## -Alternative (Omission) Sites at Regulation 19

Respondent ID Representor details	SHLAA Ref	Address	Allocation Proposed in Local Plan	На	<ol> <li>Allocation/land use being sought and number of dwellings proposed by representor (where applicable)</li> <li>Relevant details of current planning applications (where applicable)</li> </ol>	Council Estimated number of dwellings based on Local Plan density of 32 dph	<ol> <li>Reason site has not been taken forward (See introduction to this schedule for link given here)</li> <li>Reason why site designated /allocated for link</li> </ol>
Carleton		-			-		-
060 Jeremy Eaton, Craven Cattle Marts Ltd c/o Ian Swain, WBW Surveyors	CA006 Maps 1 and 2.	Land East of Heslaker Lane, Carleton	None – existing land use agricultural	Full original SHLAA site 5.99ha The representation proposes <b>part</b> of SHLAA site CA006 for allocation for housing. Reduced site size 3.47 ha (shown as pink hatched area on Maps 1 and 2)	<ol> <li>Residential - No dwelling yield specified by the representor.</li> <li>No current planning application submitted on site.</li> </ol>	192 (5.99ha) 111 (3.47ha)	<ol> <li>A Publication Draft representation has p development for the first time in the plan' been assessed by the Council. However, the stated below also apply to this 'Omission's Site CA006 reached the <b>Pool of Sites</b> (Leve This makes the site satisfactory for site self combination of         <ul> <li>the plan's proposed housing requi</li> <li>the distribution of housing growth</li> <li>the growth already taken place in mean that there is no need to allocate this requirement and conform with the plan's self</li> </ul> </li> </ol>
							2. N/A
049 R N Wooler & Co Ltd c/o Michael Gordon, Rural Solutions	CA012 Maps 1 and 3	Grundy Farm, east of Park Lane Terrace, Carleton	None – existing land use residential and agricultural	1.07ha	<ol> <li>Residential - Representor specifies a dwelling yield of 15 dwellings on site. This is less than the indicative yield of 21 dwellings shown on the illustrative site layout for the current outline planning application(see 2b below)</li> <li>a) There is an extant full consent (ref 17/2009/9965) for 5 dwellings (conversion of barn to 2 dwellings and 3 new build dwellings) on a small part (0.165 ha) of the SHLAA site CA012 (shown as orange hatched area on Maps 1 and 3).</li> <li>b) An outline planning application for the whole of site CA012 is currently being considered by the LPA under reference 17/2017/17886. This outline application proposes residential development, with all matters reserved with the exception of highways. An illustrative site layout shows an indicative yield of 21 dwellings. Decision pending.</li> </ol>	34	<ol> <li>This 'Omission site' passed the Initial Sc 2 site). The site does not include a sufficient to be formed onto the public highway. Furthermore, the combination of         <ul> <li>the plan's proposed housing requi</li> <li>the distribution of housing growth</li> <li>the growth already taken place in mean that there is no need to allocate this requirement and conform with the plan's st</li> </ul> </li> <li>N/A</li> </ol>

rd in the draft Local Plan for residential or employment use **nks to details of documents which support the reasons** 

for use identified in Submission Local Plan.

s put forward <u>part of the SHLAA site CA006</u> for residential n's preparation. This reduced area 'Omission site' has not , the same reasons for not allocating the whole of CA006 site n site'.

vel 3 site) and passed Step 1 of the **District Level Analysis.** election if required in the settlement. However, the

uirement set out in Draft Policy SP1,

th set out in Draft Policy SP4, and

in the village during the plan period (2012 to 2018),

his, or any other sites in Carleton to meet the plan's housing 's spatial strategy. The site fails Step 2 of the **District Level** 

**Screening** tests, but failed to reach the '**Pool of Sites'** (Level cient frontage to enable an access of acceptable standards

quirement set out in Draft Policy SP1, wth set out in Draft Policy SP4, and in the village during the plan period (2012 to 2018), his, or any other sites in Carleton to meet the plan's housing i's spatial strategy.

Respondent ID	SHLAA Ref	Address	Allocation Proposed in	На	1. Allocation/land use being sought and number of dwellings proposed by	Council Estimated	1. Reason site has not been taken forward (See introduction to this schedule for links)
Representor details			Local Plan		representor (where applicable)	number of dwellings	given here)
					2. Relevant details of current planning applications (where applicable)	based on Local Plan density of 32 dph	2. Reason why site designated /allocated for
025 Mrs Nancy Rab c/o Ian Swain, WBW Surveyors	N/A Maps 1 and 4	North of Vicars Row, Carleton	Local Green Space. Policy ENV10- CA-LGS6	0.18ha	<ol> <li>Existing land use (agricultural grassland)</li> <li>No current planning application submitted on site.</li> </ol>	Not proposed for residential use so estimated number of dwellings N/A	<ol> <li>N/A. Site not in SHLAA so not assessed</li> <li>Local Green Space (LGS) Assessment - th designation during a call for sites between assessed in accordance with the Council's L establish whether:-         <ul> <li>it is in close proximity to the comm</li> <li>it is not an extensive tract of land,</li> <li>it is demonstrably special to the loc terms of its beauty, historic significant</li> </ul> </li> </ol>
Embsay							wildlife. The assessment for this site concluded that bullet point the evidence demonstrated th beauty. The site was therefore recommen
045	EM012	Fields adjacent to Kirk Lane,	Local Green Space.	SHLAA site EM012 12.252ha (shown as	1. Existing land use (agricultural)	Not proposed for residential	1. This 'Omission site' passed the <b>Initial Sci</b> 2 site). The site presents negative location
Mr Brewster c/o Luke Binns David Hill LLP	Maps 5 and 6	Embsay	Policy ENV10 – EM-LGS11	red outline on Maps 5 and 6) LGS designation 4.95ha (extent of LGS designation shown in green on Maps 5 and 6)	2. No current planning application submitted on site	use so estimated number of dwellings N/A	<ul> <li>impacts.</li> <li>Furthermore, the combination of <ul> <li>the plan's proposed housing requir</li> <li>the distribution of housing growth</li> <li>the growth already taken place in the growth already the growth the growth and is valued for its beauty and recreational designation.</li> </ul></li></ul>

for use identified in Submission Local Plan.

ed for residential or employment use.

the site was put forward for consideration for LGS en 21st October and the 2nd December 2015. The site was 's LGS Assessment Methodology (based on the NPPF) to

mmunity it serves;

nd; and

e local community and holds a particular local significance in ificance, recreational value, tranquillity or richness of

hat it meets all the above criteria and in respect of the third that the site has historic significance and is valued for its ended for LGS designation.

