## Table 3 Proposed Housing Sites

Image: Construction constructine construction construction construction constr	Site Options	Reasons for bringing forward into Plan	Reg 14 Consultation
Land to the east of West Street (GA003) (Former Site Option G2/1 and NDP Site Allocation G2/1)       Informal consultation results showed: - 29 supported the site and - 6 opposed it.       Table 1 Nil         Table 22 Nil       Table 2 Nil         Table 3 Comment - 1 (2.7)       Comment - 1 (2.7)         Table 4.1 Support - 4 (7.1) (21.3) (23.6) (36.5)         Object Nil         District         Table 4.1 Support - 4 (7.1)         Support - 4 (7.1)         Comment - 1 (30.1)         Table 4.2 Support - 6 (57.3)			(Number in brackets refers to Reference Number for
(Former Site Option G2/1 and NDP Site Allocation G2/1)       - 29 supported the site and - 6 opposed it.       Nil         Table 2       Nil       Table 2         Image: Transmission of the site was therefore well supported and provides an opportunity for small scale infill development.       Table 3         Capacity 2 Houses.       Comment - 1         (2.7)       Table 4.1         Support - 4       (7.1)         (21.3)       (23.6)         (36.5)       Object         Nil       Table 4.2         Support - 6       (57.3)			Comment in Table)
Allocation G2/1)       - 6 opposed it.       Table 2         The site was therefore well supported and provides an opportunity for small scale infill development.       Table 3         Capacity 2 Houses.       Comment - 1         (2.7)       Table 4.1         Support - 4       (7.1)         (2.3)       (23.6)         (36.5)       Object         Nil       Comment - 1         (30.1)       Table 4.2         Support - 6       (57.3)	Land to the east of West Street (GA003)	Informal consultation results showed:	Table 1
The site was therefore well supported and provides an opportunity for small scale infill development.       Table 3         Capacity 2 Houses.       Comment - 1         (2.7)       Table 4.1         Support - 4       (7.1)         (21.3)       (23.6)         (36.5)       Object         Nil       Discret         (30.1)       Table 4.2         Support - 6       Support - 6	(Former Site Option G2/1 and NDP Site	<ul> <li>29 supported the site and</li> </ul>	Nil
The site was therefore well supported and provides an opportunity for small scale infill development.       Table 3         Capacity 2 Houses.       Comment - 1         (2.7)       Table 4.1         Support - 4       (7.1)         (21.3)       (23.6)         (36.5)       Object         Nil       Nil         Disct       Nil         Table 4.1       Support - 4         (7.1)       (21.3)         (23.6)       (36.5)         Object       Nil         Support - 1       (30.1)         Table 4.2       Support - 6         (57.3)       Support - 6	Allocation G2/1)	- 6 opposed it.	
provides an opportunity for small scale infill development.Table 3 Comment - 1 (2.7)Table 4.1Support - 4 (7.1) (21.3) (23.6) (36.5)DiplectDiplect NilDiplect Support - 1 (30.1)Table 4.2 Support - 6 (57.3)			Table 2
development.       Table 3         Capacity 2 Houses.       Comment - 1         (2.7)       Table 4.1         Support - 4       (7.1)         (21.3)       (23.6)         (36.5)       Object         Nil       Nil         Comment - 1       (30.1)         Table 4.2       Support - 6         (57.3)       Comment - 6		The site was therefore well supported and	Nil
Capacity 2 Houses.         Comment - 1 (2.7)           Table 4.1         Support - 4 (7.1)           (21.3)         (23.6)           (36.5)         Object Nil           Comment - 1 (30.1)         Comment - 1 (30.2)           Table 4.2         Support - 6 (57.3)		provides an opportunity for small scale infill	
(2.7) <b>Table 4.1</b> <u>Support - 4</u> (7.1) (21.3) (23.6) (36.5) <u>Object</u> Nil <u>Comment - 1</u> (30.1) <b>Table 4.2</b> <u>Support - 6</u> (57.3)		development.	Table 3
Table 4.1         Support - 4         (7.1)         (21.3)         (23.6)         (36.5)         Object         Nil         Comment - 1         (30.1)         Table 4.2         Support - 6         (57.3)		Capacity 2 Houses.	<u>Comment - 1</u>
Support - 4 (7.1) (21.3) (23.6) (36.5)           (23.6) (36.5)           Object Nil           Comment - 1 (30.1)           Table 4.2 Support - 6 (57.3)			(2.7)
Support - 4 (7.1) (21.3) (23.6) (36.5)           (23.6) (36.5)           Object Nil           Comment - 1 (30.1)           Table 4.2 Support - 6 (57.3)			
(7.1)         (21.3)         (23.6)         (36.5)         Object         Nil         Comment - 1         (30.1)         Table 4.2         Support - 6         (57.3)			Table 4.1
(21.3) (23.6) (36.5)			
(23.6) (36.5) Object Nil Comment - 1 (30.1) Table 4.2 Support - 6 (57.3)			
(36.5) <u>Object</u> Nil <u>Comment - 1</u> (30.1) <b>Table 4.2</b> <u>Support - 6</u> (57.3)			
Object Nil       Comment - 1 (30.1)       Table 4.2       Support - 6 (57.3)			
Nil           Comment - 1 (30.1)           Table 4.2           Support - 6 (57.3)			(36.5)
Nil           Comment - 1 (30.1)           Table 4.2           Support - 6 (57.3)			
Comment - 1 (30.1)           Table 4.2           Support - 6 (57.3)			
(30.1) <b>Table 4.2</b> <u>Support - 6</u> (57.3)			NII
(30.1) <b>Table 4.2</b> <u>Support - 6</u> (57.3)			Comment 1
Table 4.2           Support - 6           (57.3)			
<u>Support - 6</u> (57.3)			(50.1)
<u>Support - 6</u> (57.3)			Table 4.2
(57.3)			
			(68.1)
(69.1)			

