# **Roy Banks**

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Robert Bryan 20 November 2018 11:16 Ruth Parker David Feeney Re: Concerns regarding representations to the Gargrave NDP

Ruth

Thank you for your response.

I not your comments regarding the previous G2/5 reference of the land west of Walton Close.

There is however a further previous reference of G2/10 in the table 3 on page 39 of the draft Plan. I can't trace that reference . I thought it may have been the Parish Council's (Kirkwells) "Call for Sites Assessment Report", May 2015, reference but it is not clear from that. Please could you ask the Parish Council to clarify that matter.

Also in this Call for Sites report there is a contradiction in reference to site GA031 i.e. land west of Walton Close. In the table on page 18 it states that the policy constraints are that it is in a Conservation Area and close to a list building whereas on page 58 there is a further reference where it states these policy constraints do not apply. I note form the Conservation Area boundary on page 65 the site appears outside the Conservation Area. Please could the Parish Council also clarify this matter.

Regards

Robert Robert Bryan, BA Hons., MRTPI. Town Planning Consultant.

On 20 Nov 2018, at 09:23, Ruth Parker <<u>rparker@cravendc.gov.uk</u>> wrote:

Robert,

Thank you for your email, which I have forwarded onto Gargrave Parish Council. It clearly explains the issue that you need to resolve and the role of CDC following receipt of your report on the Gargrave Neighbourhood Plan. This explanation will hopefully be useful for the parish council and that you will received a response from them on this matter over the next few days.

Regarding my question on whether you wish to receive emails recently sent to CDC from Gargrave residents, CDC consider these do not relate to fresh concerns regarding procedure rather than a reiteration of points effectively made already. The points raised by Mr Aldersley (pgs 37 & 38) and L Martin (pgs 27-30) are included in the Consultation Statement: Table 4.2 Local Residents 2018, however they state site reference number G2/5 and the correct reference for the land west of Walton Close site is G2/4 as reflected in the submitted Gargrave NP. Comments from Mr & Mrs Coetzer are set out on pages 44-46 of the same document.

I will respond to those residents, informing them of the details you set out in your email.

If there is any additional information you require from CDC, please do not hesitate to contact me.

# Ruth Parker Planning Officer (Planning Policy Team)

t: 01756706232 e: <u>rparker@cravendc.gov.uk</u>

<image2cc513.PNG>

### 1 Belle Vue Square, Broughton Road, Skipton, BD23 1FJ www.cravendc.gov.uk

This e-mail, including any attachments, is confidential and intended only for the attention and use of the named addressee(s). It may contain information covered by legal, professional or other privilege. If you are not an addressee,

please inform the sender immediately and destroy this e-mail. Do not read, copy, use, retain or disclose this e-mail or any

part of it. Its contents do not necessarily represent the views or opinions of Craven District Council. All reasonable precautions have been taken to ensure no viruses are present in this e-mail. Craven District Council cannot accept responsibility for loss or damage arising from the use of this e-mail or attachments and recommend that you subject

these to virus checking procedures prior to use.

Please be aware that all communications sent to or from Craven District Council may be subject to recording and/or

monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation.

### From: Robert Bryan Sent: 19 November 2018 14:41 To: Ruth Parker Cc: David Feeney Subject: Re: Concerns regarding representations to the Gargrave NDP

Ruth,

I am not in a position to forward a comprehensive list of questions. These may arise as the examination progresses.

To re-confirm I need to resolve the following issue.

Further to representations received from the community which were forwarded by myself to the Parish and District Councils by email on the 13/11/18, I need to establish that the submitted Consultation Statement is a reasonable and accurate reflection of the representations received during the formal consultations under regulation 14 on the Plan (i.e. the two 6 week periods; 5.11.15-21.12.15 and 8.2.16-21.3.16).

Regulation 14 requires that the Consultation Statement summarises the main issues and concerns raised by the persons consulted and describes how these issues and concerns have been considered and, where relevant,

addressed in the proposed neighbourhood development plan.