Screening tests, but failed to reach the 'Pool of Sites' (Level onal, heritage, agricultural land quality and landscape

uirement set out in Draft Policy SP1,

- th set out in Draft Policy SP4, and
- n the village during the plan period (2012 to 2018),

nis, or any other sites in Embsay to meet the plan's housing s spatial strategy.

- the site was put forward for consideration for LGS en 21st October and the 2nd December 2015. The site was 's LGS Assessment Methodology (based on the NPPF) to

mmunity it serves;

id; and

local community and holds a particular local significance in recreational value, tranquillity or richness of wildlife.

hat it meets all the above criteria and in respect of the third that the site has historic significance, richness of wildlife onal value. The site was therefore recommended for LGS

Respondent ID Representor details	SHLAA Ref	Address	Allocation Proposed in Local Plan	На	<ol> <li>Allocation/land use being sought and number of dwellings proposed by representor (where applicable)</li> <li>Relevant details of current planning applications (where applicable)</li> </ol>	Council Estimated number of dwellings based on Local Plan density of	<ol> <li>Reason site has not been taken forward (See introduction to this schedule for links given here)</li> <li>Reason why site designated /allocated for</li> </ol>
						32 dph	
Gargrave		T	T	1.		1	
055 KCS Development Ltd c/o Becky Lomas, Johnson Mowat	GA025 Maps 7 and 8	Land north of Skipton Road, to east of cricket and football grounds, Gargrave	None – existing land use agricultural	2.083ha	<ol> <li>Residential - Representor has specified yield of 44 dwellings.</li> <li>An outline planning application, reference 30/2017/17787, for residential development of up to 44 dwellings was refused on 11<sup>th</sup> June 2018 for the following reasons – "The proposed development would extend the village of Gargrave into the open countryside. The development is, in the context of the village, considered to be large scale and would be visually intrusive and harmful to the landscape setting of the village. This adverse impact would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits of the delivery of housing including affordable housing and would conflict with Saved Policy ENV1 of the Craven District (Outside the Yorkshire Dales National Park) Local Plan"</li> </ol>	67	<ol> <li>This 'Omission site' passed the Initial Sc 2 site) because the vast majority of the site and is covered by FZ2 and FZ3a.</li> <li>Furthermore, the combination of         <ul> <li>the plan's proposed housing requi</li> <li>the distribution of housing growth</li> <li>the plan's allocation of housing lar NPPF)</li> </ul> </li> <li>mean that there is no need to allocate this with the plan's spatial strategy.</li> <li>N/A</li> </ol>
059 Mr P Hardyman	GA014 Maps 7 and 9	Land at junction of Church Street and Marton Road, Gargrave	None – existing land use agricultural	0.192ha	<ol> <li>Local Green Space designation under Policy ENV10</li> <li>No current planning application submitted on site.</li> </ol>	Not proposed for residential use so estimated number of dwellings N/A	<ol> <li>This 'Omission site' passed the Initial Sci 2 site) because it presents an inadequate r</li> <li>Furthermore, the combination of         <ul> <li>the plan's proposed housing require</li> <li>the distribution of housing growth</li> <li>the plan's allocation of housing lar</li> </ul> </li> <li>NPPF)         <ul> <li>mean that there is no need to allocate this with the plan's spatial strategy.</li> </ul> </li> <li>This site was not put forward for consideration 21st October and the 2nd December 2015, using the Council's LGS Assessment Method</li> </ol>

for use identified in Submission Local Plan.

**Screening** tests, but failed to reach the '**Pool of Sites'** (Level site is outside of the Environment Agency's Flood Zone 1,

uirement set out in Draft Policy SP1, th set out in Draft Policy SP4, and land with a low risk of flooding in Gargrave, (para. 100 of the

nis site to meet the plan's housing requirement and conform

Screening tests, but failed to reach the 'Pool of Sites' (Level e road access.

uirement set out in Draft Policy SP1, th set out in Draft Policy SP4, and land with a low risk of flooding in Gargrave, (para. 100 of the

nis site to meet the plan's housing requirement and conform

ideration for LGS designation during a call for sites between L5, therefore this site has not been assessed by the Council hodology.

