/enclosures e.g. structures, OHP lines,	Ground conditions?
contamination	Ground Conditions:
Contamination or Pollution / details	Known/Not known/Potential for
	Above/adjacent
Overhead power lines / details	Above/adjacent
Extent that physical features technically constrain	4
the site	
Summary of reason for score	Flood zone 3. Ground conditions?
OHALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT:	
QUALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT in eyes of	1
end user	A
Summary of reasons for score	Attractive Greenfield site
Adjacent Land Uses:	
List of uses	A63 Bypass, railway, A19, Countryside, employment
	uses, abandoned pig farm
	pig iaim
Bad neighbour uses / details	Yes pickle factory/No/Not known
Potential noise nuisance / details	Rail/road/other Potter Group freight?
FOIEIMALHOISE HUISANCE / DEIANS	
	No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Close to WWTW	No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
	No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Close to WWTW	No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Close to WWTW Other constraints	
Close to WWTW Other constraints PERCEPTION OF WIDER ENVIRONMENT	2
Close to WWTW Other constraints	2 Complementary employment uses and high
Close to WWTW Other constraints PERCEPTION OF WIDER ENVIRONMENT	2

Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated / Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be needed?	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/ Complex or high investment required/ Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	

INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	Direct purpose built access to Bypass

4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail Selby/Motorway M62/Road A19, A63
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes/No (distance to/frequency?)
Infrastructure Assets	Adjacent railway sidings and main line
Other assets	List
Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	Yes

OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	Close proximity to main town with access to services/facilities – walking distance to town
	centre but no bus routes?

F. Own and in Astinites France	<u> </u>
5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term
	Yes – Immediate release / No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not
known to undertake employment development?	known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by	Yes/No/ Not known
an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for	Explanation
development?	
Is there developer interest in the site?	Yes/No/Not known
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not
	known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be	Yes – YF funded roundabout access to Bypass in
provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site	place
constraints to make employment development viable?	
Would employment development on this site be viable,	Yes?
without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other	
on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	
Maylest Attendatives	I link (madium (law (anna anta)
Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	3
	Owner keen to develop but not at stage for
Summary of reasons for score	active marketing as yet
	douve marketing as yet

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	15
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	36.99

Notes of any updates	Date
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	004
Site name	Strategic Site D
Address	South of Olympia Mills, Barlby
Grid Ref	462342 432554
Parish	Barlby
SHLAA Ref	PHS/16/008
Employment Land Study ID	EMP12
NLUD Ref	
Current land use	Agricultural, ancillary mill buildings, ROS/allotments
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	38.84
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	BAR/2
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No Use Class / Mixed
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	No
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	Yes strategic proposal for emerging Core Strategy.
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	Yes part of Core Strategy
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	Promoted strategic site through LDF but has no planning status in current development plan/SDLP

3. Physical/Technical Constraints	
Major Constraints	Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI
Designations	
Floodzone 1 2 3a 3b	SINC
Green Infrastructure Corridor	Ancient Woodland
Green Belt	Historic battlefield
LILA	National or local Historic Park or Garden
ROS/allotments	Strategic Countryside Gap
Local Amenity Space	Listed Building
Scheduled Ancient Monument	Conservation Area
Protected Road Scheme	Mineral Safeguarded Area
Public Right of Way	Williotal Galogualada / Ilda
Site Features:	
HSE Zone	
Groundwater Protection Zone	
	Water / Diging Main
Presence of Underground Services	Water / Rising Main
Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	Duildings youds ensute everyod/-llaterants
Structures/enclosures e.g. structures, OHP lines,	Buildings, roads, sports ground/allotments
contamination	Manage (Nathanasa (Dahari) al fau
Contamination or Pollution / details	Known/Not known/Potential for
Overhead power lines / details	Above/adjacent
Extent that physical features technically constrain	4
the site	· ·
Summary of reason for score	Floodzone 3. Otherwise few constraints except demolition.
QUALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT in eyes of	3
end user	
Summary of reasons for score	Existing ROS, clearance of buildings
Adjacent Land Uses:	Bellin Add and benedicted Bellin Const
List of uses	Railway, A19, employment uses, Potter Group, housing, river
Bad neighbour uses / details	Yes housing/freight/No/Not known
Potential noise nuisance / details	Rail/road/other Potter Group freight?
Close to WWTW	No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Other constraints	140 / 165 Dut 110 Objections / 165 Object
Other Constraints	
PERCEPTION OF WIDER ENVIRONMENT	2
Summary of reasons for score	Complementary employment uses, riverside opportunities

Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated /
	Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/
needed?	Complex or high investment required/
	Likely to be prohibitive
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No
Other highways information	Need for new bridge to cross railway line to gain
	access form A19 to north

INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	5
Summary of reasons for score	Access issues

4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail Selby/Motorway M62/Road A19, A63
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes/No except on A19 (distance to/frequency?)
Infrastructure Assets	Adjacent railway sidings and main line, river transport
Other assets	River amenity
Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	Yes

OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	Close proximity to main town with access to
•	services/facilities – walking distance to town
	centre but no bus routes?

5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term
	Yes – Immediate release / No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not
known to undertake employment development?	known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by	Yes/No/ Not known
an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for	Explanation
development?	·
Is there developer interest in the site?	Yes housing?/No/Not known
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not
	known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings
Г	
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be	No
provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site	
constraints to make employment development viable?	
Would employment development on this site be viable,	Not known
without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other	
on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	
Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
Offerigin of local demand	Thigh / median / low (comments)
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	3
Summary of reasons for score	Owners keen to develop but not at stage of
	marketing yet

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	21
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS
	Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS
	High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	38.84

Notes of any updates	Date
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	005
Site name	BAR/1A
Address	South of Railway, Barlby
Grid Ref	462900 432667
Parish	Barlby
SHLAA Ref	PHS/16/009
Employment Land Study ID	BAR/1A
NLUD Ref	
Current land use	Agricultural
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	10.94
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	BAR/1A
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No Use Class / Mixed
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	No
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	Yes in SDLP/LDF
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	Yes part of SDLP/LDF
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	Promoted strategic site through SDLP/LDF but has no planning permission

3. Physical/Technical Constraints	
Major Constraints	Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI
Designations	
Floodzone 1 2 3a 3b	SINC
Green Infrastructure Corridor	Ancient Woodland
Green Belt	Historic battlefield
LILA	National or local Historic Park or Garden
ROS/allotments	Strategic Countryside Gap
Local Amenity Space	Listed Building
Scheduled Ancient Monument	Conservation Area
Protected Road Scheme	Mineral Safeguarded Area
Public Right of Way	
Site Features:	
HSE Zone	
Groundwater Protection Zone	
Presence of Underground Services	Water / Rising Main
Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	Drain?
Structures/enclosures e.g. structures, OHP lines, contamination	Pumping station, electricity sub-station
Contamination or Pollution / details	Known/Not known/Potential for
Overhead power lines / details	Above/adjacent
Extent that physical features technically constrain the site	3
Summary of reason for score	Floodzone 3. Few constraints on greenfield site.
QUALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT in eyes of	2
end user	
Summary of reasons for score	Open site
Adiacont Lond Hospi	
Adjacent Land Uses:	
Adjacent Land Uses: List of uses	Railway, A19, employment uses/allocation, Potter Group, agricultural
List of uses	Group, agricultural
List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details	Group, agricultural Yes freight?/No/Not known
List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details Potential noise nuisance / details	Group, agricultural Yes freight?/No/Not known Rail/road/other Potter Group freight?
List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details	Group, agricultural Yes freight?/No/Not known
List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details Potential noise nuisance / details Close to WWTW Other constraints	Group, agricultural Yes freight?/No/Not known Rail/road/other Potter Group freight? No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details Potential noise nuisance / details Close to WWTW	Group, agricultural Yes freight?/No/Not known Rail/road/other Potter Group freight?

Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated /
	Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/
needed?	Complex or high investment required/
	Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	Existing Potter Group access across level crossing
	on railway or need new bridge?

INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	Access issues?

4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail Selby/Motorway M62/Road A19, A63
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact /
	Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes/No except on A19 (distance to/frequency?)
Infrastructure Assets	Adjacent railway sidings and main line
Other assets	
Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	Yes

OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	Close proximity to main town with access to services/facilities – walking distance to town centre but no bus routes?

5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term Yes – Immediate release / No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency known to undertake employment development?	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by	Yes/No/ Not known
an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for development?	Explanation
Is there developer interest in the site?	Yes/No/Not known
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site constraints to make employment development viable?	No
Would employment development on this site be viable, without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	Not known
Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	3
Summary of reasons for score	Owner keen to develop but not at marketing stage yet

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	16
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	10.94

Notes of any updates	Date
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	006
Site name	RIC/1 Land at Riccall Common
Address	Market Weighton Road
Grid Ref	464542 436412
Parish	North Duffield?
SHLAA Ref	
SDLP Ref	RIC/1
Employment Land Study ID	
NLUD Ref	
Current land use	Vacant land
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	3.19
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Employment Allocation
Is there a valid planning permission	No
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	Restricted to agricultural related uses as identified in RIC/1
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	No
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	Potential rural diversification linked to RIC/1
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	SDLP Allocation but no PP

3. Physical/Technical Constraints	
Major Constraints	Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI
Designations	
Floodzone 1 2 3a 3b	SINC
Green Infrastructure Corridor	Ancient Woodland
Green Belt	Historic battlefield
LILA	National or local Historic Park or Garden
ROS/allotments	Strategic Countryside Gap
Local Amenity Space	Listed Building
Scheduled Ancient Monument	Conservation Area
Protected Road Scheme	Mineral Safeguarded Area
Public Right of Way	-
Site Features:	
HSE Zone	
Groundwater Protection Zone	
Presence of Underground Services	Water / Rising Main
Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	
Structures/enclosures e.g. structures, OHP lines, contamination	
Contamination or Pollution / details	Known/Not known/Potential for
Overhead power lines / details	Above/adjacent
Extent that physical features technically constrain	1
the site	
Summary of reason for score	No identified constraints for development
QUALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT in eyes of	1
end user	
Summary of reasons for score	Ready to develop

Adjacent Land Uses:	
List of uses	Other employment uses and open countryside
Bad neighbour uses / details	No
Potential noise nuisance / details	No
Close to WWTW	No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Other constraints	
PERCEPTION OF WIDER ENVIRONMENT	1
Summary of reasons for score	Attractive open countryside adjacent
, Carrier (1971)	employment uses complimentary.
Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated /
vvvv i vv capacity	Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/
needed?	Complex or high investment required/
	Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	
INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	Only simple access issues to overcome
	, , , ,
4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail Selby/York/Motorway M62/Road A163, A19
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact /
impact on otherogic riods riothoric (or in)	Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes/No/Not known (distance to/frequency?)
Infrastructure Assets	,,, /
Other assets	
Does the site meet present (and expected future)	Yes
sustainability criteria (including public transport and	
freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	
OVERALL QUOTAINARII EV COCCE	
OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	Belatively remate leastion but angelfic CDLD
Summary of reasons for score	Relatively remote location but specific SDLP
	allocation to meet rural, agricultural related needs
	TICCUS .

5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private/ Not known
Have landowners been contacted	No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term / Yes – Immediate release / No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency known to undertake employment development?	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for development?	Yes/No/Not known Explanation
Le there developer interest in the site?	Yes/No/Not known
Is there developer interest in the site? Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site constraints to make employment development viable?€	No
Would employment development on this site be viable, without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	Yes
Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	3
Summary of reasons for score	Landowner's intentions not known

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	13
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS
	High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	3.19

Notes of any updates	Date
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	007
Site name	BOCM
Address	Barlby Road
Grid Ref	462529 432809
Parish	Barlby
SHLAA Ref	PHS/16/004
Employment Land Study ID	EMP14
NLUD Ref	
Current land use	Reduced operations, some empty buildings
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	6.46
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No Use Class / Mixed
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	No
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	No
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	Yes - large PDL site on edge of principal town
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	Existing brownfield employment use with potential for redevelopment but not currently allocated within SDLP.

3. Physical/Technical Constraints	
Major Constraints	Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI
Designations	0.10,0001
Floodzone 1 2 3a 3b	SINC
Green Infrastructure Corridor	Ancient Woodland
Green Belt	Historic battlefield
LILA	National or local Historic Park or Garden
ROS/allotments	Strategic Countryside Gap
Local Amenity Space	Listed Building
Scheduled Ancient Monument	Conservation Area
Protected Road Scheme	Mineral Safeguarded Area
Public Right of Way	
Site Features:	
HSE Zone	
Groundwater Protection Zone	
Presence of Underground Services	Water / Rising Main
Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	
Structures/enclosures e.g. structures, OHP lines,	Number of buildings which would require
contamination	demolition
Contamination or Pollution / details	Known/Not known/Potential for
Overhead power lines / details	Above/adjacent
Extent that physical factures technically constrain	
Extent that physical features technically constrain the site	3
Summary of reason for score	Floodzone 3. Few physical/technical constraints other than site clearance.
QUALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT in eyes of end user	3
Summary of reasons for score	Potential for re use of industrial uses but would require investment and redevelopment for non-industrial uses.