The submitted Consultation Statement states in paragraph 4.6 that the attached tables describe <u>all</u> the representations received and how the Parish Council has responded to these in the draft Plan.In view of the recent comments received from the community I need to know

whether any representations received during the formal consultation have not been referred to in the Consultation Statement. If there are any which have been missed I need these to be added and then I can determine whether it is acceptable to proceed with the examination or if there is a need for further formal public consultation.

I must stress that I am only interested in the formal regulation 14 consultation stages and any representations received as a result of those two 6 week windows. I do not need to know about representations received at other stages.

Further to my email of the 13/11/18 to the District Council I thank you for confirmation that I have been forwarded all the representations submitted as part of the regulation 16 stage.

Please could you forward this email to the Parish Council whose response is now urgent.

Regarding your other question in your email earlier today, I should not receive any further emails from the community. I cannot enter into a continuing dialogue with the public. The period for public participation expired at regulation 16 stage and my current investigation into the concerns is limited to the procedural matters referred to above. I can only receive further emails if you consider these relate to fresh concerns regarding procedure rather than a re-iteration of points effectively made already.

I will inform yourself and the Parish Council as to the manner in which the current examination will proceed following receipt of the Parish Councils response referred to above

My examination report will make recommendations relating to any procedural matters and it is then the responsibility of the District Council to determine whether it wishes to proceed to a referendum on the Plan. In that context I would appreciate it if you would inform any members of republic who contact you to that effect.

If I receive any correspondence from the public I have to forward it to the District Council for a response.

I hope that clarifies the position.

regards

Robert Bryan

Robert Bryan, BA Hons., MRTPI. Town Planning Consultant.

On 19 Nov 2018, at 08:49, Ruth Parker <<u>rparker@cravendc.gov.uk</u>> wrote:

Good morning Robert

I forward an email received from Gargrave Parish Council (see below), requesting a list of Examination Questions from you.

CDC have also received two further emails from residents of Gargrave following a Parish Council meeting that took place on the 12<sup>th</sup> November where, it sounds like to the NP and more specifically the issue of resident objections to the Marton Road site was discussed. Shall I forward you these two emails? One was from a resident who has already emailed you relating to this issue but the other is from a resident who, as far as I am aware, has not emailed you or CDC about this issue.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Many thanks Ruth

Ruth Parker Planning Officer (Planning Policy Team)

t: 01756706232 e: <u>rparker@cravendc.gov.uk</u>

<image61a991.PNG>

### 1 Belle Vue Square, Broughton Road, Skipton, BD23 1FJ www.cravendc.gov.uk

This e-mail, including any attachments, is confidential and intended only for the attention and use of the named addressee(s). It may contain information covered by legal, professional or other privilege. If you are not an addressee, please inform the sender immediately and destroy this e-mail. Do not read, copy, use, retain or disclose this e-mail or any part of it. Its contents do not necessarily represent the views or opinions of Craven District Council. All reasonable precautions have been taken to ensure no viruses are present in this e-mail. Craven District Council cannot accept responsibility for loss or damage arising from the use of this e-mail or attachments and recommend that you subject these to virus checking procedures prior to use.

Please be aware that all communications sent to or from Craven District Council may be subject to recording and/or monitoring in accordance with relevant logiclation.

monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation.

From: Gargrave Parish CouncilSent: 15 November 2018 11:25To: Ruth Parker; David FeeneySubject: List of Questions from R Bryan

Good Morning Ruth,

Following the string of emails forwarded on from yourselves, we have taken advice from our consultant, Louise Kirkup who has asked that we request a list of Examination Questions to be provided so that we can work through these, a whole list please.

She advises this is the best way, rather than trying to respond to individual emails with questions.

Whilst writing, as you will know we have had a number of parishioners querying the data and they have now requested to come into the office to do an audit on computer held data and paperwork relating to the Neighbourhood Plan. Could you please advise on this matter as Louise feels it would be better to have a couple of independent bodies who can witness this audit take place? I am also going to approach our National Association (NALC) for their thoughts and advice in the meantime.

I look forward to hearing from you as soon as possible.

Regards

Kath

Kath Ashby Clerk Gargrave Parish Council

www.gargravepc.org.uk

(office hours Mon 10am - 2pm)