Respondent ID	SHLAA Ref	Address	Allocation Proposed in	На	1. Allocation/land use being sought and number of dwellings proposed by	Council Estimated	1. Reason site has not been taken forward (See introduction to this schedule for link
Representor details			Local Plan		<ul><li>representor (where applicable)</li><li>2. Relevant details of current planning</li></ul>	number of dwellings based on Local	given here) 2. Reason why site designated /allocated fi
					applications (where applicable)	Plan density of 32 dph	
059 Mr P Hardyman	GA023 Maps 7 and 9	Land south of Marton Road, west of Church Croft, Gargrave	None- existing land use agricultural	1.295	<ol> <li>Local Green Space Designation under Policy ENV10</li> <li>No current planning application submitted on site.</li> </ol>	Not proposed for residential use so estimated number of dwellings N/A	<ol> <li>Site GA023 reached the Pool of Sites (Le Analysis. This makes the site satisfactory f the combination of</li> <li>the plan's proposed housing requi</li> <li>the distribution of housing growth</li> <li>the growth already taken place in mean that there is no need to allocate this with the plan's spatial strategy. The site f</li> <li>This site was not put forward for consideration 21st October and the 2nd December 2015,</li> </ol>
Hellifield							using the Council's LGS Assessment Metho
060 Jeremy Eaton, Craven Cattle Marts Ltd c/o Ian Swain, WBW Surveyors	HE009 Maps 10 and 11	Land south of Townson Tractors, off Kendal Road, Hellifield	None – existing land use agricultural	Full original SHLAA site 1.887ha (shown as red outline on Maps 10 and 11) Representation proposes <b>part</b> of SHLAA site HE009 for housing allocation. Reduced site size 0.716 ha (Shown as pink hatched area on Maps 10 and 11)	<ol> <li>Residential - No dwelling yield specified by the representor.</li> <li>No current planning application submitted on site.</li> </ol>	60 (1.887ha) 23 (0.716ha)	<ol> <li>A Publication Draft representation has p development for the first time in the plan's been assessed by the Council. However, th stated below also apply to this 'Omission s SHLAA site HE009 reached the <b>Pool of Site</b> <b>Analysis.</b> This makes the site satisfactory for the combination of         <ul> <li>the plan's proposed housing requi</li> <li>the distribution of housing growth</li> <li>the growth already taken place in 5 mean that there is no need to allocate this housing requirement and conform with the <b>District Level Analysis.</b></li> </ul> </li> <li>N/A</li> </ol>
060 Jeremy Eaton, Craven Cattle Marts Ltd c/o Ian Swain, WBW Surveyors	HE011 Maps 10 and 12	East of Thornfield Road, off Skipton Road, Hellifield	None – existing land use agricultural	Original SHLAA site 0.882ha (shown as red outline on Maps 10 and 12) Representation requests <b>extension</b> to the original SHLAA site to include football ground to the west. Extended SHLAA site HE011 2.26ha (shown as green hatched area on Maps 10 and 12)	<ol> <li>Residential and recreation- No dwelling yield specified by the representor.</li> <li>No current planning application submitted on site.</li> </ol>	28 (0.882ha) 72 (2.26ha)	<ul> <li>1. A Publication Draft representation has p time in the plan's preparation and describe This extended 'Omission site' has not been outcome of any site assessment, the comb • the plan's proposed housing requi</li> <li>• the distribution of housing growth</li> <li>• the growth already taken place in a mean that there is no need to allocate this requirement and conform with the plan's s</li> <li>The original SHLAA Site HE011 (0.882ha) h Screening tests, but failed to reach the 'Po a sufficient frontage to enable an access of highway. Note: In error, the reason for the document SA005 is stated as flood risk.</li> </ul>

for use identified in Submission Local Plan.

Level 3 site) and passed Step 1 of the **District Level** y for site selection if required in the settlement. However,

uirement set out in Draft Policy SP1, th set out in Draft Policy SP4, and in the village during the plan period (2012 to 2018), his site to meet the plan's housing requirement and conform e fails Step 2 of the **District Level Analysis.** 

ideration for LGS designation during a call for sites between L5, therefore this site has not been assessed by the Council hodology.

s put forward <u>part of SHLAA site HE009</u> for residential n's preparation. This reduced area 'Omission site' has not the same reasons for not allocating the whole of site HE009 n site'.

tes (Level 3) and passed Step 1 of the District Level / for site selection if required in the settlement. However,

uirement set out in Draft Policy SP1,

th set out in Draft Policy SP4, and

in the village during the plan period,

nis, or any other sites in Hellifield, to meet the plan's

the plan's spatial strategy. The site fails Step 2 of the

s put forward an <u>extended SHLAA site HE011</u> for the first ibes it as an opportunity site for housing and recreation. en assessed by the Council. However, notwithstanding the nbination of

uirement set out in Draft Policy SP1,

th set out in Draft Policy SP4, and

n the village during the plan period,

nis, or any other sites in Hellifield to meet the plan's housing s spatial strategy.

has been assessed by the Council and passed the **Initial Pool of Sites'** (Level 2 site) because the site does not include of acceptable standards to be formed on to the public the site not reaching the pool of sites in Submission

Respondent ID Representor details	SHLAA Ref	Address	Allocation Proposed in Local Plan	На	<ol> <li>Allocation/land use being sought and number of dwellings proposed by representor (where applicable)</li> <li>Relevant details of current planning applications (where applicable)</li> </ol>	Council Estimated number of dwellings based on Local Plan density of 32 dph	<ol> <li>Reason site has not been taken forward (See introduction to this schedule for links given here)</li> <li>Reason why site designated /allocated for</li> </ol>
042 Gargrave Poor's Land Charity	HE013 Maps 10 and 13	Land adjacent to St Aidan's Church, Hellifield	Local Green Space. Policy ENV10 – HE-LGS5 (extent of LGS designation show in green on maps 10 and 13)	2.845ha	<ol> <li>Existing land use (agricultural)</li> <li>No current planning application submitted on site.</li> </ol>	Not proposed for residential use so estimated number of dwellings N/A	<ol> <li>This 'Omission site' reached the Pool of Analysis making it satisfactory for site sele combination of         <ul> <li>the plan's proposed housing require</li> <li>the distribution of housing growth</li> <li>the growth already taken place in the growth already ta</li></ul></li></ol>
							<ul> <li>2. Local Green Space (LGS) Assessment - the designation during a call for sites between assessed in accordance with the Council's lestablish whether:- <ul> <li>it is in close proximity to the commentation it is not an extensive tract of land</li> <li>it is demonstrably special to the least of its beauty, historic signific wildlife.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The assessment for this site concluded tha bullet point the evidence demonstrated the beauty. The site was therefore recommentation is a call for site site of the least of the site was therefore recommentation.</li> </ul>
060 Jeremy Eaton, Craven Cattle Marts Ltd c/o Ian Swain, WBW Surveyors	HE014 Maps 10 and 14	Land to east of Gisburn Road, Hellifield	None – existing land use agricultural	1.764ha	<ol> <li>Residential - No dwelling yield specified by the representor.</li> <li>No current planning application submitted on site.</li> </ol>	56	<ol> <li>This 'Omission site' reached the Pool of Analysis making it satisfactory for site sele combination of         <ul> <li>the plan's proposed housing require</li> <li>the distribution of housing growth</li> <li>the growth already taken place in the growth already taken place in the growth already taken place in the growth and conform with the plan's second to allocate this requirement and conform with the plan's second to allocate the growth the growth the growth the plan's second to allocate the growth the</li></ul></li></ol>

for use identified in Submission Local Plan.

of Sites (Level 3) and passed Step 1 of the District Level election if required in the settlement. However, the

uirement set out in Draft Policy SP1, th set out in Draft Policy SP4, and in the village during the plan period (2012 to 2018), his, or any other sites in Hellifield to meet the plan's housing 's spatial strategy. The site fails Step 2 of the **District Level** 

the site was put forward for consideration for LGS en 21st October and the 2nd December 2015. The site was 's LGS Assessment Methodology (based on the NPPF) to

mmunity it serves;

nd; and

e local community and holds a particular local significance in ificance, recreational value, tranquillity or richness of

hat it meets all the above criteria and in respect of the third that the site has richness of wildlife and is valued for its ended for LGS designation.