Adjacent Land Uses:	
List of uses	Road/Rail Rank Hovis Mill to the west and Council Depot
Bad neighbour uses / details	Yes/No/Not known
Potential noise nuisance / details	Rail/road/other
Close to WWTW	No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Other constraints	
PERCEPTION OF WIDER ENVIRONMENT	2
Summary of reasons for score	No conflict with surrounding employment uses but not a pretty site.
Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated /
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes - Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/
needed?	Complex or high investment required/
	Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	
INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	No infrastructure constraints identified
4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail Selby station and line adjoining/Motorway M62 within 12k /Road A19/A63/
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes/No (distance to/frequency?)
Infrastructure Assets	Potential for river/road/rail transport directly to site
Other assets	
Does the site meet present (and expected future)	Yes
sustainability criteria (including public transport and	
freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	
OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	11
Summary of reasons for score	On edge of principal town with rail/road nodes
,	

5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term Yes – Immediate release - land is available for mixed uses/ No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency known to undertake employment development?	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for development?	Yes/No Explanation
Is there developer interest in the site?	Yes/No/Not known
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site constraints to make employment development viable?	No
Would employment development on this site be viable, without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	Not known
Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	Owner is keen to develop site for mixed uses but not at marketing stage yet

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	14
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	6.46

Notes of any updates	Date
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	008
Site name	CLF/1
Address	Low Moor Road
Grid Ref	466656 433929
Parish	Cliffe
SHLAA Ref	
Employment Land Study ID	CLF/1
NLUD Ref	
Current land use	Vacant land
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	1.31
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	CLF/1
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No
	Use Class / Mixed
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	No
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term	No
development or regeneration proposal, which depends	
on the site being partly or wholly developed for	
employment uses?	V 0010
Is the site important in delivering other economic	Yes - SDLP
development objectives or the spatial strategy?	4
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	SDLP Allocation

3. Physical/Technical Constraints	
Major Constraints	Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI
Designations	
Floodzone 1 2 3a 3b	SINC
Green Infrastructure Corridor	Ancient Woodland
Green Belt	Historic battlefield
LILA	National or local Historic Park or Garden
ROS/allotments	Strategic Countryside Gap
Local Amenity Space	Listed Building
Scheduled Ancient Monument	Conservation Area
Protected Road Scheme	Mineral Safeguarded Area
Public Right of Way	
Site Features:	
HSE Zone	
Groundwater Protection Zone	
Presence of Underground Services	Water / Rising Main
Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	
Structures/enclosures e.g. structures, OHP lines, contamination	
Contamination or Pollution / details	Known/Not known/Potential for
Overhead power lines / details	Above/adjacent
Extent that physical features technically constrain the site	1
Summary of reason for score	No physical/technical constraints.
QUALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT in eyes of	2
end user	
Summary of reasons for score	Straight forward site in a rural location

OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE Summary of reasons for score	Potential to meet rural needs/diversification
noight accoss, on and on one chimominonial impacts):	
sustainability criteria (including public transport and freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	
Does the site meet present (and expected future)	Comments as appropriate
Other assets	
Infrastructure Assets	
Local Public transport routes	Yes/No/Not known (distance to/frequency?)
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact
Strategic Access	Rail Selby/Motorway M62/Road A163/A19/A63
Site location / characteristics	Dail Calby/Matanuary MCO/Daad Adoc/Ado/Aco
4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Summary of reasons for score	No infrastructure constraints identified
INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	1
Other highways information	
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
	Likely to be prohibitive not known
needed?	Complex or high investment required/
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
WWTW capacity	Works required to make capacity available
Infrastructure Capacity:	At capacity / Site can be accommodated /
Infractive Conscitute	
	uses.
Summary of reasons for score	Complimentary to surrounding employment
PERCEPTION OF WIDER ENVIRONMENT	1
Other constraints	
Close to WWTW	No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Potential noise nuisance / details	Rail/road/other
Bad neighbour uses / details	Yes/No (nearby residential) /Not known
	homes nearby. Open countryside.
List of uses	Exiting employment uses, redential and holiday
Adjacent Land Uses:	

5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term
	Yes – Immediate release / No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not
known to undertake employment development?	known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by	Yes/No
an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for	Explanation
development?	
Is there developer interest in the site?	Yes/No/Not known
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not
is the site being delivery marketed.	known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be	No
provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site	
constraints to make employment development viable?	
Would employment development on this site be viable,	Yes
without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other	
on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	
Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
Officing in Ocal demand	Trigit / mediant / low (confinence)
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	3
Summary of reasons for score	Landowner's intentions not known.

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	11
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	1.31

Notes of any updates	Date
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	010
Site name	BRAY/2
Address	East of Bawtry Road
Grid Ref	461886 430497
Parish	Brayton
SHLAA Ref	PHS/19/002
Employment Land Study ID	BRAY/2
NLUD Ref	
Current land use	Agricultural
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	1.6
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	BRAY/2
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes (outline permission for B1/B2/B8) / No Use Class / Mixed
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	No
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	Yes
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	Yes
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	SDLP employment allocation with PP

3. Physical/Technical Constraints	
Major Constraints	Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone /
	International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife
	Site/SSSI
Designations	
Floodzone 1 2 3a 3b	SINC
Green Infrastructure Corridor	Ancient Woodland
Green Belt	Historic battlefield
LILA	National or local Historic Park or Garden
ROS/allotments	Strategic Countryside Gap
Local Amenity Space	Listed Building
Scheduled Ancient Monument	Conservation Area
Protected Road Scheme	Mineral Safeguarded Area
Public Right of Way	
Site Features:	
HSE Zone	
Groundwater Protection Zone	
Presence of Underground Services	Water / Rising Main
Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	Small awkward site size
Structures/enclosures e.g. structures, OHP lines,	
contamination	
Contamination or Pollution / details	Known/Not known/Potential for
Overhead power lines / details	Above/adjacent
Potent that about all factors a tackets the constants	
Extent that physical features technically constrain the site	2
Summary of reason for score	Floodzone 3. No known physical/technical
Summary of reason for score	constraints.
	constraints.
QUALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT in eyes of end user	3
Summary of reasons for score	Attractive greenfield site although may be
	constrained due to small size

Adjacent Land Uses:	
List of uses	Residential/Bypass/Selby Business Park
	Trooldoniaa/Bypass/Colby Basiness Fair
Bad neighbour uses / details	Yes/No (residential) /Not known
Potential noise nuisance / details	Rail/road/other
Close to WWTW	No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Other constraints	
PERCEPTION OF WIDER ENVIRONMENT	1
Summary of reasons for score	Close proximity to Selby Business Park, visible
Cuminary of reasons for score	from bypass.
Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated /
D ' 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be needed?	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/
needed?	Complex or high investment required/ Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	Potential problematic access in view of proximity to
- Cirici Tiigitways Information	bypass junction and/or Selby Business Park?
	The state of the s
INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	3
Summary of reasons for score	Potential access issues
4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail Selby/Motorway M62 within 12k /Road A19/A63
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact /
impact on strategie read retironi (or in)	Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes/No (distance to/frequency?)
Infrastructure Assets	Bypass junction
Other assets	-7F-202 January.
Does the site meet present (and expected future)	Yes
sustainability criteria (including public transport and	
freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	
OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	On edge of principal town with road/rail links

5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term
Landowner international various	Yes – Immediate release - / No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not
known to undertake employment development?	known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by	Yes/No
an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for	Explanation
development?	
Is there developer interest in the site?	Yes/No/Not known
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not
	known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings
Le though multip franchism committed (or likely to be	No
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be	INO
provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site constraints to make employment development viable?	
Would employment development on this site be viable,	Yes
without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other	165
on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	
on site constraints on twith public randing it committee:	
Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	3
Summary of reasons for score	Owned by housing developer with no evidence
	of promotion for employment uses as yet.

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	14
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS
	Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS
	High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	1.6

Notes of any updates	Date
amended scores (increased) in light of comments re small site size and	27 09 10
access but not changed overall category	
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	011
Site name	Land south of Chemical Works
Address	Bawtry Road, Selby
Grid Ref	461603 431414
Parish	Selby
SHLAA Ref	PHS/19/004
Employment Land Study ID	
NLUD Ref	273900380
Current land use	Vacant land
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	8.42
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	Significant employment permission
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No Use Class / Mixed outline for expansion of chemical works expires 2012
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	Yes – adjacent chemical works
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	No
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	Yes – part of employment land portfolio to meet future needs of adjacent occupier
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	Within principal town with outline planning permission

3. Physical/Technical Constraints	
Major Constraints	Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI
Designations	
Floodzone 1 2 3a 3b	SINC
Green Infrastructure Corridor	Ancient Woodland
Green Belt	Historic battlefield
LILA	National or local Historic Park or Garden
ROS/allotments	Strategic Countryside Gap
Local Amenity Space	Listed Building
Scheduled Ancient Monument	Conservation Area
Protected Road Scheme	Mineral Safeguarded Area
Public Right of Way	canal tow path / transpennine trail?
Site Features:	
HSE Zone	Inner
Groundwater Protection Zone	
Presence of Underground Services	Water / Rising Main
Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	Drain crosses site
Structures/enclosures e.g. structures, OHP lines, contamination	
Contamination or Pollution / details	Known/Not known/Potential for
Overhead power lines / details	Above/adjacent
	1.
Extent that physical features technically constrain the site Summary of reason for score	4 Majority of site Floodzone 3
	HSE Inner Zone
QUALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT in eyes of end user	1
Summary of reasons for score	Greenfield site
Adjacent Land Uses:	
List of uses	Rail/canal/chemical works/retail park/three lakes
Bad neighbour uses / details	Yes – chemical works and residential to west over railway line/No/Not known
Potential noise nuisance / details	Rail/road/other
Close to WWTW	No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Other constraints	
PERCEPTION OF WIDER ENVIRONMENT	3
Summary of reasons for score	Attractive location with canal and three lakes. Compatible employment uses (but impact of chemical works?)

Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated /
	Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/
needed?	Complex or high investment required/
	Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	

INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	No infrastructure constraints identified

4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail adjacent line. Station in Selby <1km/Motorway M62/Road A1041, A63 BYPASS
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes on A1041/No (distance to/frequency?)
Infrastructure Assets	Potential to link to rail. Canal side
Other assets	List
Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	Yes central location in key expansion area

OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	Near centre of principal town with key access
	opportunities

5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term Yes – Immediate release / No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency known to undertake employment development?	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for development?	Yes/No Explanation
Is there developer interest in the site?	Yes/No/Not known
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site constraints to make employment development viable?	Not required
Would employment development on this site be viable, without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	Yes Any details / comments
Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	3
Summary of reasons for score	Owner's intentions not known

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	14
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	8.42

Notes of any updates	Date
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	012
Site name	Civic Centre
Address	Portholme Road, Selby
Grid Ref	461414 431938
Parish	Selby
SHLAA Ref	PHS/19/006
Employment Land Study ID	
NLUD Ref	
Current land use	Council offices
Land type	BF/GF/ <mark>Mixed</mark>
Site size	1.96
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No
	Use Class / Mixed
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	No
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term	No
development or regeneration proposal, which depends	
on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	
Is the site important in delivering other economic	Yes – edge of centre site in principal town
development objectives or the spatial strategy?	
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	Largely BF site on edge of Selby town centre

3. Physical/Technical Constraints	
Major Constraints	Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI
Designations	
Floodzone 1 2 3a 3b	SINC
Green Infrastructure Corridor	Ancient Woodland
Green Belt	Historic battlefield
LILA	National or local Historic Park or Garden
ROS/allotments	Strategic Countryside Gap
Local Amenity Space	Listed Building
Scheduled Ancient Monument	Conservation Area
Protected Road Scheme	Mineral Safeguarded Area
Public Right of Way	
Site Features:	
HSE Zone	
Groundwater Protection Zone	
Presence of Underground Services	Water / Rising Main
Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	
Structures/enclosures e.g. structures, OHP lines,	Council offices and car parking hard standings
contamination	Telecommunications mast
Contamination or Pollution / details	Known/Not known/Potential for
Overhead power lines / details	Above/adjacent
Extent that physical features technically constrain the site	3
Summary of reason for score	Part of site Floodzone 3. Few constraints other than demolition required of obsolete offices/parking
QUALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT in eyes of	2
end user	
Summary of reasons for score	Straight forward redevelopment site
Adjacent Land Uses:	
List of uses	Tesco superstore/police station/road/playing fields/church/residential/railway line
Bad neighbour uses / details	Yes/No/Not known
Potential noise nuisance / details	Rail/road/other
Close to WWTW	No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Other constraints	
PERCEPTION OF WIDER ENVIRONMENT	1
Summary of reasons for score	Range of compatible uses/key location on edge
- Califficacy of Foundation (Conference of Conference of C	of centre

Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated /
	Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/
needed?	Complex or high investment required/
	Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	

INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	None identified

4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail adjacent line/station at Selby in walking distance/Motorway M62/Road A19 A1041 A63
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes bus station ¼ mile away/No (distance to/frequency?)
Infrastructure Assets	List
Other assets	List
Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	Yes

OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	Key edge of centre location with road/public
	transport nodes

5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public SDC/ Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term – surplus to requirements, relocation in August 2011 Yes – Immediate release / No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency known to undertake employment development?	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for development?	Yes/No – council owned – promoting redevelopment post relocation
Is there developer interest in the site?	Yes/No/Not known
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site constraints to make employment development viable?	Not known
Would employment development on this site be viable, without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	Yes
Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	Owner keen to dispose of post 2011

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	10
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS
	Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS
	High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	1.96

Notes of any updates	Date
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	ELR013
Site name	Former Gas Holders
Address	Prospect Way, Bawtry Road, Selby
Grid Ref	461670 431740
Parish	Selby
SHLAA Ref	PHS/19/008
Employment Land Study ID	EMP6
NLUD Ref	273900384
Current land use	Cleared site/hard standing/scrub
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	0.64
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No
	Use Class / Mixed
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific	Not known
user or specialist use?	
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term	No
development or regeneration proposal, which depends	
on the site being partly or wholly developed for	
employment uses?	Yes central site in principal town
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	res central site in principal town
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	Key site in expansion area

3. Physical/Technical Constraints	
Major Constraints	Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI
Designations	
Floodzone 1 2 3a 3b	SINC
Green Infrastructure Corridor	Ancient Woodland
Green Belt	Historic battlefield
LILA	National or local Historic Park or Garden
ROS/allotments	Strategic Countryside Gap
Local Amenity Space	Listed Building
Scheduled Ancient Monument	Conservation Area
Protected Road Scheme	Mineral Safeguarded Area
Public Right of Way	
Site Features:	
HSE Zone	Outer
Groundwater Protection Zone	
Presence of Underground Services	Water / Rising Main
Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	
Structures/enclosures e.g. structures, OHP lines,	
contamination	
Contamination or Pollution / details	Known/Not known/Potential for
Overhead power lines / details	Above/adjacent
·	
Extent that physical features technically constrain the site	3
Summary of reason for score	Floodzone 3. Existing ground works to overcome/likely contamination due to gas holder use
QUALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT in eyes of end user	2
Summary of reasons for score	Small site with potential remediation works required
Adjacent Land Uses:	
List of uses	Rail/retail unit and car park/industrial units
Bad neighbour uses / details	Yes/No/Not known
Potential noise nuisance / details	Rail/road/other
Close to WWTW	No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Other constraints	Known contamination on adjoining land to south
PERCEPTION OF WIDER ENVIRONMENT	3
PERCEPTION OF WIDER ENVIRONMENT Summary of reasons for score	Compatible employment uses but not pretty environment

Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated /
	Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/
needed?	Complex or high investment required/
	Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	

INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	None identified

4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail adjacent line and Selby station ½ mile away/Motorway M62/Road A1041/A63
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes along A1041?/No (distance to/frequency?)
Infrastructure Assets	List
Other assets	List
Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	Yes located in principal town, expansion area

OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	On periphery of principal town

5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term
Landowner international various	Yes – Immediate release / No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not
known to undertake employment development?	known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by	Yes/No/Not known
an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for	Explanation
development?	
Is there developer interest in the site?	Yes/No/Not known
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not
	known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings
Le though multip founding appropriated (or likely to be	No
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be	INO
provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site constraints to make employment development viable?	
Would employment development on this site be viable,	Not known
without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other	NOT KHOWH
on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	
on site constraints on with public randing it committee:	
Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	4
Summary of reasons for score	Derelict site for considerable time. No visible
	marketing. Landowner's intentions not known.

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	16
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS
	High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	0.64

Notes of any updates	Date
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	ELR014
Site name	Vivars Way
Address	Canal Road, Selby
Grid Ref	461876 432008
Parish	Selby
SHLAA Ref	PHS/19/009
Employment Land Study ID	
NLUD Ref	273900385
Current land use	Vacant land
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed Not known
Site size	0.41
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	SEL/7
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No
	Use Class / Mixed
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific	Not known
user or specialist use?	
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term	Yes – remainder of significant employment
development or regeneration proposal, which depends	permission for business park
on the site being partly or wholly developed for	
employment uses?	
Is the site important in delivering other economic	Yes strategic central location in expansion area
development objectives or the spatial strategy?	
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	SPA in SDLP

3. Physical/Technical Constraints	
Major Constraints	Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI
Designations	- Cital 6551
Floodzone 1 2 3a 3b	SINC
Green Infrastructure Corridor	Ancient Woodland
Green Belt	Historic battlefield
LILA	National or local Historic Park or Garden
ROS/allotments	Strategic Countryside Gap
Local Amenity Space	Listed Building
Scheduled Ancient Monument	Conservation Area
Protected Road Scheme	Mineral Safeguarded Area
Public Right of Way	- Inniera. Carogua. Court in Ca
Site Features:	
HSE Zone	
Groundwater Protection Zone	
Presence of Underground Services	Water / Rising Main
Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	Transport and tr
Structures/enclosures e.g. structures, OHP lines,	
contamination	
Contamination or Pollution / details	Known/Not known/Potential for
Overhead power lines / details	Above/adjacent
•	
Extent that physical features technically constrain the site	3
Summary of reason for score	Floodzone 3 No other technical constraints
QUALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT in eyes of	1
end user	
	1 Clear straight forward site
end user	
end user Summary of reasons for score	
Adjacent Land Uses: List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details	Clear straight forward site Bus depot/business park/depot Yes/No/Not known
End user Summary of reasons for score Adjacent Land Uses: List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details Potential noise nuisance / details	Clear straight forward site Bus depot/business park/depot Yes/No/Not known Rail/road/other
Adjacent Land Uses: List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details Potential noise nuisance / details Close to WWTW	Clear straight forward site Bus depot/business park/depot Yes/No/Not known
End user Summary of reasons for score Adjacent Land Uses: List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details Potential noise nuisance / details	Clear straight forward site Bus depot/business park/depot Yes/No/Not known Rail/road/other
Adjacent Land Uses: List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details Potential noise nuisance / details Close to WWTW	Clear straight forward site Bus depot/business park/depot Yes/No/Not known Rail/road/other

Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated /
	Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No not known
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/
needed?	Complex or high investment required/
	Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	

INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	None identified

4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail adjacent railway line and Selby station within a few hundred metres/Motorway M62/Road A1041, A63
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes/No (distance to/frequency?)
Infrastructure Assets	List
Other assets	Already serviced?
Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	Yes central Selby site on existing business park

OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	Centrally located in principal town

5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term
	Yes – Immediate release / No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not
known to undertake employment development?	known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by	Yes/No Not known
an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for	Explanation
development?	
	IN ALALI
Is there developer interest in the site?	Yes/No/Not known
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not
	known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings
	Ne
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be	No
provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site	
constraints to make employment development viable?	. Voc
Would employment development on this site be viable,	Yes
without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other	
on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	
Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
on ongar or room domain	Tilgit / mediam / tem (eemmente)
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	3
Summary of reasons for score	Natural expansions land for business park but
	landowner's intentions not known

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	12
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS
	High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	0.41

Notes of any updates	Date
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	015
Site name	Former Rigid Paper
Address	Denison Road, Selby
Grid Ref	462252 432028
Parish	Selby
SHLAA Ref	PHS/19/010
Employment Land Study ID	EMP9
NLUD Ref	273900378
Current land use	Vacant industrial/mill buildings and former
	operational areas currently in process of demolition
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	6.47
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No Use Class / Mixed
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	No
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	No
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	Yes Selby
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	Existing industrial BF site (vacant) in Principal Town but no planning permission or specific SDLP designation

3. Physical/Technical Constraints	
Major Constraints	Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI
Designations	
Floodzone 1 2 3a 3b	SINC
Green Infrastructure Corridor	Ancient Woodland
Green Belt	Historic battlefield
LILA	National or local Historic Park or Garden
ROS/allotments	Strategic Countryside Gap
Local Amenity Space	Listed Building
Scheduled Ancient Monument	Conservation Area
Protected Road Scheme	Mineral Safeguarded Area
Public Right of Way	
Site Features:	
HSE Zone	
Groundwater Protection Zone	
Presence of Underground Services	Water / Rising Main
Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	
Structures/enclosures e.g. structures, OHP lines, contamination	Vacant buildings /hard standings
Contamination or Pollution / details	Known/Not known/Potential for
Overhead power lines / details	Above/adjacent
·	
Extent that physical features technically constrain the site	3
Summary of reason for score	Part Floodzone 3 Few technical constraints to development/redevelopment other than demolition/site clearance
QUALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT in eyes of end user	2
Summary of reasons for score	Potential re-use of buildings or redevelopment opportunity although not very pretty
Adjacent Land Uses:	
List of uses	Canal/river/industrial/pond/residential
Bad neighbour uses / details	Yes/No - housing/Not known
Potential noise nuisance / details	Rail/road/other No
Close to WWTW	No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Other constraints	
PERCEPTION OF WIDER ENVIRONMENT	2

Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated /
	Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/
needed?	Complex or high investment required/
	Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	

INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	None identified

4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail less than 1km away in Selby/Motorway M62/Road A19, A63 BYPASS
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes Abbots Road?/No (distance to/frequency?)
Infrastructure Assets	River/canal
Other assets	List
Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	Yes BF site in main town

OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	On edge of principal town close to transport
	links with river/canal adjoining

5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term
	Yes – Immediate release / No – but activity on-site -
	demolition in progress
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not
known to undertake employment development?	known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by	Yes/No/Not known
an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for	Explanation
development?	
Is there developer interest in the site?	Yes/No/Not known
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not
is the site being actively marketed:	known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings
Thas there been any recent market activity:	
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be	No
provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site	
constraints to make employment development viable?	
Would employment development on this site be viable,	Yes
without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other	
on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	
Market Attractiveness	High / modium / low (comments)
	High / medium / low (comments) High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	nign / medium / low (comments)
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	3
Summary of reasons for score	Landowner's intention not known

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	15
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS
	Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS
	High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	6.47

Notes of any updates	Date
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	016
Site name	The Holmes SPA
Address	Holme Lane, Selby
Grid Ref	461340 432865
Parish	Selby
SHLAA Ref	PHS/19/016
Employment Land Study ID	
NLUD Ref	273900390
Current land use	Cleared Former industrial estate, car repair/MOT
	garage, houses
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	4.66
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	SEL/7A
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes RESIDENTIAL / No Use Class / Mixed
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	No
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	No
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	No
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	4
Summary of reasons for score	Despite mixed use special policy, existing residential permission means unlikely to come forward for employment uses

3. Physical/Technical Constraints	
Major Constraints	Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI
Designations	
Floodzone 1 2 3a 3b	SINC
Green Infrastructure Corridor	Ancient Woodland
Green Belt	Historic battlefield
LILA	National or local Historic Park or Garden
ROS/allotments	Strategic Countryside Gap
Local Amenity Space	Listed Building
Scheduled Ancient Monument	Conservation Area
Protected Road Scheme	Mineral Safeguarded Area
Public Right of Way	
Site Features:	
HSE Zone	
Groundwater Protection Zone	
Presence of Underground Services	Water / Rising Main
Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	
Structures/enclosures e.g. structures, OHP lines,	
contamination	
Contamination or Pollution / details	Known/Not known/Potential for
Overhead power lines / details	Above/adjacent
Extent that physical features technically constrain the site	3
Summary of reason for score	Floodzone 3 No technical constraints
QUALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT in eyes of	1
end user	
Summary of reasons for score	Cleared site ready to go
Adjacent Land Uses:	
List of uses	Housing/countryside/river
Rad neighbour uses / details	Ves - housing/No/Not known
Bad neighbour uses / details	Yes - housing/No/Not known Rail/road/other No
Potential noise nuisance / details	Rail/road/other No
Potential noise nuisance / details Close to WWTW	Yes - housing/No/Not known Rail/road/other No No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Potential noise nuisance / details	Rail/road/other No
Potential noise nuisance / details Close to WWTW	Rail/road/other No

Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated / Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be needed?	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/ Complex or high investment required/ Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	

INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	3
Summary of reasons for score	Capacity of local highways network?