Site Options	Reasons for bringing forward into Plan	Reg 14 Consultation
		(Number in brackets refers to Reference Number for
		Comment in Table)
		(73.5)
		(80.1)
		(83.1)
		(00.2)
		Object
		Nil
		<u>Comment -1</u>
		(74.3)
		<u>Supported all sites</u> (17 in total) – 63.2, 65.3, 62.1,
		<u>63.1, 64, 2.1, 3, 5.1, 9.1, 17, 9.1, 17, 24, 34, 33, 37,</u>
		38
		Total Support – 27
		Total Object - 0
		Total Comment - 3
Neville House, Neville Crescent (GA004)	Informal consultation results showed:	Table 1
(Former Site Option G2/2 and NDP Site	- 32 supported the site and	Comment - 1
Allocation G2/2)	- 7 opposed it.	(17)
	The site was therefore well supported. It is	
	a brownfield site. Capacity 16 Houses.	Table 2
	. ,	Nil
	Note - the NDP site allocation has been	
	amended to 14 dwellings in line with the	Table 3
	emerging new Local Plan.	Comment - 1
		(2.8)

Site Options	Reasons for bringing forward into Plan	Reg 14 Consultation
		(Number in brackets refers to Reference Number for
		Comment in Table)
		Table 4.1
		Support - 7
		(7.2)
		(13.1)
		(14.2)
		(21.2)
		(23.5)
		(36.2)
		(44.3)
		()
		<u>Object - 4</u>
		(9.7)
		(30.2)
		(43)
		(46)
		<u>Comment</u>
		Nil
		Table 4.2
		Support - 5
		(49.4 - see written comment)
		(49.4 - see written comment) (57.2)
		(68.2) (80.2)
		(83.2)
		Object 2
		<u>Object - 3</u>

Site Options	Reasons for bringing forward into Plan	Reg 14 Consultation
		(Number in brackets refers to Reference Number for
		Comment in Table)
		(53.2)
		(56)
		(73.6)
		(75.0)
		<u>Comment -2</u>
		(69.2)
		(70.2)
		(70.2)
		<u>Supported all sites</u> (17 in total) – 63.2, 65.3, 62.1,
		63.1, 64, 2.1, 3, 5.1, 9.1, 17, 9.1, 17, 24, 34, 33, 37,
		38
		Total Support - 29
		Total Object - 7
		Total Comment - 4
Paddock at Knowles House (GA010)	Informal consultation results showed:	Table 1
(Former Site Option G2/3 and NDP Site	<ul> <li>35 supported the site and</li> </ul>	<u>Comment - 1</u>
Allocation G2/3)	- 6 opposed it.	(41)
	The site was well supported, and would be a	Table 2
	good opportunity for infill.	Nil
	Capacity 1 to 8 Houses.	
		Table 3
		<u>Comment - 1</u>
		(2.9)
		Table 4.1

Site Options	Reasons for bringing forward into Plan	Reg 14 Consultation
		(Number in brackets refers to Reference Number for
		Comment in Table)
		Support - 7
		(7.3)
		(9.8)
		(21.4)
		(23.4)
		(39.2)
		(40.1)
		(44.5)
		Object- 3
		(4)
		(35.1)
		(42)
		<u>Comment - 2</u>
		(13.3)
		(30.3 "No objection")
		Table 4.2
		<u>Support - 5</u>
		(57.4)
		(68.3)
		(69.3)
		(80.3)
		(83.3)
		<u>Object</u>
		Nil