of Sites (Level 3) and passed Step 1 of the District Level election if required in the settlement. However, the

uirement set out in Draft Policy SP1,

th set out in Draft Policy SP4, and

in the village during the plan period (2012 to 2018),

nis, or any other sites in Hellifield to meet the plan's housing 's spatial strategy. The site fails Step 2 of the **District Level** 

Respondent ID Representor	SHLAA Ref	Address	Allocation Proposed in Local Plan	На	1. Allocation/land use being sought and number of dwellings proposed by representor (where applicable)	Council Estimated number of	1. Reason site has not been taken forward (See introduction to this schedule for links given here)
details					2. Relevant details of current planning applications (where applicable)	dwellings based on Local Plan density of 32 dph	2. Reason why site designated /allocated for
060 Jeremy Eaton, Craven Cattle Marts Ltd c/o Ian Swain, WBW Surveyors	HE021 (new site shown as blue hatched area on maps 10 and 15) Maps 10 and 15	Land adjacent to Townson Tractors, Hellifield	None - existing land use agricultural	1.631ha	<ol> <li>Employment /B Class Use.</li> <li>No current planning application submitted on site.</li> </ol>	Not proposed for residential use so estimated number of dwellings N/A	<ol> <li>A Publication Draft representation has p first time in the plan's preparation. The si Employment Land Review. Based on the p Policy SP2 and employment land provision EC2 sufficient land has been allocated and</li> <li>N/A</li> </ol>
007 Ballan Ltd and Halton Homes c/o Kellie Hainsworth, Walton & Co.	N/A Maps 10 and 16	Hellifield Flashes	Local Green Space Policy ENV10 – HE-LGS1 (extent of LGS designation show in green on maps 10 and 16)	35.372ha	<ol> <li>Tourism/Leisure Use</li> <li>There is an extant consent on the site (ref 42/2002/2763) for construction of a rural environmental centre (comprising tourism, exhibition, training, equestrian and livestock buildings, a hotel and nature conservation area). (Shown as orange hatched areas on Maps 10 and 16).</li> <li>An outline planning application on the Hellifield Flashes site is currently being considered by the LPA under reference 42/2016/17496 for the development of a leisure centre including swimming pool, hotel and visitor accommodation including up to 300 lodges, park and ride facility, pedestrian access to Hellifield Station, parking areas, bus and coach drop off point, landscaping including ground modelling and water features. Decision pending</li> </ol>	Not proposed for residential use so estimated number of dwellings N/A	<ol> <li>N/A. Site not in SHLAA so not assessed</li> <li>Local Green Space (LGS) Assessment - the designation during a call for sites between assessed in accordance with the Council's Lestablish whether:-         <ul> <li>it is in close proximity to the commended it is not an extensive tract of land.</li> <li>it is demonstrably special to the lot terms of its beauty, historic signific wildlife.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The assessment for this site concluded that bullet point the evidence demonstrated the recreational value, and is valued for its tract recommended for LGS designation.</li> </ol>

for use identified in Submission Local Plan.

s put forward this site for B class employment use for the site has not been assessed by the Council within the e plan's proposed employment requirement set out in Draft on and safeguarding in Draft Policies SP5, SP6, SP9, SP11 and nd protected for future employment use.

ed for residential or employment use.

the site was put forward for consideration for LGS en 21st October and the 2nd December 2015. The site was 's LGS Assessment Methodology (based on the NPPF) to

mmunity it serves;

nd; and

e local community and holds a particular local significance in ificance, recreational value, tranquillity or richness of

hat it meets all the above criteria and in respect of the third that the site has historic significance, richness of wildlife, tranquillity and beauty. The site was therefore

Respondent ID Representor details	SHLAA Ref	Address	Allocation Proposed in Local Plan	На	<ol> <li>Allocation/land use being sought and number of dwellings proposed by representor (where applicable)</li> <li>Relevant details of current planning applications (where applicable)</li> </ol>	Council Estimated number of dwellings based on Local Plan density of 32 dph	<ol> <li>Reason site has not been taken forward (See introduction to this schedule for links given here)</li> <li>Reason why site designated /allocated for</li> </ol>
High Bentham 001 Richard Turner and Sons c/o Andrew Tait, Steven Abbott Associates LLP	HB028 Maps 17 and 18	East of Station Road and south-west of Pye Busk, High Bentham	None – existing land use agricultural and auction mart	Full original SHLAA site 10.91ha (shown as red outline on Maps 17 and 18) The representation proposes <b>part</b> of SHLAA site HB028 for allocation for housing. Reduced site size 9.63ha (Shown as pink hatched area on Maps 17 and 18)	<ol> <li>Residential - No dwelling yield specified by the representor.</li> <li>No current planning application submitted on site.</li> </ol>	349 (10.91ha) 308 (9.63ha)	<ol> <li>A Publication Draft representation has p development.</li> <li>This 'Omission site' was submitted earlier i It passed the Initial Screening tests, but fai presents a negative heritage impact, which Furthermore, the combination of         <ul> <li>the plan's proposed housing require</li> <li>the distribution of housing growth</li> <li>the plan's allocation of housing lan value/impact (bullet 7, para. 17,NP mean that there is no need to allocate this with the plan's spatial strategy.</li> </ul> </li> <li>N/A</li> </ol>
022 Mr and Mrs T Marshall c/o Gemma Edwardson, Edwardson Associates Ltd	HB030 Maps 17 and 19	Land off Duke Street, High Bentham	None – existing land use agricultural	Full original SHLAA site 6.287ha (shown as red outline on Maps 17 and 19) The representation proposes <b>part</b> of SHLAA site HB030 for allocation for housing. Reduced site size 3.7 ha.* (Shown as pink hatched area on Maps 17 and 19) *When mapped on the Council's mapping system the site size proposed by the representor is 3.938ha	<ol> <li>Residential - Representation includes a concept statement for the site which suggests that whilst the overall area of HB030 is 6.287ha, the proposed area to be developed is approximately 3.7ha which the representor indicates will yield <b>73</b> units. This equates to a density of approximately 20 units per hectare.</li> <li>No current planning application submitted on site.</li> </ol>	201 (6.287ha) 118 (3.7ha) 126 (3.938ha)	<ol> <li>A Publication Draft representation has p development for the first time in the plan's been assessed by the Council.</li> <li>The original SHLAA Site HB030 (6.287ha) has but failed to reach the <b>Pool of Sites</b> (Level 1 negative heritage impact, which are detern Furthermore, the combination of         <ul> <li>the plan's proposed housing requir</li> <li>the distribution of housing growth</li> <li>the plan's allocation of housing lan access and lesser environmental va mean that there is no need to allocate Site conform with the spatial strategy.</li> </ul> </li> <li>N/A</li> </ol>

for use identified in Submission Local Plan.