4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail Selby <1km/Motorway M62/Road A19
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes/No (distance to/frequency?)
Infrastructure Assets	
Other assets	
Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	Yes

OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	On edge of principal town with associated
	transport links

5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Immediate release – for residential from
	SHLAA / No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	STEAR / NO
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not
known to undertake employment development?	known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by	Yes/No
an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for	Explanation
development?	Explanation
dorolog.mone.	
Is there developer interest in the site?	Yes -housing /No/Not known
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not
	known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be	No
provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site	
constraints to make employment development viable?	
Would employment development on this site be viable,	Not known but aware of potential highway
without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other	infrastructure issues
on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	
Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
- Carongan on room domain	The state of the s
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	3
Summary of reasons for score	Landowner' keen to develop but for housing
	rather than employment at this stage – could
	this change to mixed use?

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	18
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	4.66

Notes of any updates	Date
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	017
Site name	Former wood yard
Address	Station Road, Selby
Grid Ref	461793 432335
Parish	Selby
SHLAA Ref	PHS/19/020
Employment Land Study ID	EMP8
NLUD Ref	273900392
Current land use	Vacant saw mill/cleared
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	0.55
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	SEL/6
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No Use Class / Mixed for re-cladding existing buildings for re-use
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	No
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	Yes – part of SPA. This site identified as key site needing development brief (also Station Quarter proposals)
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	Yes - key central site. Identified in ELS07 and RCL09 for potential allocation for offices
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	Town centre site in Principal Town. Key site identified in SPA in SDLP. High priority site to promote for office/mixed use (ELS07/RCL09)

3. Physical/Technical Constraints	
Major Constraints	Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI
Designations	
Floodzone 1 2 3a 3b	SINC
Green Infrastructure Corridor	Ancient Woodland
Green Belt	Historic battlefield
LILA	National or local Historic Park or Garden
ROS/allotments SELBY PARK	Strategic Countryside Gap
Local Amenity Space SELBY PARK	Listed Buildings – SELBY ABBEY, Selby Station, properties to north and west
Scheduled Ancient Monument	Conservation Area
Protected Road Scheme	Mineral Safeguarded Area
Public Right of Way	Inmoral Saraguarasa / Iroa
Site Features:	
HSE Zone	
Groundwater Protection Zone	
Presence of Underground Services	Water / Rising Main
Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	vvater / Homy Main
Structures/enclosures e.g. structures, OHP lines, contamination	
Contamination or Pollution / details	Known/Not known/Potential for
Overhead power lines / details	Above/adjacent
Overnead power lines / details	Above/adjacent
Extent that physical features technically constrain the site	1
Summary of reason for score	Constraints identified are policy/design/DM issues not physical barriers to development
QUALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT in eyes of	2
and upor	
end user	
Summary of reasons for score	Existing buildings refurbished for re-use
	Existing buildings refurbished for re-use Awkward narrow shape Policy requirements to retain access through to Park and views of Abbey.
Summary of reasons for score	Awkward narrow shape Policy requirements to retain access through to
Summary of reasons for score Adjacent Land Uses:	Awkward narrow shape Policy requirements to retain access through to Park and views of Abbey.
Summary of reasons for score	Awkward narrow shape Policy requirements to retain access through to
Adjacent Land Uses: List of uses	Awkward narrow shape Policy requirements to retain access through to Park and views of Abbey. Selby Park/Selby Train Station/Bus Station/Railwaymen's Club
Adjacent Land Uses: List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details	Awkward narrow shape Policy requirements to retain access through to Park and views of Abbey. Selby Park/Selby Train Station/Bus Station/Railwaymen's Club Yes/No/Not known
Adjacent Land Uses: List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details Potential noise nuisance / details	Awkward narrow shape Policy requirements to retain access through to Park and views of Abbey. Selby Park/Selby Train Station/Bus Station/Railwaymen's Club Yes/No/Not known Rail/road/other
Adjacent Land Uses: List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details	Awkward narrow shape Policy requirements to retain access through to Park and views of Abbey. Selby Park/Selby Train Station/Bus Station/Railwaymen's Club Yes/No/Not known
Adjacent Land Uses: List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details Potential noise nuisance / details Close to WWTW	Awkward narrow shape Policy requirements to retain access through to Park and views of Abbey. Selby Park/Selby Train Station/Bus Station/Railwaymen's Club Yes/No/Not known Rail/road/other

Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated /
	Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/
needed?	Complex or high investment required/
	Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	

INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	None identified

4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail adjacent main station/Motorway M62/Road A19 A63 BYPASS
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes next to main bus station/No (distance to/frequency?)
Infrastructure Assets	Proximity to rail and bus node
Other assets	Proximity to town centre
Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	Yes

OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	Town centre location adjacent train and bus station

5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term
	Yes – Immediate release - submitted SHLAA
	site/refurbishment works/ No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not
known to undertake employment development?	known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by	Yes/No
an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for	Explanation
development?	
In the one of a color on interest in the city.	Vas/Na/Nathuseum
Is there developer interest in the site?	Yes/No/Not known
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/ Not
	known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings site board?
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be	No
provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site	
constraints to make employment development viable?	
Would employment development on this site be viable,	Yes
without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other	163
on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	
on one constraints of t with public funding it committee:	<u> </u>
Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	Site owner keen to develop and marketing site?

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	8
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS
	Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS
	High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	0.55

Notes of any updates	Date
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	018
Site name	Former Tate & Lyle Depot
Address	East Common Lane, Selby
Grid Ref	462831 431611
Parish	Selby
SHLAA Ref	PHS/19022
Employment Land Study ID	
NLUD Ref	273900393
Current land use	Disused depot?
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	0.97
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No Use Class / Mixed
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	No
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	No
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	No
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	Brownfield site within development limits adjacent existing allocation and employment area within main town

Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone /
International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife
Site/SSSI
SINC
Ancient Woodland
Historic battlefield
National or local Historic Park or Garden
Strategic Countryside Gap
Listed Building
Conservation Area
Mineral Safeguarded Area
Water / Rising Main
Building and hard standing car parking
(2)
Known/Not known/Potential for
Above/adjacent
3
Floodzone 3
No other technical constraints identified
1
Straight forward development site with existing
Straight forward development site with existing building appropriate for re-use or further
Straight forward development site with existing
Straight forward development site with existing building appropriate for re-use or further
Straight forward development site with existing building appropriate for re-use or further
Straight forward development site with existing building appropriate for re-use or further
Straight forward development site with existing building appropriate for re-use or further
Straight forward development site with existing building appropriate for re-use or further development.
Straight forward development site with existing building appropriate for re-use or further development. Sports field, employment uses, road
Straight forward development site with existing building appropriate for re-use or further development. Sports field, employment uses, road Yes/No/Not known
Straight forward development site with existing building appropriate for re-use or further development. Sports field, employment uses, road Yes/No/Not known Rail/road/other
Straight forward development site with existing building appropriate for re-use or further development. Sports field, employment uses, road Yes/No/Not known
Straight forward development site with existing building appropriate for re-use or further development. Sports field, employment uses, road Yes/No/Not known Rail/road/other
Straight forward development site with existing building appropriate for re-use or further development. Sports field, employment uses, road Yes/No/Not known Rail/road/other
Straight forward development site with existing building appropriate for re-use or further development. Sports field, employment uses, road Yes/No/Not known Rail/road/other
Straight forward development site with existing building appropriate for re-use or further development. Sports field, employment uses, road Yes/No/Not known Rail/road/other No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Straight forward development site with existing building appropriate for re-use or further development. Sports field, employment uses, road Yes/No/Not known Rail/road/other No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Straight forward development site with existing building appropriate for re-use or further development. Sports field, employment uses, road Yes/No/Not known Rail/road/other No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object Employment area of Selby with adjacent sports
Straight forward development site with existing building appropriate for re-use or further development. Sports field, employment uses, road Yes/No/Not known Rail/road/other No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object

Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated /
	Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/
needed?	Complex or high investment required/
	Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	

INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	Assume no infrastructure constraints

4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail Selby/Motorway M62/Road A63 BYPASS connecting to A19 and A1041
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes Abbots' Road?/No (distance to/frequency?)
Infrastructure Assets	List
Other assets	List
Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	Yes

OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	Town centre location near to services and amenities and road /rail networks
	amenities and road frail networks

5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term
	Yes – Immediate release / No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency	Yes Housing/Yes Employment -renewable energy/
known to undertake employment development?	Yes Other/ No/Not known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by	Yes/No
an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for	Explanation
development?	
Is there developer interest in the site?	Yes/No/Not known
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not
is the site being actively marketed:	known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings
rias there been any recent market activity:	
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be	No
provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site	
constraints to make employment development viable?	
Would employment development on this site be viable,	Yes
without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other	
on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	
Maylant Attuantivamen	History (no odivino (lavy (o opera opta)
Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	3
Summary of reasons for score	No positive marketing activity apparent

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	13
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS
	High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	0.97

Notes of any updates	Date
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	019
Site name	NE A63 Bypass and A19 junction
Address	Brayton
Grid Ref	459923 429933
Parish	Brayton
SHLAA Ref	PHS/20/001
Employment Land Study ID	EMP3
NLUD Ref	
Current land use	Agricultural
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	6.85
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No Use Class / Mixed
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	No
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	No
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	No
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	4
Summary of reasons for score	Not identified in SDLP or LDF but on edge of DSV within line of Selby bypass

3. Physical/Technical Constraints	
Major Constraints	Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI
Designations	
Floodzone 1 2 3a 3b	SINC
Green Infrastructure Corridor	Ancient Woodland
Green Belt	Historic battlefield
LILA	National or local Historic Park or Garden
ROS/allotments	Strategic Countryside Gap
Local Amenity Space	Listed Building
Scheduled Ancient Monument	Conservation Area
Protected Road Scheme	Mineral Safeguarded Area
Public Right of Way?	
Site Features:	
HSE Zone	
Groundwater Protection Zone	
Presence of Underground Services	Water / Rising Main
Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	Balancing pond for bypass
Structures/enclosures e.g. structures, OHP lines, contamination	71
Contamination or Pollution / details	Known/Not known/Potential for
Overhead power lines / details	Above/adjacent
Extent that physical features technically constrain the site	1
Summary of reason for score	No technical constraints identified
QUALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT in eyes of	1
end user	
Summary of reasons for score	Clear greenfield site ready for development
Adjacent Land Uses:	
List of uses	Housing, A19, Bypass, countryside
Bad neighbour uses / details	Yes/No - housing?/Not known
Potential noise nuisance / details	Rail/road/other
Close to WWTW	No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Other constraints	The first satisfies as a support
PERCEPTION OF WIDER ENVIRONMENT	1
Summary of reasons for score	Attractive GF location with high visibility from Bypass and A19

Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated / Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be needed?	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/ Complex or high investment required/ Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	

INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	Assume no infrastructure constraints

4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail Selby /Motorway M62/Road A19 A63 access to A1/A64
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes? A19/No (distance to/frequency?)
Infrastructure Assets	List
Other assets	List
Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	Yes

OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	Not centrally located to Selby but adjacent DSV within Bypass
	within bypass

5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term
	Yes – Immediate release / No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not
known to undertake employment development?	known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by	Yes/No/Not known
an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for	Explanation
development?	
In there developer interest in the site?	Yes/No/Not known
Is there developer interest in the site?	
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not known
Llea thoughour pay years to advist a stiritus	Enquiries / sales / lettings
Has there been any recent market activity?	Linquilles / Sales / Tettings
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be	Not required
provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site	
constraints to make employment development viable?	
Would employment development on this site be viable,	Yes
without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other	
on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	
Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
OVERALL ACTIVITY COORE	3
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	
Summary of reasons for score	Landowner's intentions not known

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	13
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS
	High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	6.85

Notes of any updates	Date
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	020
Site name	Land SE Brayton
Address	Brayton Lane, Brayton
Grid Ref	460550 430138
Parish	Brayton
SHLAA Ref	PHS/20/002
Employment Land Study ID	EMP4
NLUD Ref	
Current land use	Agricultural
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	20.87
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No Use Class / Mixed
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	No
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	No
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	No
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	4
Summary of reasons for score	Not identified in SDLP or LDF but on edge of DSV within line of Selby bypass

Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI SINC Ancient Woodland Historic battlefield National or local Historic Park or Garden Strategic Countryside Gap Listed Building - Brayton Canal Bridge Conservation Area Mineral Safeguarded Area Canal tow path Water / Rising Main Known/Not known/Potential for
Ancient Woodland Historic battlefield National or local Historic Park or Garden Strategic Countryside Gap Listed Building - Brayton Canal Bridge Conservation Area Mineral Safeguarded Area Canal tow path Water / Rising Main
Ancient Woodland Historic battlefield National or local Historic Park or Garden Strategic Countryside Gap Listed Building - Brayton Canal Bridge Conservation Area Mineral Safeguarded Area Canal tow path Water / Rising Main
Historic battlefield National or local Historic Park or Garden Strategic Countryside Gap Listed Building - Brayton Canal Bridge Conservation Area Mineral Safeguarded Area Canal tow path Water / Rising Main
National or local Historic Park or Garden Strategic Countryside Gap Listed Building - Brayton Canal Bridge Conservation Area Mineral Safeguarded Area Canal tow path Water / Rising Main
Strategic Countryside Gap Listed Building - Brayton Canal Bridge Conservation Area Mineral Safeguarded Area Canal tow path Water / Rising Main
Listed Building - Brayton Canal Bridge Conservation Area Mineral Safeguarded Area Canal tow path Water / Rising Main
Listed Building - Brayton Canal Bridge Conservation Area Mineral Safeguarded Area Canal tow path Water / Rising Main
Conservation Area Mineral Safeguarded Area Canal tow path Water / Rising Main
Canal tow path Water / Rising Main
Canal tow path Water / Rising Main
Water / Rising Main
Known/Not known/Potential for
Known/Not known/Potential for
Known/Not known/Potential for
Above/adjacent
1
No technical constraints identified
1
Attractive GF site ready to go
Thirden's ar one roday to go
Housing, road, canal, railway, countryside
Yes/No – residential?/Not known
Rail/road/other
No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
No / res but no objections / res Object
1
Attractive countryside location adjacent canal

Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated / Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be needed?	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/ Complex or high investment required/ Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	

INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	Assume no infrastructure constraints

4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail Selby/Motorway M62/Road A19, A63, access to A1, A64
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes/No (distance to/frequency?)
Infrastructure Assets	List
Other assets	List
Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	Yes

OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	Located on edge of built up area, access to Selby, within Bypass

5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term
	Yes – Immediate release / No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not
known to undertake employment development?	known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by	Yes/No/Not known
an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for	Explanation
development?	
Is there developer interest in the site?	Yes/No/Not known
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not
to the one being delivery marketed.	known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be	No
provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site	
constraints to make employment development viable?	
Would employment development on this site be viable,	Yes
without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other	
on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	
Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
Offeright of local defination	Trigit / mediant / low (comments)
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	3
Summary of reasons for score	Landowner's intentions not known

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	13
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	20.87

Notes of any updates	Date
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	021
Site name	NW of Bypass / A19 Junction
Address	Brayton
Grid Ref	459640 429908
Parish	Brayton
SHLAA Ref	PHS/20/003
Employment Land Study ID	EMP2
NLUD Ref	273900397
Current land use	Agricultural/residential curtilage/paddock
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	6.16
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No Use Class / Mixed
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	No
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	No
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	No
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	4
Summary of reasons for score	Not identified in SDLP or LDF but on edge of DSV within line of Selby bypass

3. Physical/Technical Constraints	
Major Constraints	Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI
Designations	
Floodzone 1 2 3a 3b	SINC
Green Infrastructure Corridor	Ancient Woodland
Green Belt	Historic battlefield
LILA	National or local Historic Park or Garden
ROS/allotments	Strategic Countryside Gap
Local Amenity Space	Listed Building
Scheduled Ancient Monument	Conservation Area
Protected Road Scheme	Mineral Safeguarded Area
Public Right of Way	
Site Features:	
HSE Zone	
Groundwater Protection Zone	
Presence of Underground Services	Water / Rising Main
Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes Structures/enclosures e.g. structures, OHP lines,	
contamination	
Contamination or Pollution / details	Known/Not known/Potential for
Overhead power lines / details	Above/adjacent
Summary of reason for score	No identified technical constraints
QUALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT in eyes of end user	1
Summary of reasons for score	Flat, open, Greenfield site ready to go
Adjacent Land Uses:	
List of uses	Residential, Bypass, road, countryside
Bad neighbour uses / details	Yes/No/Not known
Potential noise nuisance / details	Rail/road/other
Close to WWTW	No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Other constraints	The 7 red but no objectione 7 red object
PERCEPTION OF WIDER ENVIRONMENT	2
Summary of reasons for score	Attractive countryside location adjacent to
	bypass junction with high visibility

Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated /
	Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/
needed?	Complex or high investment required/
	Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	

INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	Assume no sewage problems. Access could be to road to north or direct to A19 via land in same ownership. Close to Bypass junction.

4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail Selby/Motorway M62 /Road A19, A63 bypass
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes A19/No (distance to/frequency?)
Infrastructure Assets	List
Other assets	List
Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	Yes

OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	Located on edge of built up area, adj DSV,
	access to Selby, within Bypass

5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term
	Yes – Immediate release / No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not
known to undertake employment development?	known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by	Yes/No
an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for	Explanation
development?	'
Is there developer interest in the site?	Yes/No/Not known
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not
	known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings
[I NI
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be	No
provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site	
constraints to make employment development viable?	
Would employment development on this site be viable,	Yes
without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other	
on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	
[A	
Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	3
	Landowners intentions not known.
Summary of reasons for score	Landowners intentions not known.

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	14
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	6.16

Notes of any updates	Date
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	022
Site name	East of A63 Bypass, Thorpe Willoughby
Address	Thorpe Willoughby
Grid Ref	457077 430727
Parish	Thorpe Willoughby
SHLAA Ref	PHS/33/001
Employment Land Study ID	EMP1
NLUD Ref	
Current land use	Agricultural pig breeding centre, farm land
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	18.69
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No Use Class / Mixed (resi refused in past)
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	No
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	No
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	No
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	4
Summary of reasons for score	Not identified in SDLP or LDF but on edge of DSV within line of Selby bypass

3. Physical/Technical Constraints	
Major Constraints	Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI
Designations	
Floodzone 1 2 3a 3b	SINC
Green Infrastructure Corridor	Ancient Woodland
Green Belt	Historic battlefield
LILA	National or local Historic Park or Garden
ROS/allotments	Strategic Countryside Gap
Local Amenity Space	Listed Building
Scheduled Ancient Monument	Conservation Area
Protected Road Scheme	Mineral Safeguarded Area
Public Right of Way	
Site Features:	
HSE Zone	
Groundwater Protection Zone	
Presence of Underground Services	Water / Rising Main
Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	
Structures/enclosures e.g. structures, OHP lines,	Agricultural buildings on small part of site
contamination	Manage (Nath Language / Date at later
Contamination or Pollution / details	Known/Not known/Potential for
Overhead power lines / details	Above/adjacent
the site Summary of reason for score	No identified technical constraints
QUALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT in eyes of	2
end user	
Summary of reasons for score	Flat, open, Greenfield site with low level clearance required
Adjacent Land Uses:	
Adjacent Land Uses: List of uses	Residential, Bypass, road, sports field/centre
List of uses	
List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details	Yes/No/Not known
List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details Potential noise nuisance / details	Yes/No/Not known Rail/road/other
List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details	Yes/No/Not known
Bad neighbour uses / details Potential noise nuisance / details Close to WWTW	Yes/No/Not known Rail/road/other
Bad neighbour uses / details Potential noise nuisance / details Close to WWTW Other constraints PERCEPTION OF WIDER ENVIRONMENT	Yes/No/Not known Rail/road/other No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Bad neighbour uses / details Potential noise nuisance / details Close to WWTW Other constraints	Yes/No/Not known Rail/road/other No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object 2 Attractive countryside location adjacent to
Bad neighbour uses / details Potential noise nuisance / details Close to WWTW Other constraints PERCEPTION OF WIDER ENVIRONMENT	Yes/No/Not known Rail/road/other No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object

Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated /
	Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/
needed?	Complex or high investment required/
	Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	

INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	Assume no sewage problems. Access could be to road to north. Close to Bypass junction.
	to road to north. Glose to Bypass junction.

4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail Selby/Motorway M62 /Road A63 to Leeds/A1/A64, A63 bypass
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes A63 Selby/Leeds/No (distance to/frequency?)
Infrastructure Assets	List
Other assets	List
Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	Yes

OVERALL SUST	AINABILITY SCORE	2
Summary of rea	sons for score	Located on edge of DSV, access to Selby, within
		Bypass. Road links to region.

Multiple / Public / Private
Yes / No
Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term
Yes – Immediate release / No
Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not
known
Yes/No/Not known
Explanation
Yes/No/Not known
Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not
known
Enquiries / sales / lettings
1 4 9-
No
Yes
Lligh / modium / low (comments)
High / medium / low (comments)
High / medium / low (comments)
3
Landowner's intentions not known.

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	15
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	18.69

Notes of any updates	Date
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	023
Site name	Church Fenton Airbase
Address	Busk Lane, Ulleskelf
Grid Ref	452307 438011
Parish	Ulleskelf
SHLAA Ref	PHS/64/001
Employment Land Study ID	
NLUD Ref	
Current land use	Redundant part of airbase, barrack blocks, storage,
	misc
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	11.13
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	_
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	CFA/1
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No Use Class / Mixed
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	SDLP Policy specifies a single user for the whole of the site or for each of the two parts of the site, east and west of Busk Lane separately for; a) B1 b) C2 residential institutions including schools, colleges or training centres c) tourism and leisure.
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	No
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	No
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	3
Summary of reasons for score	Remote location low down in settlement hierarchy, specified limited range of uses.

3. Physical/Technical Constraints	
Major Constraints	Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone /
•	International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife
	Site/SSSI
Designations	
Floodzone 1 2 3a 3b	SINC
Green Infrastructure Corridor	Ancient Woodland
Green Belt	Historic battlefield
LILA	National or local Historic Park or Garden
ROS/allotments	Strategic Countryside Gap
Local Amenity Space	Listed Building
Scheduled Ancient Monument	Conservation Area
Protected Road Scheme	Mineral Safeguarded Area
Public Right of Way	
Site Features:	
HSE Zone	
Groundwater Protection Zone	
Presence of Underground Services	Water / Rising Main
Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	
Structures/enclosures e.g. structures, OHP lines,	Buildings, hard standings
contamination	
Contamination or Pollution / details	Known/Not known/Potential for
Overhead power lines / details	Above/adjacent
Future that abusing factures to build the constrain	
Extent that physical features technically constrain the site	2
Summary of reason for score	Site clearance and potential for contamination in the light of previous use?
OHALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT	
QUALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT in eyes of	3
end user	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Range of buildings not necessarily suited to reuse, so required redevelopment?
end user	Range of buildings not necessarily suited to re-
end user Summary of reasons for score	Range of buildings not necessarily suited to re-
Summary of reasons for score Adjacent Land Uses: List of uses	Range of buildings not necessarily suited to reuse, so required redevelopment? Residential, active elements of air field, countryside
Summary of reasons for score Adjacent Land Uses: List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details	Range of buildings not necessarily suited to reuse, so required redevelopment? Residential, active elements of air field, countryside Yes – housing and air field/No/Not known
Adjacent Land Uses: List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details Potential noise nuisance / details	Range of buildings not necessarily suited to reuse, so required redevelopment? Residential, active elements of air field, countryside Yes – housing and air field/No/Not known Rail/road/other airfield
Adjacent Land Uses: List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details Potential noise nuisance / details Close to WWTW	Range of buildings not necessarily suited to reuse, so required redevelopment? Residential, active elements of air field, countryside Yes – housing and air field/No/Not known
Adjacent Land Uses: List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details Potential noise nuisance / details	Range of buildings not necessarily suited to reuse, so required redevelopment? Residential, active elements of air field, countryside Yes – housing and air field/No/Not known Rail/road/other airfield
Adjacent Land Uses: List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details Potential noise nuisance / details Close to WWTW	Range of buildings not necessarily suited to reuse, so required redevelopment? Residential, active elements of air field, countryside Yes – housing and air field/No/Not known Rail/road/other airfield
Adjacent Land Uses: List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details Potential noise nuisance / details Close to WWTW Other constraints PERCEPTION OF WIDER ENVIRONMENT	Range of buildings not necessarily suited to reuse, so required redevelopment? Residential, active elements of air field, countryside Yes – housing and air field/No/Not known Rail/road/other airfield No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Adjacent Land Uses: List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details Potential noise nuisance / details Close to WWTW Other constraints	Range of buildings not necessarily suited to reuse, so required redevelopment? Residential, active elements of air field, countryside Yes – housing and air field/No/Not known Rail/road/other airfield No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object

Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated /
	Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/
needed?	Complex or high investment required/
	Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	