Site Options	Reasons for bringing forward into Plan	Reg 14 Consultation
		(Number in brackets refers to Reference Number for
		Comment in Table)
		<u>Comment</u>
		Nil
		Supported all sites (17 in total) 62.2 65.2 62.1
		<u>Supported all sites</u> (17 in total) – 63.2, 65.3, 62.1, 63.1, 64, 2.1, 3, 5.1, 9.1, 17, 9.1, 17, 24, 34, 33, 37,
		38
		50
		Total Support - 29
		Total Object - 3
		Total Comment - 4
Land to the west of Walton Close (GA031)	Informal consultation results showed:	Table 1
(Former Site Option G2/10 and NDP Site		Nil
Allocation G2/4)	- 36 supported the site and	
	- 9 opposed it.	Table 2
Note this was Site G2/5 at Reg 14 NOT		Nil
G2/4)	The site was well supported, is considered to	
	be have high sustainability and has good access into Marton Road. Capacity 55	Table 3
	Houses.	Object – 3
		(4.2)
	Note - the NDP site allocation has been	(4.3)
	amended to <b>44</b> dwellings in line with the	(2.11)
	emerging new Local Plan.	
		<u>Comment - 1</u>
		(2.10)

Site Options	Reasons for bringing forward into Plan	Reg 14 Consultation
		(Number in brackets refers to Reference Number for
		Comment in Table)
		Table 4.1
		Support (Former G2/4)
		(7.4 - note refers to previous G2/4 now a
		commitment)
		(10.2 note refers to previous G2/4 now a
		commitment)
		(13.2 note refers to previous G2/4 now a
		commitment)
		(21.1 note refers to previous G2/4 now a
		commitment)
		(23.3 note refers to previous G2/4 now a
		commitment)
		(40.2 note refers to previous G2/4 now a
		commitment)
		<u>Support (G2/5) - 9</u>
		(7.5)
		(9.10)
		(10.3)
		(21.5)
		(23.2)
		(26.2)
		(35.2)
		(36.3)
		(44.2)
		, <i>,</i>
		<u>Object (G2/5) - 12</u>
		(8)

Site Options	Reasons for bringing forward into Plan	Reg 14 Consultation
		(Number in brackets refers to Reference Number for
		Comment in Table)
		(11)
		(12.1)
		(15)
		(16)
		(18.1) (18.2)
		(19)
		(29.1)
		(30.5)
		(39.3)
		(40.3)
		(47.1)
		Comment
		(9.9 note refers to previous G2/4 now a
		commitment)
		(14.1 note refers to previous G2/4 now a
		commitment
		(26.3 note refers to previous G2/4 now a
		commitment)
		(30.4 note refers to previous G2/4 now a
		commitment)
		(36.1 note refers to previous G2/4 now a
		commitment)
		(39.1 note refers to previous G2/4 now a
		commitment)
		· ·
		<u>Comment G2/5 - 2</u>
		(13.5 not sure)
		(29.2)

Site Options	Reasons for bringing forward into Plan	Reg 14 Consultation
		(Number in brackets refers to Reference Number for
		Comment in Table)
		Table 4.2
		<u>Support</u>
		Former G2/4
		(49.4 - note refers to previous G2/4 now a
		commitment)
		(73.4 - note refers to previous G2/4 now a
		commitment)
		(80.4 - note refers to previous G2/4 now a
		commitment)
		(83.4 reluctant support - note refers to previous
		G2/4 now a commitment)
		Support G2/5 – 6
		(49.4)
		(57.5)
		(69.5)
		(70.1)
		(73.3)
		(80.5)
		(88.5)
		Object
		Former G2/4
		(57.1 - note refers to previous G2/4 now a
		commitment)
		(68.4 - note refers to previous G2/4 now a
		commitment)
		<u>Object G2/5 - 18</u>

Site Options	Reasons for bringing forward into Plan	Reg 14 Consultation
		(Number in brackets refers to Reference Number for
		Comment in Table)
		(55)
		(61)
		(68.5)
		(72)
		(75)
		(76)
		(77)
		(78)
		(81.2)
		(82.6)
		(83.5)
		(83.6)
		(84)
		(85.4) (85.5)
		(87.1) (87.2) (87.3) (87.4) (87.5) (87.6) (87.7)
		(88.1)
		(89.13)
		(91.6)
		Comment Former G2/4
		(67.2 - note refers to previous G2/4 now a
		commitment)
		(69.4- note refers to previous G2/4 now a
		commitment)
		<u>Comment G2/5 - 2</u>
		(91.5)
		(87/8)

Site Options	Reasons for bringing forward into Plan	Reg 14 Consultation
		(Number in brackets refers to Reference Number for
		Comment in Table)
		Supported all sites (17 in total) – 63.2, 65.3, 62.1,
		63.1, 64, 2.1, 3, 5.1, 9.1, 17, 9.1, 17, 24, 34, 33, 37,
		38
		Total Support - 32
		Total Object - 33
		<u>Total Comment - 5</u>
	At least 61	