s put forward part of SHLAA site HB028 for residential

er in plan preparation and has been assessed by the Council. failed to reach the **Pool of Sites** (Level 2 site). The site ich is a determining impediment to site selection.

- uirement set out in Draft Policy SP1,
- th set out in Draft Policy SP4, and
- and in High and Low Bentham with lesser environmental NPPF)
- nis site to meet the plan's housing requirement and conform

s put forward <u>part of SHLAA site HB030</u> for residential n's preparation. This reduced area 'Omission site' has not

) has been assessed and passed the **Initial Screening** tests, el 2 site). It presents an inadequate road access and a ermining impediments to site selection.

- uirement set out in Draft Policy SP1,
- th set out in Draft Policy SP4, and
- and in High and Low Bentham that has adequate road I value/impact (para 47 and bullet 7, para 17 of NPPF), ite HB030 to meet the plan's housing requirement and

Respondent ID Representor details	SHLAA Ref	Address	Allocation Proposed in Local Plan	На	<ol> <li>Allocation/land use being sought and number of dwellings proposed by representor (where applicable)</li> <li>Relevant details of current planning applications (where applicable)</li> </ol>	Council Estimated number of dwellings based on Local Plan density of 32 dph	<ol> <li>Reason site has not been taken forward (See introduction to this schedule for link given here)</li> <li>Reason why site designated /allocated f</li> </ol>
Ingleton 003 Mr J Kidd c/o Jay Everett, Addison Planning Consultants Ltd	IN031 Maps 20 and 21	Fields on east side of Bentham Road, Ingleton	None – existing land use agricultural	Full original SHLAA site 3.015ha (shown as red outline on Maps 20 and 21) The representation proposes <b>part</b> of SHLAA site IN031 for allocation for housing. Reduced site size 0.347ha (Shown as pink hatched area on Maps 20 and 21)	<ol> <li>Residential - Representation states that 10 family dwellings (including affordable housing) could be provided on NE section of SHLAA site IN031</li> <li>An outline planning application for residential development of 10 dwellings, including access, layout, scale and appearance (landscaping reserved) on part of site IN031 (ref. 45/2018/18981) was approved by the Planning Committee on 4<sup>th</sup> June 2018 subject to the signing of a S106 Agreement to secure an off-site affordable housing contribution.</li> </ol>	96 (3.015ha) 11 (0.347ha)	<ul> <li>1. A Publication Draft representation has p development for the first time in the plan' been assessed by the Council.</li> <li>The original SHLAA site IN031 (3.015ha) has but failed to reach the <b>Pool of Sites</b> (Level Environment Agency's Flood Zone 1 and is</li> <li>Furthermore, the combination of <ul> <li>the plan's proposed housing requi</li> <li>the distribution of housing growth</li> <li>the plan's allocation of housing lan mean that there is no need to allocate SHI and conform with the plan's spatial strates</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
004 Mr J Rucastle c/o Jay Everett, Addison Planning Consultants Ltd	IN050 Maps 20 and 22	Land bounded by the A65 and Raber Top Lane, Ingleton	None – existing land use agricultural	0.491ha	<ol> <li>Residential - Representation states that 5 family dwellings could be provided on site.</li> <li>An outline planning application, reference 45/2017/18062, for the erection of five dwellings with ancillary office spaces was refused due to the site's harmful visual impact in this prominent peripheral and elevated location. Development of this narrow, elongated site would have an unacceptable suburbanising effect on the area and lead to urban sprawl, eroding the openness of the countryside and diminishing the site's contribution to the character and quality of the area.</li> <li>An appeal was lodged with the Planning Inspectorate against the Council's decision to refuse the application for this site – decision – appeal allowed March 2018.</li> </ol>	16	<ol> <li>This site reached the Pool of Sites (Lever making it satisfactory for site selection if re However, the combination of         <ul> <li>the plan's proposed housing requi</li> <li>the distribution of housing growth</li> <li>the plan's allocation of housing lar 7, para. 17, NPPF),</li> </ul> </li> <li>mean that there is no need to allocate this with the plan's spatial strategy. The site f This site is located on the southern edge of the countryside.</li> <li>N/A</li> </ol>

for use identified in Submission Local Plan.

s put forward <u>part of SHLAA site IN031</u> for residential an's preparation. This reduced area 'Omission site' has not

has been assessed and passed the **Initial Screening** tests, vel 2 site). The vast majority of the site lies outside the d is covered by FZ2 and FZ3a.

quirement set out in Draft Policy SP1,

wth set out in Draft Policy SP4, and

land in Ingleton with lower risk of flooding (para 100, NPPF), SHLAA site IN031 to meet the plan's housing requirement tegy.

vel 3) and passed Step 1 of the **District Level Analysis** f required in the settlement.

quirement set out in Draft Policy SP1,

vth set out in Draft Policy SP4, and

land in Ingleton of lesser environmental value/impact (bullet

this site to meet the plan's housing requirement and conform re fails Step 2 of the **District level Analysis**.