4
SDLP identified access/highway capacity issues.

4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail Ulleskelf/Motorway/Road
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes/No? (distance to/frequency?)
Infrastructure Assets	Proximity to air field –potential for civilian air access if ever becomes redundant?
Other assets	Existing buildings suited for storage uses? Layout suited to institutional uses?
Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	No

OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	4
Summary of reasons for score	Remote site with likelihood of impact on wider
	highways capacity

5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term
	Yes – Immediate release / No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not
known to undertake employment development?	known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by	Yes/No
an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for	Explanation
development?	
Is there developer interest in the site?	Yes/No/Not known
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not
	known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings
	I NI . I
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be	Not known
provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site	
constraints to make employment development viable?	
Would employment development on this site be viable,	Not known
without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other	
on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	
Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
Silengin of local demand	riigit / mediditi / tow (comments)
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	4
Summary of reasons for score	Despite promotion by landowner through SDLP
,	(1997-2000) not aware of any recent
	activity/landowner's intentions not known

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	22
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS
	Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS
	High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
	Restricted by SDLP policy
Developable Area	11.13

Notes of any updates	Date
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	024
Site name	Adjacent Ulleskelf railway line
Address	Church Fenton Lane, Ulleskelf
Grid Ref	451806 439926
Parish	Ulleskelf
SHLAA Ref	PHS/64/002
Employment Land Study ID	
NLUD Ref	27390025
Current land use	Vacant wholesaler?
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	1.12
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No
	Use Class / Mixed
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific	No
user or specialist use?	
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term	No
development or regeneration proposal, which depends	
on the site being partly or wholly developed for	
employment uses?	Ne
Is the site important in delivering other economic	No
development objectives or the spatial strategy?	2
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	_
Summary of reasons for score	PDL within DSV

3. Physical/Technical Constraints	
Major Constraints	Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI
Designations	
Floodzone 1 2 3a 3b	SINC
Green Infrastructure Corridor	Ancient Woodland
Green Belt	Historic battlefield
LILA	National or local Historic Park or Garden
ROS/allotments	Strategic Countryside Gap
Local Amenity Space	Listed Building
Scheduled Ancient Monument	Conservation Area
Protected Road Scheme	Mineral Safeguarded Area
Public Right of Way	
Site Features:	
HSE Zone	
Groundwater Protection Zone	
Presence of Underground Services	Water / Rising Main
Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	
Structures/enclosures e.g. structures, OHP lines,	Buildings, former sidings
contamination	
Contamination or Pollution / details	Known/Not known/Potential for
Overhead power lines / details	Above/adjacent
Extent that physical features technically constrain the site	3
Summary of reason for score	Site clearance and potential for contamination in the light of previous use?
QUALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT in eyes of	3
end user	
Summary of reasons for score	Poor quality environment due to previous uses but potential for re-use of limited existing buildings for storage/distribution?
Adjacent Land Uses:	
List of uses	Residential, railway (countryside beyond)
Bad neighbour uses / details	Yes – housing?/No/Not known
Potential noise nuisance / details	Rail/road/other
Close to WWTW	No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Other constraints	
PERCEPTION OF WIDER ENVIRONMENT	2
Summary of reasons for score	Housing issues but otherwise compatible uses
Cummary of reasons for score	and on edge of countryside

Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated /
	Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/
needed?	Complex or high investment required/
	Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	

INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	No infrastructure capacity issues identified

4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail Ulleskelf next door/Motorway/Road
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact /
	Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes/No (distance to/frequency?)
Infrastructure Assets	Railway line directly alongside – site if former sidings?
Other assets	
Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	Yes

	OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	2
Ī	Summary of reasons for score	Within DSV with rail links

Multiple / Public / Private
Yes / No
Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term
Yes – Immediate release / No
Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not
known
Yes/No/Not known
Explanation
Yes/No/Not known
Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not
known
Enquiries / sales / lettings
4 3-
No
Yes?
High / madium / law (samments)
High / medium / low (comments)
High / medium / low (comments)
3
Landowner's intentions not known

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	16
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	1.12

Notes of any updates	Date
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	025
Site name	TAD/3
Address	London Road, Tadcaster
Grid Ref	448255 442515
Parish	Tadcaster
SHLAA Ref	PHS/73/007
Employment Land Study ID	TAD/3
NLUD Ref	
Current land use	Agriculture, paddock, trees
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	9.1
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No Use Class / Mixed historical PPs for B1 C1
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	No
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	Yes – SDLP allocation
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	Yes – SDLP allocation
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	Employment allocation

3. Physical/Technical Constraints	
Major Constraints	Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI
Designations	
Floodzone 1 2 3a 3b	SINC
Green Infrastructure Corridor	Ancient Woodland
Green Belt - to SW	Historic battlefield
LILA	National or local Historic Park or Garden
ROS/allotments	Strategic Countryside Gap
Local Amenity Space	Listed Building
Scheduled Ancient Monument	Conservation Area
Protected Road Scheme	Mineral Safeguarded Area
Public Right of Way	
Site Features:	
HSE Zone	
Groundwater Protection Zone	
Presence of Underground Services	Water / Rising Main
Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	, and the second
Structures/enclosures e.g. structures, OHP lines,	
contamination	
Contamination or Pollution / details	Known/Not known/Potential for
Overhead power lines / details	Above/adjacent Potential to restrict range of uses if affected by electromagnetic fields? Considerable costs for undergrounding.
Extent that physical features technically constrain the site	3
Summary of reason for score	Clear greenfield site but with OHPs potential issues
QUALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT in eyes of end user	1
Summary of reasons for score	Open site with rural character
Adjacent Land Uses:	
List of uses	Residential, former railway, roads, sports ground
Bad neighbour uses / details	Yes – housing?/No/Not known
Potential noise nuisance / details	Rail/road/other
Close to WWTW	No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Other constraints	
PERCEPTION OF WIDER ENVIRONMENT	1
Summary of reasons for score	Rural/sports ground and high visibility from

Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated /
	Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/
needed?	Complex or high investment required/
	Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	

INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	No infrastructure capacity issues identified

4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail Ulleskelf a few kms/Motorway/Road A162/A64T and A1 to west
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes/No (distance to/frequency?)
Infrastructure Assets	
Other assets	
Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	Yes

OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	Within Local Service Centre

F. Own and in Astinites Factors	
5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term
	Yes – Immediate release / No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not
known to undertake employment development?	known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by	Yes/No/Not known
an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for	Explanation
development?	
_ actions protection.	
Is there developer interest in the site?	Yes/No/Not known
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not
ů ,	known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings
The second secon	
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be	No
provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site	
constraints to make employment development viable?	
Would employment development on this site be viable,	Yes
without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other	
on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	
on one concluding of the man public randing it committee.	l
Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
	, ,
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	3
Summary of reasons for score	Landowner's intentions not known

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	12
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	9.1

Notes of any updates	Date
amended score due to OHPLs and changed to medium constrained	27 09 10
rather than low constrained in light of consultation comments	
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	026
Site name	Robin Hoods Yard
Address	Kirkgate, Tadcaster
Grid Ref	448649 443420
Parish	Tadcaster
SHLAA Ref	
Employment Land Study ID	
NLUD Ref	273900141
Current land use	Vacant/derelict land?
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	0.36
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	Within designated shopping and commercial centre/town centre
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No Use Class / Mixed
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	No
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	No
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	Yes? Tadcaster regeneration / Renaissance?
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	Local Service Centre location within defined town centre

Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI SINC
Ancient Woodland
Historic battlefield
National or local Historic Park or Garden
Strategic Countryside Gap
Listed Building? Likely in vicinity
Conservation Area
Mineral Safeguarded Area
Water / Rising Main
Known/Not known/Potential for
Above/adjacent
3
Floodzone 3 No other physical constraints(only policy requirements to be dealt with at application stage)
3
· ·
Poor environment or good town centre location with historical assets?
Residential?, commercial, river, local amenity space
Yes/No/Not known
Rail/road/other
No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
,
2
Attractive market town close to LILA, Green Belt, riverside amenity

Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated /
	Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/
needed?	Complex or high investment required/
	Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	

INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	No infrastructure capacity issues identified

4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail Ulleskelf a few kms/Motorway/Road A162/A64T and A1 to west
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes/No (distance to/frequency?)
Infrastructure Assets	
Other assets	
Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	Yes

OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	Within Local Service Centre

5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term Yes – Immediate release / No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency known to undertake employment development?	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by	Yes/No/Not known
an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for development?	Explanation
Is there developer interest in the site?	Yes/No/Not known
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site constraints to make employment development viable?	No
Would employment development on this site be viable, without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	Yes?
Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	3
Summary of reasons for score	Landowner's intentions not known

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	15
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	0.36

Notes of any updates	Date
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	027
Site name	Former Papyrus Works
Address	Wetherby Road, Newton Kyme
Grid Ref	444852 445106
Parish	Newton Kyme
SHLAA Ref	PHS/72/001
Employment Land Study ID	
NLUD Ref	273900232
Current land use	Vacant/derelict land and buildings
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	8.86
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	Major Developed Site in Green Belt
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No Use Class / Mixed Pending application for 140 dwellings, incl 7 live/work units and 9 B1 office spaces
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	No
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	No
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	No
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	Policy allows for redevelopment in principle subject to certain tests.

Very Small part at north Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI
SINC
Ancient Woodland
Historic battlefield
National or local Historic Park or Garden
Strategic Countryside Gap
Listed Building
Conservation Area
Mineral Safeguarded Area
Water / Rising Main
Ponds
Works, hard standings
Known/Not known/Potential for
Above/adjacent
river 2/3 No other physical constraints apart from re- use/demolition (and policy requirements to be dealt with at application stage)
3 Potential for re-use or need for demolition
Potential for re-use of fleed for demonstron
Desidential assessment of the C
Residential, countryside, river
Yes/No/Not known
Rail/road/other
No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
1
2

Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated /
	Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/
needed?	Complex or high investment required/
	Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	

INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	No infrastructure capacity issues identified

4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail Ulleskelf /Church Fenton a few kms/Motorway/Road A162/A64T and A1 to west
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes/No? (distance to/frequency?)
Infrastructure Assets	River Wharf?
Other assets	
Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	No?

OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	4
Summary of reasons for score	Remote location in District but on border with Leeds and close to Boston Spa/Thorpe Arch

5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	
Landowner Intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term Yes – Immediate release / No
Ourse web in to a content of a real income of the file	Yes – immediate release / No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details)
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not
known to undertake employment development?	known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by	Yes/No/Not known
an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for	Explanation
development?	
Le though developer interest in the cite?	Voc survent application/No/Not Impare
Is there developer interest in the site?	Yes – current application/No/Not known
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not
	known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings
	Ne
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be	No
provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site	
constraints to make employment development viable?	
Would employment development on this site be viable,	Yes?
without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other	
on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	
Maybat Attractives	Lligh / madium / law (agmonagata)
Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	4
Summary of reasons for score	Planning application for majority housing on
	basis of lack of commercial need and not being
	pushed for employment uses

19	
Low constrained Medium constrained High constrained	0-7 YEARS 8-17 YEARS 18 +
B1 B2 B8 None ((comments)
	Low constrained Medium constrained High constrained

Notes of any updates	Date
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	029
Site name	Land at 211 Weeland Road
Address	Kellingley
Grid Ref	452285 423752
Parish	Kellingley
SHLAA Ref	
Employment Land Study ID	
NLUD Ref	273900330
Current land use	Vacant land
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	0.37
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No Use Class / Mixed
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	No
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	No
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	No
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	3
Summary of reasons for score	BF site within DSV

3. Physical/Technical Constraints	
Major Constraints	Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI
Designations	
Floodzone 1 2 3a 3b	SINC
Green Infrastructure Corridor	Ancient Woodland
Green Belt	Historic battlefield
LILA	National or local Historic Park or Garden
ROS/allotments	Strategic Countryside Gap
Local Amenity Space	Listed Building
Scheduled Ancient Monument	Conservation Area
Protected Road Scheme	Mineral Safeguarded Area
Public Right of Way	
Site Features:	
HSE Zone	
Groundwater Protection Zone	
Presence of Underground Services	Water / Rising Main
Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	
Structures/enclosures e.g. structures, OHP lines,	Buildings, hard standings
contamination	
Contamination or Pollution / details	Known/Not known/Potential for
Overhead power lines / details	Above/adjacent
Extent that physical features technically constrain the site	3
Summary of reason for score	Green belt. Re-use of buildings or demolition and redevelopment
CHALITY OF INTERNAL FAVORONMENT in success	
QUALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT in eyes of	2
end user Summary of reasons for score	Low quality but potential for re-use/re-
Summary of reasons for score	development
Adjacent Land Uses:	
List of uses	Road, Countryside, colliery, housing
Bad neighbour uses / details	Yes colliery site?/No/Not known
Potential noise nuisance / details	Rail/road/other
Close to WWTW	No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Other constraints	,
PERCEPTION OF WIDER ENVIRONMENT	4
Summary of reasons for score	Potential conflict with housing. Low quality colliery area, but open countryside in front?

Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated / Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be needed?	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/ Complex or high investment required/ Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	

INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	No identified constraints

4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail on adjacent colliery site/Selby /Knottingley/Motorway M62/Road A645, A1
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes A645?/No (distance to/frequency?)
Infrastructure Assets	Rail line at colliery/power supply there too?
Other assets	
Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	Yes?

OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	Within village in close proximity to Knottingley with access to road/rail network

5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term Yes – Immediate release / No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency known to undertake employment development?	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by	Yes/No/ Not known
an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for development?	Explanation
Is there developer interest in the site?	Yes/No/Not known
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site constraints to make employment development viable?	No
Would employment development on this site be viable, without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	Yes?
Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	3
Summary of reasons for score	Landowner's intentions not known.

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	18
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	0.37

Notes of any updates	Date
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	033
Site name	BRAY/1
Address	Land west of Selby Business park
Grid Ref	461540 430371
Parish	Brayton
SHLAA Ref	
SDLP Ref	BRAY/1
Employment Land Study ID	BRAY/1
NLUD Ref	
Current land use	Field
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	3.52
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes (lapsed outline permission for B1/B2/B8 but pending applications on parts of site for a variety of employment uses/sui generis) / No Use Class / Mixed
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	No
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	Yes – continued expansion of allocation at SBP
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	Yes - SDLP
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	SDLP employment allocation with some planning permissions/applications.

Major Constraints Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI Designations SINC – adjacent to north Floodzone 1 2 3a 3b SINC – adjacent to north Green Infrastructure Corridor Ancient Woodland Green Belt Historic battlefield LILA National or local Historic Park or Garden ROS/allotments Strategic Countryside Gap Local Amenity Space Listed Building Scheduled Ancient Monument Conservation Area Protected Road Scheme Mineral Safeguarded Area Public Right of Way Site Features: HSE Zone Groundwater Protection Zone Presence of Underground Services Water / Rising Main Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes Structures/enclosures e.g. structures, OHP lines,	
Floodzone 1 2 3a 3b Green Infrastructure Corridor Green Belt LILA ROS/allotments Local Amenity Space Scheduled Ancient Monument Protected Road Scheme Public Right of Way Site Features: HSE Zone Groundwater Protection Zone Presence of Underground Services Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes SINC – adjacent to north Ancient Woodland Historic battlefield National or local Historic Park or Garden Strategic Countryside Gap Listed Building Conservation Area Mineral Safeguarded Area Water / Rising Main	
Green Infrastructure Corridor Green Belt Historic battlefield LILA National or local Historic Park or Garden Strategic Countryside Gap Local Amenity Space Listed Building Scheduled Ancient Monument Conservation Area Protected Road Scheme Mineral Safeguarded Area Public Right of Way Site Features: HSE Zone Groundwater Protection Zone Presence of Underground Services Water / Rising Main Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	
Green Belt LILA National or local Historic Park or Garden ROS/allotments Strategic Countryside Gap Local Amenity Space Listed Building Scheduled Ancient Monument Protected Road Scheme Public Right of Way Site Features: HSE Zone Groundwater Protection Zone Presence of Underground Services Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	
LILA ROS/allotments Strategic Countryside Gap Local Amenity Space Listed Building Scheduled Ancient Monument Protected Road Scheme Public Right of Way Site Features: HSE Zone Groundwater Protection Zone Presence of Underground Services Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	
ROS/allotments Local Amenity Space Listed Building Scheduled Ancient Monument Conservation Area Protected Road Scheme Public Right of Way Site Features: HSE Zone Groundwater Protection Zone Presence of Underground Services Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes Strategic Countryside Gap Listed Building Konservation Area Mineral Safeguarded Area Mineral Safeguarded Area Wineral Safeguarded Area Water / Rising Main	
Local Amenity Space Scheduled Ancient Monument Conservation Area Protected Road Scheme Mineral Safeguarded Area Public Right of Way Site Features: HSE Zone Groundwater Protection Zone Presence of Underground Services Water / Rising Main Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	
Scheduled Ancient Monument Protected Road Scheme Public Right of Way Site Features: HSE Zone Groundwater Protection Zone Presence of Underground Services Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes Conservation Area Mineral Safeguarded Area Mineral Safeguarded Area Water / Rising Main	
Protected Road Scheme Public Right of Way Site Features: HSE Zone Groundwater Protection Zone Presence of Underground Services Water / Rising Main Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	
Public Right of Way Site Features: HSE Zone Groundwater Protection Zone Presence of Underground Services Water / Rising Main Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	
Site Features: HSE Zone Groundwater Protection Zone Presence of Underground Services Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes Water / Rising Main	
HSE Zone Groundwater Protection Zone Presence of Underground Services Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes Water / Rising Main	
Groundwater Protection Zone Presence of Underground Services Water / Rising Main Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	
Presence of Underground Services Water / Rising Main Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	
Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	
Structures/enclosures e.g. structures OHP lines	
Ciracial co, cholocal co c.g. ciracial co, crin inico,	
contamination	
Contamination or Pollution / details Known/Not known/Potential for	
Overhead power lines / details Above/adjacent	
Extent that physical features technically constrain 3	
the site	
Summary of reason for score Major water pipes cross southern part of s with associated easements restricting what be built over and near.	
QUALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT in eyes of 1	
end user	
Summary of reasons for score Attractive greenfield site ready to go.	
Attractive greenlier site ready to go.	

ELITIO SITE ITEL : 033	
Adjacent Land Uses:	T
List of uses	Bypass, rail, Selby Business Park, 3 Lakes SINC
2.00 01 0000	Bypace, rail, colby Each look rails, o Earles enve
Bad neighbour uses / details	Yes/No /Not known
Potential noise nuisance / details	Rail/road/other
Close to WWTW	No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Other constraints	
PERCEPTION OF WIDER ENVIRONMENT	1
Summary of reasons for score	Extension of Selby Business Park, visible from
	bypass.
Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated /
3	Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/
needed?	Complex or high investment required/
Maria and American	Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment Other highways information	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	
INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	No infrastructure constraints identified
4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail Selby/Motorway M62 within 12k /Road
- Change / Noosoo	A19/A63/A1
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact /
	Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes/No (distance to/frequency?)
Infrastructure Assets	Bypass junction, existing servicing to SBP
Other assets	
Does the site meet present (and expected future)	Yes
sustainability criteria (including public transport and	
freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	
OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	On edge of Principal Town, with road and rail
	connections

5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term
	Yes – Immediate release - / No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not
known to undertake employment development?	known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by	Yes/No
an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for	Explanation
development?	
Is there developer interest in the site?	Yes/No/Not known
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not
	known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be	No
provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site	
constraints to make employment development viable?	
Would employment development on this site be viable,	Yes
without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other	
on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	
Market Attractiveness	High / modium / law (comments)
11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	Landowner keen to bring forward for
,	employment uses, actively seeking planning
	permissions and end users.

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	9
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	3.52

Notes of any updates	Date
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	034
Site name	SHB/2
Address	Land at Sherburn Enterprise Park
Grid Ref	451300 433563
Parish	Sherburn in Elmet
SHLAA Ref	
Employment Land Study ID	SHB/2
NLUD Ref	
Current land use	Vacant land?
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	2.35
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	SHB/2
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No Use Class / Mixed
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	Not known
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	Yes - SDLP
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	Yes – SDLP
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	Employment allocation in EEA of Local Service Centre

3. Physical/Technical Constraints	
Major Constraints	Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI
Designations	0.10,000
Floodzone 1 2 3a 3b	SINC
Green Infrastructure Corridor	Ancient Woodland
Green Belt	Historic battlefield
LILA	National or local Historic Park or Garden
ROS/allotments	Strategic Countryside Gap
Local Amenity Space	Listed Building
Scheduled Ancient Monument	Conservation Area
Protected Road Scheme	Mineral Safeguarded Area
Public Right of Way	- Innieral Garagaaraca riiga
Site Features:	
HSE Zone	
Groundwater Protection Zone	
Presence of Underground Services	Water / Rising Main
Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	
Structures/enclosures e.g. structures, OHP lines,	
contamination	
Contamination or Pollution / details	Known/Not known/Potential for
Overhead power lines / details	Above/adjacent
•	
Extent that physical features technically constrain the site	1
Summary of reason for score	No physical constraints identified
QUALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT in eyes of	2
end user	
Summary of reasons for score	
Cultillary of reasons for score	Clear site in industrial area
Adjacent Land Uses:	
Adjacent Land Uses:	Clear site in industrial area Countryside, Road, employment uses
Adjacent Land Uses: List of uses	Countryside, Road, employment uses
Adjacent Land Uses: List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details	Countryside, Road, employment uses Yes/No/Not known
Adjacent Land Uses: List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details Potential noise nuisance / details	Countryside, Road, employment uses Yes/No/Not known Rail/road/other
Adjacent Land Uses: List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details Potential noise nuisance / details Close to WWTW	Countryside, Road, employment uses Yes/No/Not known
Adjacent Land Uses: List of uses Bad neighbour uses / details Potential noise nuisance / details Close to WWTW Other constraints PERCEPTION OF WIDER ENVIRONMENT	Countryside, Road, employment uses Yes/No/Not known Rail/road/other

Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated /
	Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes? / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/
needed?	Complex or high investment required/
	Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	

INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	No infrastructure constraints identified

4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail South Milford/Motorway M62/Road A162, A63, A1
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes/No (distance to/frequency?) nk
Infrastructure Assets	List
Other assets	List
Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	Yes

OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	LSC near major region road routes plus railway

5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term
Landowner international various	Yes – Immediate release / No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not
known to undertake employment development?	known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by	Yes/No/Not known
an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for	Explanation
development?	
Is there developer interest in the site?	Yes/No/Not known
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not
	known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings
Le though multip founding appropriated (or likely to be	No
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be	INO
provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site constraints to make employment development viable?	
Would employment development on this site be viable,	Yes
without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other	165
on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	
on site constraints on with public randing if committee:	
Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	4
Summary of reasons for score	Landowner's intentions not known and inactive
	for a while as evidenced by being in NLUD?

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	14
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	2.35

Notes of any updates	Date
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	035
Site name	Depot and Silos
Address	Barlby Road
Grid Ref	462875 432923
Parish	Barlby
SHLAA Ref	PHS/16/010
Employment Land Study ID	EMP15
NLUD Ref	273900368?
Current land use	Active council depot (but declared surplus) and
	derelict BOCM silos
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	1.4
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No Use Class / Mixed
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	No
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	No
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	No
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	PDL with potential for redevelopment but not currently allocated within SDLP.

Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI SINC Ancient Woodland Historic battlefield National or local Historic Park or Garden Strategic Countryside Gap Listed Building Conservation Area Mineral Safeguarded Area
Site/SSSI SINC Ancient Woodland Historic battlefield National or local Historic Park or Garden Strategic Countryside Gap Listed Building Conservation Area
SINC Ancient Woodland Historic battlefield National or local Historic Park or Garden Strategic Countryside Gap Listed Building Conservation Area
Ancient Woodland Historic battlefield National or local Historic Park or Garden Strategic Countryside Gap Listed Building Conservation Area
Ancient Woodland Historic battlefield National or local Historic Park or Garden Strategic Countryside Gap Listed Building Conservation Area
Historic battlefield National or local Historic Park or Garden Strategic Countryside Gap Listed Building Conservation Area
National or local Historic Park or Garden Strategic Countryside Gap Listed Building Conservation Area
Strategic Countryside Gap Listed Building Conservation Area
Listed Building Conservation Area
Conservation Area
Mineral Safeguarded Area
Water / Rising Main
Number of buildings which would require demolition
Known/Not known/Potential for
Above/adjacent
3
Few physical/technical constraints other than site clearance.
3
Some potential for re use of depot but would more likely require redevelopment.