of the settlement and would extend the urban area into

Respondent ID Representor details	SHLAA Ref	Address	Allocation Proposed in Local Plan	На	<ol> <li>Allocation/land use being sought and number of dwellings proposed by representor (where applicable)</li> <li>Relevant details of current planning applications (where applicable)</li> </ol>	Council Estimated number of dwellings based on Local Plan density of 32 dph	<ol> <li>Reason site has not been taken forward (See introduction to this schedule for link) given here)</li> <li>Reason why site designated /allocated for</li> </ol>
Glusburn/Suttor 030 Glusburn Holdings Limited c/o Ben Weatherley, Knights	SC014 Maps 23 and 24	South and east of Hayfield Mills, Colne Road, Glusburn	Existing Employment Area Policies SP2 and EC2	1.882ha	<ol> <li>Residential - Representation states that 67 dwellings could be provided on site.</li> <li>No current planning application submitted on site</li> </ol>	60	<ol> <li>This 'Omission site' failed the Initial Scree site selection process (Level 1 site). Site do lowest risk of flooding (Flood Zone 1).</li> <li>Furthermore, the combination of         <ul> <li>the plan's proposed housing requi</li> <li>the proposed distribution of housi</li> <li>the plan's allocation of housing lar 100, NPPF),</li> </ul> </li> <li>mean that there is no need to allocate this with the plan's spatial strategy.</li> <li>N/A</li> </ol>
006 Mr P Rainford	SC061 Maps 23 and 25	Land to west of Glusburn Corn Mill, Glusburn	None – existing land use greenfield and woodland	1.078ha	<ol> <li>Residential - No dwelling yield specified by the representor</li> <li>No current planning application submitted on site</li> </ol>	34	<ol> <li>This 'Omission site' reached the <b>Pool of</b> making it satisfactory for site selection if re However, the combination of         <ul> <li>the plan's proposed housing require</li> <li>the proposed distribution of housing the plan's allocation of housing lan value/impact/risk (para 100 and but mean that there is no need to allocate this with the plan's spatial strategy. The site f southern part of the site lies within the Env would require the removal of a number of</li> </ul> </li> </ol>
037 Mr R Summerskill c/o Alison Rowland, Alison Rowland Town Planners Ltd	SC066 Maps 23 and 26	Land west of Greenroyd Drive, Sutton	None – existing land use residential and agricultural	0.671ha	<ol> <li>Residential - Representation states that 10 dwellings could be provided on site.</li> <li>A full planning application for site SC066 is currently being considered by the LPA under reference 32/2017/18753. This application proposes residential development of 10 dwellings including demolition of existing dwelling at no. 32 Greenroyd Drive to provide access. Decision pending.</li> </ol>	21	<ol> <li>This 'Omission site' passed the Initial Sca site) because the site has an access constra- land in different ownership. This is a deter allocation.</li> <li>Furthermore, the combination of         <ul> <li>the plan's proposed housing require</li> <li>the plan's allocation of housing lan 47, NPPF),</li> <li>mean that there is no need to allocate this with the plan's spatial strategy.</li> </ul> </li> <li>N/A</li> </ol>

for use identified in Submission Local Plan.

creening test and did not progress to the next part of the does not contain at least 0.1 hectares of land that is at the

uirement set out in Draft Policy SP1, Ising growth set out in Draft Policy SP4, and Iand in Crosshills/Glusburn with lower risk of flooding (para.

nis site to meet the plan's housing requirement and conform

of Sites and passed Step 1 of the District Level Analysis, required in the settlement.

uirement set out in Draft Policy SP1,

using growth set out in Draft Policy SP4, and

and in Crosshills/Glusburn of lesser environmental

bullet 7, para 17 of the NPPF),

his site to meet the plan's housing requirement and conform e fails Step 2 of the **District Level Analysis** because the Environment Agency's Flood Zone 3a and access to the site of trees.

**Screening** tests, but failed to reach the **Pool of Sites** (Level 2 traint, which can only be overcome by providing access on termining impediment to the site's selection for land

uirement set out in Draft Policy SP1, using growth set out in Draft Policy SP4, and land in Crosshills/Glusburn that has adequate access (para

nis site to meet the plan's housing requirement and conform

Respondent ID Representor details	SHLAA Ref	Address	Allocation Proposed in Local Plan	На	<ol> <li>Allocation/land use being sought and number of dwellings proposed by representor (where applicable)</li> <li>Relevant details of current planning applications (where applicable)</li> </ol>	Council Estimated number of dwellings based on Local Plan density of 32 dph	<ol> <li>Reason site has not been taken forward (See introduction to this schedule for links given here)</li> <li>Reason why site designated /allocated for</li> </ol>
Giggleswick 027 Giggleswick School c/o Nick Graham, Turley	SG004 Maps 27 and 28	South of Church Street, east of Tems Street, Giggleswick	Local Green Space. Policy ENV10- SG-LGS22 (extent of LGS designation show in green on maps 27 and 28)	0.348ha	<ul> <li>1. Existing land use (grassland)</li> <li>The landowner has promoted the land for a residential development allocation during previous Local Plan consultations and remains of the view that the land is suitable for development. However, the landowner's attention over the short- to medium-term will be focussed primarily upon the delivery of the proposals for the residential development of Lord's Close. As such, the development of the Glebe Field is not currently a significant priority and the landowner is therefore no longer actively seeking the allocation of it for residential development. Nevertheless, the land remains an important asset within the School's estate. As such, the landowner strongly objects to the designation of the land as Local Green Space.</li> <li>No current planning application submitted on site.</li> </ul>	11	<ul> <li>1. This 'Omission site' passed the Initial Scr 2 site) because the site does not include a s standards to be formed onto the public hig Furthermore, the combination of</li> <li>the plan's proposed housing requir</li> <li>the proposed distribution of housin</li> <li>the growth already taken place in the growth already taken place in the</li></ul>
027 Giggleswick School c/o Nick Graham, Turleys	SG015 Maps 27 and 29	South of Riversdale and north of school playing fields, Giggleswick	None – existing land use – greenfield land	0.359ha	<ol> <li>No dwelling yield specified by the representor.</li> <li>No current planning application submitted on site.</li> </ol>	11	<ol> <li>This 'Omission site' reached the <b>Pool of</b> a making it satisfactory for site selection if reaction the plan's proposed housing require the proposed distribution of housine the growth already taken place in the mean that there is no need to allocate this requirement and conform with the plan's sector of the plan's sector.</li> <li>N/A</li> </ol>

for use identified in Submission Local Plan.

Screening tests, but failed to reach the 'Pool of Sites' (Level a sufficient frontage to enable an access of acceptable highway.

uirement set out in Draft Policy SP1, Ising growth set out in Draft Policy SP4, and n the village during the plan period (2012 to 2018),

nis site in Giggleswick to meet the plan's housing s spatial strategy.

the site was put forward for consideration for LGS en 21st October and the 2nd December 2015. The site was 's LGS Assessment Methodology (based on the NPPF) to

mmunity it serves;

nd; and

e local community and holds a particular local significance in ificance, recreational value, tranquillity or richness of

hat it meets all the above criteria and in respect of the third that the site has particular local historic significance, the and that the application put forward in support of the site beauty and tranquillity. The site was therefore

of Sites and passed Step 1 of the District Level Analysis, required in the settlement.

uirement set out in Draft Policy SP1, Ising growth set out in Draft Policy SP4, and In the village during the plan period (2012 to 2018), his site in Giggleswick to meet the plan's housing Is spatial strategy. The site fails Step 2 of the **District Level** 

Respondent ID	SHLAA	Address	Allocation	На	1. Allocation/land use being sought and	Council	1. Reason site has not been taken forward
·	Ref		Proposed in		number of dwellings proposed by	Estimated	(See introduction to this schedule for links
Representor			Local Plan		representor (where applicable)	number of	given here)
details						dwellings	
					2. Relevant details of current planning	based on Local	2. Reason why site designated /allocated for
					applications (where applicable)	Plan density of	
						32 dph	
048	SG085	Land to the	None –	Full original SHLAA	1. No dwelling yield specified by the	25 (0.786ha)	1. This site reached the <b>Pool of Sites</b> and pa
		west of Raines	existing land	site 1.083ha (shown	representor.		satisfactory for site selection if required in
Mr M Smith,		Road,	use -	as red outline on			However, the combination of
Burley	Maps 27	Giggleswick	agricultural	Maps 27 and 30)			the plan's proposed housing requir
Developments	and 30				2. An outline planning application,		the proposed distribution of housir
Ltd					reference 31/2016/17660, for		the growth already taken place in t
ala Mishaal				Part of SHLAA site	residential development of up to 13		mean that there is no need to allocate this
c/o Michael Gordon, Rural				SG085 has been	dwellings was refused in December 2017 by reason of its adverse impact on		requirement and conform with the plan's s
Solutions				developed for 7 dwellings. (white	the character and appearance of the		Analysis.
3010110115				area shown within	area. The proposed development, by		
				red outline on Maps	virtue of its siting, size, layout, shape		2 N/A
				27 and 30)	and local topographical changes, would		2. N/A
				27 4114 307	introduce a narrow, longitudinal and		
				0.786ha of SHLAA	sporadic extension of built development		
				site SG085 remains.	sprawling onto lower lying land away		
				(Shown as red	from the edge of the village. The		
				hatched area on	development would relate poorly to the		
				Maps 27 and 30)	pattern of the neighbouring settlement		
					and would result in an unacceptable		
					erosion of its rural character and setting		
					alongside two road frontages which		
					form a prominent gateway into the		
					village.		
					An appeal was lodged with the Planning		
					Inspectorate against the Council's		
					decision to refuse the application for		
					this site – decision – appeal dismissed		
					13 <sup>th</sup> June 2018 on the grounds that the		
					adverse impacts on the character and		
					appearance of the area would		
					significantly and demonstrably		
					outweigh the benefits and consequently		
					the proposal would not accord with the		
					presumption of sustainable		
					development.		
	1			1		1	

for use identified in Submission Local Plan.

d passed Step 1 of the **District Level Analysis,** making it in the settlement.

uirement set out in Draft Policy SP1, using growth set out in Draft Policy SP4, and in the village during the plan period (2012 to 2018), his site in Giggleswick to meet the plan's housing 's spatial strategy. The site fails Step 2 of the **District Level** 

Respondent ID	SHLAA	Address	Allocation	На	1. Allocation/land use being sought and	Council	1. Reason site has not been taken forward
	Ref		Proposed in		number of dwellings proposed by	Estimated	(See introduction to this schedule for links
Representor			Local Plan		representor (where applicable)	number of	given here)
details						dwellings	
					2. Relevant details of current planning	based on Local	2. Reason why site designated /allocated for
					applications (where applicable)	Plan density of 32 dph	
048	SG086	Land to the	None –	0.936ha	1. No dwelling yield specified by the	30	1. This site reached the <b>Pool of Sites</b> and pa
		east of Raines	existing land		representor.		satisfactory for site selection if required in t
Mr M Smith,	Maps 27	Road and	use				However, the combination of
Burley	and 31	immediately	agricultural		2 An outling planning application		the plan's proposed housing requir
Developments Ltd		south of Brackenber			2. An outline planning application, reference 31/2016/17661, for		the proposed distribution of housir
Llu		Close,			residential development of up to 12		the growth already taken place in t
c/o Michael		Giggleswick			dwellings was refused by reason of its		mean that there is no need to allocate this
Gordon, Rural		Giggleswick			adverse impact on the character of the		requirement and conform with the plan's s
Solutions					area. The proposed development, by		2 11/4
Solutions					virtue of its siting, size, layout and		2. N/A
					shape, would introduce an inorganic,		
					rectilinear and sporadic extension of		
					built development sprawling away from		
					the edge of the village. The		
					development would relate poorly to the		
					pattern of the neighbouring settlement		
					and would result in an unacceptable		
					erosion of its rural character and setting		
					in a roadside location which forms a		
					prominent gateway into the village.		
					An appeal was lodged with the Planning		
					Inspectorate against the Council's		
					decision to refuse the application for		
					this site – decision – appeal dismissed		
					13 <sup>th</sup> June 2018 on the grounds that the		
					adverse impacts on the character and		
					appearance of the area would		
					significantly and demonstrably		
					outweigh the benefits and consequently		
					the proposal would not accord with the		
					presumption of sustainable		
					development.		
L							

for use identified in Submission Local Plan.

d passed Step 1 of the **District Level Analysis,** making it in the settlement.

uirement set out in Draft Policy SP1, using growth set out in Draft Policy SP4, and in the village during the plan period (2012 to 2018), his site in Giggleswick to meet the plan's housing 's spatial strategy.