Adjacent Land Uses:	
List of uses	Road/roundabout, river, residential, BOCM to south
Bad neighbour uses / details	Yes/No/Not known
Potential noise nuisance / details	Rail/road/other
Close to WWTW	No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Other constraints	
PERCEPTION OF WIDER ENVIRONMENT	2
Summary of reasons for score	Relationship with housing but other uses
	compatible. Attractive river environment.
Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated /
Dogo site about adopted highway	Works required to make capacity available Yes / No
Does site abut adopted highway If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate / complex
needed?	Complex or high investment required/
	Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	Potentially land may be required for road re-
	alignment as part of new access to land south of railway?
	Tallway!
INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	No constraints identified
the state of the s	
4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
	Rail Selby/or freight centre to south/Motorway M62 within 12k /Road A19/A63
Site location / characteristics Strategic Access	Rail Selby/or freight centre to south/Motorway M62 within 12k /Road A19/A63 No material impact / Minimal material impact /
Site location / characteristics	within 12k /Road A19/A63 No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact
Site location / characteristics Strategic Access Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN) Likely to reduce out-commuting?	within 12k /Road A19/A63 No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact Yes/No/Not known
Site location / characteristics Strategic Access Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN) Likely to reduce out-commuting? Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	within 12k /Road A19/A63 No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact Yes/No/Not known Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Site location / characteristics Strategic Access Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN) Likely to reduce out-commuting? Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification Local Public transport routes	within 12k /Road A19/A63 No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact Yes/No/Not known Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no Yes/No (distance to/frequency?)
Site location / characteristics Strategic Access Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN) Likely to reduce out-commuting? Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification Local Public transport routes Infrastructure Assets	within 12k /Road A19/A63 No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact Yes/No/Not known Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Site location / characteristics Strategic Access Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN) Likely to reduce out-commuting? Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification Local Public transport routes Infrastructure Assets Other assets	within 12k /Road A19/A63 No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact Yes/No/Not known Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no Yes/No (distance to/frequency?) River – potential for wharfs?
Site location / characteristics Strategic Access Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN) Likely to reduce out-commuting? Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification Local Public transport routes Infrastructure Assets Other assets Does the site meet present (and expected future)	within 12k /Road A19/A63 No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact Yes/No/Not known Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no Yes/No (distance to/frequency?)
Site location / characteristics Strategic Access Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN) Likely to reduce out-commuting? Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification Local Public transport routes Infrastructure Assets Other assets Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and	within 12k /Road A19/A63 No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact Yes/No/Not known Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no Yes/No (distance to/frequency?) River – potential for wharfs?
Site location / characteristics Strategic Access Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN) Likely to reduce out-commuting? Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification Local Public transport routes Infrastructure Assets Other assets Does the site meet present (and expected future)	within 12k /Road A19/A63 No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact Yes/No/Not known Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no Yes/No (distance to/frequency?) River – potential for wharfs? Yes
Site location / characteristics Strategic Access Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN) Likely to reduce out-commuting? Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification Local Public transport routes Infrastructure Assets Other assets Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and	within 12k /Road A19/A63 No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact Yes/No/Not known Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no Yes/No (distance to/frequency?) River – potential for wharfs? Yes
Site location / characteristics Strategic Access Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN) Likely to reduce out-commuting? Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification Local Public transport routes Infrastructure Assets Other assets Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	within 12k /Road A19/A63 No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact Yes/No/Not known Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no Yes/No (distance to/frequency?) River – potential for wharfs? Yes

5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term
	Yes – Immediate release No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency	Yes Housing/Yes Employment – part BOCM/ Yes
known to undertake employment development?	Other/ No - Council/Not known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by	Yes/No
an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for	Explanation
development?	
le there developer interest in the site?	Yes/No/Not known
Is there developer interest in the site?	
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings
Has there been any recent market activity?	Linquines / sales / lettings
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be	No
provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site	
constraints to make employment development viable?	
Would employment development on this site be viable,	Yes
without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other	
on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	
Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	Both owners likely to be keen to develop.
Summary of feasons for score	No active marketing?
	May be part of wider scheme to south.
	may be part of frider definition to countri

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	15
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	1.4

NB

Notes of any updates	Date
commentary amended in light of consultation but score remains	27 09 10
unchanged	
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	036
Site name	East of SEL/4
Address	East Common Lane
Grid Ref	462984 431536
Parish	Selby
SHLAA Ref	
Employment Land Study ID	SEL/4
NLUD Ref	
Current land use	Field
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	1.88
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	SEL/4
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No Use Class B1 B2 B8 2 units - remainder of PP for 7 units in 5 buildings/ Mixed
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	No
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	Yes SDLP
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	Yes SDLP
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	SDLP employment allocation with PP

3. Physical/Technical Constraints	
Major Constraints	Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI
Designations	
Floodzone 1 2 3a 3b	SINC
Green Infrastructure Corridor	Ancient Woodland
Green Belt	Historic battlefield
LILA	National or local Historic Park or Garden
ROS/allotments	Strategic Countryside Gap
Local Amenity Space	Listed Building
Scheduled Ancient Monument	Conservation Area
Protected Road Scheme	Mineral Safeguarded Area
Public Right of Way	
Site Features:	
HSE Zone	
Groundwater Protection Zone	
Presence of Underground Services	Water / Rising Main
Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	
Structures/enclosures e.g. structures, OHP lines,	
contamination	Known/Not known/Potential for
Contamination or Pollution / details	
Overhead power lines / details	Above/adjacent
Extent that physical features technically constrain the site	2
Summary of reason for score	Floodzone 3 No other known physical/technical constraints.
CHALITY OF INTERNAL FAIVIDONMENT!	4
QUALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT in eyes of end user	1
Summary of reasons for score	Attractive greenfield site ready to go

ELITIO SITE ITEL . 030	
Adjacent Land Uses:	
List of uses	Employment development, Renewable Energy Park, Bypass, WWTW,
Bad neighbour uses / details	Yes/No/Not known
Potential noise nuisance / details	Rail/road/other
Close to WWTW	No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Other constraints	
PERCEPTION OF WIDER ENVIRONMENT	2
Summary of reasons for score	Part of new employment development, adjacent Bypass junction with high visibility – but older industrial uses nearby?
Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated / Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be needed?	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/ Complex or high investment required/ Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment Other highways information	Yes / No not known
INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	No infrastructure constraints identified.
4. Sustainable Development Factors	
Site location / characteristics	
Strategic Access	Rail Selby/Motorway M62 within 12k /Road A19/A63
Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	No material impact / Minimal material impact / Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes?/No (distance to/frequency?)
Infrastructure Assets	Bypass junction, Renewable Energy Park
Other assets	Selby College Yes
Does the site meet present (and expected future) sustainability criteria (including public transport and freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	Yes
OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	Employment area of Principal Town. Bypass junction location. Potential access to renewable energy and academic expertise /training at College.

5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term
	Yes – Immediate release - / No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not
known to undertake employment development?	known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by	Yes/No/Not known
an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for	Explanation
development?	
Is there developer interest in the site?	Yes/No/Not known
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment – existing vacant new purpose
is the site being actively marketed:	built units but land as well?/Yes Housing/Yes Other/
	No/Not known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings site boards to let/for sale
That there been any recent market deliving.	q
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be	No
provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site	
constraints to make employment development viable?	
Would employment development on this site be viable,	Yes
without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other	
on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	
Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
	\ /
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	Spec units on adjacent site?

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	10
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS
	Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS
	High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	1.88

Notes of any updates	Date
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10

1. Site Characteristics	
ELR Site Reference	037
Site name	West of SEL/4
Address	East Common Lane
Grid Ref	455957 422758
Parish	Selby
SHLAA Ref	
Employment Land Study ID	SEL/4
NLUD Ref	
Current land use	Field
Land type	BF/GF/Mixed
Site size	0.87
Source of data	SDLP / NLUD / SHLAA / Non-res PARS
	Employment Land Review 2007
	Property Database

2. Strategic Planning Factors	
Within Selby	Adjacent Selby
Within Sherburn or Tadcaster	Adjacent Sherburn or Tadcaster
Within Designated Service Village	Adjacent Designated Service Village
Within Secondary village	Adjacent Secondary village
Within or adjacent other village	Countryside
Development Limits	Within / Adjoining outside / Outside
SDLP designation	Housing Allocation / Employment Allocation / SPA Established Employment Area / Other / NA
SDLP Ref	SEL/4
Is there a valid planning permission	Planning status Yes / No
	Use Class / Mixed
Is the site identified or likely to be required for a specific user or specialist use?	No
Is the site part of a comprehensive or long term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being partly or wholly developed for employment uses?	Yes SDLP
Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the spatial strategy?	Yes SDLP
STRATEGIC PLANNING FACTORS SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	SDLP employment allocation without PP

3. Physical/Technical Constraints	
Major Constraints	Functional Flood plain / HSE Inner Zone / International Wildlife Site / National Wildlife Site/SSSI
Designations	
Floodzone 1 2 3a 3b	SINC
Green Infrastructure Corridor	Ancient Woodland
Green Belt	Historic battlefield
LILA	National or local Historic Park or Garden
ROS/allotments	Strategic Countryside Gap
Local Amenity Space	Listed Building
Scheduled Ancient Monument	Conservation Area
Protected Road Scheme	Mineral Safeguarded Area
Public Right of Way	
Site Features:	
HSE Zone	
Groundwater Protection Zone	
Presence of Underground Services	Water / Rising Main
Physical features e.g. Topography, dykes	
Structures/enclosures e.g. structures, OHP lines,	
contamination	
Contamination or Pollution / details	Known/Not known/Potential for
Overhead power lines / details	Above/adjacent
Future that where all factories to shall all a constrain	
Extent that physical features technically constrain the site	3
Summary of reason for score	Floodzone 3 No other known physical/technical constraints.
QUALITY OF INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT in eyes of	2
end user	-
Summary of reasons for score	Attractive greenfield site ready to go but possibly constrained by small size?

Adjacent Land Uses:	
List of uses	Employment development, Renewable Energy Park ancillary buildings, sports field /Selby College, SINC, housing allocation
Bad neighbour uses / details Potential noise nuisance / details Close to WWTW	Yes/No/Not known Rail/road/other No / Yes but no objections / Yes Object
Other constraints	
PERCEPTION OF WIDER ENVIRONMENT	2
Summary of reasons for score	Range of compatible use and attractive areas
Infrastructure Capacity:	
WWTW capacity	At capacity / Site can be accommodated / Works required to make capacity available
Does site abut adopted highway	Yes / No
If no can it be connected	Not applicable/ Yes – Easily / intermediate / complex
Will additional highway safety/capacity works be needed?	No/Small scale & low cost/Intermediate scale & cost/ Complex or high investment required/ Likely to be prohibitive not known
Would scheme require a Transport Assessment	Yes / No not known
Other highways information	
INFRASRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS SCORE	2
Summary of reasons for score	Only low-key highways works required
4 Overteinstelle Development Frankrich	
4. Sustainable Development Factors Site location / characteristics	
	Doil Colby/Motorway M60 within 10k /Dood M10/A60
Strategic Access Impact on Strategic Road Network (SRN)	Rail Selby/Motorway M62 within 12k /Road A19/A63 No material impact / Minimal material impact /
	Material impact / Major impact
Likely to reduce out-commuting?	Yes/No/Not known
Potential to contribute to Rural Diversification	Likely / possibly /unlikely/ no
Local Public transport routes	Yes?/No (distance to/frequency?)
Infrastructure Assets	
Other assets	Selby College
Does the site meet present (and expected future)	Yes
sustainability criteria (including public transport and	
freight access, on and off-site environmental impacts)?	
OVERALL SUSTAINABILITY SCORE	1
Summary of reasons for score	Employment area of Principal Town.
	Close to Bypass junction. Potential access to academic expertise /training at College.

5. Ownership/Activity Factors	
Type of ownership / details	Multiple / Public / Private
Have landowners been contacted	Yes / No
Landowner intentions known	Yes – Long term / Yes - Medium term
	Yes – Immediate release - / No
Ownership/covenants/legal issues? / details	
Is the site owned by a developer or another agency	Yes Housing/Yes Employment/ Yes Other/ No/Not
known to undertake employment development?	known
Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by	Yes/No/Not known
an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for	Explanation
development?	
Le the one placed are an interpret in the costs O	Mary Mary Mark London
Is there developer interest in the site?	Yes/No/Not known
Is the site being actively marketed?	Yes Employment/Yes Housing/Yes Other/ No/Not
	known
Has there been any recent market activity?	Enquiries / sales / lettings
Is there public funding committed (or likely to be	No
provided) sufficient to overcome infrastructure or on-site	
constraints to make employment development viable?	
Would employment development on this site be viable,	Yes
without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other	103
on-site constraints OR with public funding if committed?	
on site constraints on with public randing it committee.	
Market Attractiveness	High / medium / low (comments)
Strength of local demand	High / medium / low (comments)
OVERALL ACTIVITY SCORE	3
Summary of reasons for score	Landowner's intentions not known

6. Final Analysis	
OVERALL SCORE OUT OF 35	15
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF SITE	Low constrained 0-7 YEARS Medium constrained 8-17 YEARS High constrained 18+
Suitable Use Class	B1 B2 B8 None (comments)
Developable Area	0.87

Notes of any updates	Date
amended with YW and HA information – score unchanged	21 10 10