Respondent ID Representor details	SHLAA Ref	Address	Allocation Proposed in Local Plan	На	<ol> <li>Allocation/land use being sought and number of dwellings proposed by representor (where applicable)</li> <li>Relevant details of current planning applications (where applicable)</li> </ol>	Council Estimated number of dwellings based on Local Plan density of 32 dph	<ol> <li>Reason site has not been taken forward (See introduction to this schedule for link given here)</li> <li>Reason why site designated /allocated for link</li> </ol>
Skipton 008 The Wilman Family c/o Paul Leeming, Carter Jonas LLP	SK089, SK090 Maps 32 and 33	Land to north of Airedale Avenue and Elsley Croft and east of railway line, Skipton	Residential C3, Primary School D1, and Green Infrastructure. Policy SP5: Strategy for Skipton- Tier 1	Original SHLAA siteSK089 (owned by theWilman Family)12.1ha (red outlineon maps 32 and 33)Part of SK089 (3.91ha) developed for103 dwellingsbetween 2015 to2018 ref63/2015/15726- sitecompleted March2018.Remainder of SHLAAsite SK089 availablefor development8.19haOriginal SHLAA siteSK090 (owned byCraven DistrictCouncil) 2.4 ha (redoutline on maps 32and 33)Site Allocation Areacombining remainingavailable area ofSK089 and originalarea of SK090 as setout in theSubmission DraftLocal Plan: 10.591ha. (shown as redwash on Maps 32and 33)This comprises a netdevelopable area forresidentialdevelopment of	The representation proposes a revised net developable area for the allocated site and 2 options for extension of SK089 as set out below:	218 (6.807ha net)	<ul> <li>1. A Publication Draft representation has pextend SHLAA site SK089 for the first time Extension Option 1 to extend the SHLAA si additional dwellings. These extended 'Om assessed by the Council.</li> <li>However, notwithstanding the outcome of the plan's proposed housing requi</li> <li>the distribution of housing growth the plan's allocation of housing lar 7, para 17 of the NPPF),</li> <li>mean that there is no need to allocate eith housing requirement and conform with the 2. N/A</li> </ul>

for use identified in Submission Local Plan.

s put forward two options (Extension Options 1 and 2) to ne in the plan's preparation. The representation states that site would provide additional land for a school, and site would provide additional land for both a school and mission site options' to SHLAA site SK089 have not been

of any site assessment, the combination of

- uirement set out in Draft Policy SP1,
- vth set out in Draft Policy SP4, and
- land in Skipton of lesser environmental value/impact (bullet

ither of these extended site options to meet the plan's the plan's spatial strategy.

Respondent ID Representor details	SHLAA Ref	Address	Allocation Proposed in Local Plan	Ha	<ol> <li>Allocation/land use being sought and number of dwellings proposed by representor (where applicable)</li> <li>Relevant details of current planning applications (where applicable)</li> </ol>	Council Estimated number of dwellings based on Local Plan density of 32 dph	<ol> <li>Reason site has not been taken forward (See introduction to this schedule for link given here)</li> <li>Reason why site designated /allocated for</li> </ol>
				6.807 ha; 1.8 ha for the provision of a new school in Skipton; and 1.984ha for the provision of green infrastructure.			
			As above	Revised net developable area of allocated site as set out in representation: 4.99ha net (taking account of existing green infrastructure and existing physical constraints on site)	The representation disagrees with the net developable area (NDA) of 6.807ha for SK089/90 in the Submission Draft Local Plan and considers that only 4.99ha of NDA is available due to existing physical constraints including topography, existing gas and water mains and an existing watercourse. The representation states an expected yield from reduced NDA of 4.99ha of 132 dwellings equating to an average density of 26.45 dph.	Revised NDA = 160 (4.99ha net)	
			None – existing land use agricultural	Extension Option 1 as set out in representation: (shown as pink hatched area on Maps 32 and 33) 6.79ha net (taking account of school and existing physical constraints on site)	Extension Option 1: The representation sets out a proposed extension to the east of SHLAA site SK089 to accommodate a primary school (1.8ha). This results in a total gross site area for SK89/90 of 12.39ha. The representation states that the NDA for the residential element of the site would be 6.79ha, yielding 180 dwellings, based on an average density of 26.45 dhp.	Extension Option 1 = 275 (12.39ha gross – 1.8ha for school – 1.984ha for GI = 8.6ha NDA for residential)	
			None – existing land use agricultural	Extension Option 2 as set out in representation: (shown as pink dotted area on Maps 32 and 33) 13.26ha net (taking account of school	Extension Option 2 (Representor's preferred option): The representation sets out a significantly larger extension to SHLAA site SK089 to the east to be included in the site allocation to accommodate both a school and additional housing. This results in a total gross site area for SK089/90 of 22.39ha. The representation states that the NDA for	Extension Option 2 = 595 (22.39ha gross – 1.8ha for school – 1.984ha for GI = 18.6ha NDA for residential)	

for use identified in Submission Local Plan.

Respondent ID Representor	SHLAA Ref	Address	Allocation Proposed in Local Plan	На	1. Allocation/land use being sought and number of dwellings proposed by representor (where applicable)	Council Estimated number of	1. Reason site has not been taken forward (See introduction to this schedule for links given here)
details			Local Flam			dwellings	Siver here,
uctans					2. Relevant details of current planning	based on Local	2. Reason why site designated /allocated for
					applications (where applicable)	Plan density of	
						32 dph	
				and existing physical	the residential element of the site		
				constraints on site)	would be 13.26ha yielding 356		
					dwellings, based on an average density		
					of 26.8 dph.		
019	SK119	Land south of	None –	6.571ha	1. No dwelling yield specified by the	210	1. This 'Omission site' passed the Initial Sci
		Whinny Gill	existing land		representor.		site).
Mr J Gladwyn,	Maps 32	Reservoir	use				The site is a greenfield site which is current
Keyhaven	and 34		agricultural		The landowner disputes the conclusion		does not currently have a suitable access.
Homes					drawn on the site that it is landlocked		characterised by open moorland. This site
					and does not have a suitable access.		therefore would not achieve the objectives
c/o Rachel					The representation states that the site		not help to minimise air, noise and light po
Flounders, ID					adjoins an existing housing commitment		site for residential use would have a signific
Planning					site which has reserved matters		the open countryside and the wider landsc
					approval and is in the same ownership.		selection.
					The reserved matters approval includes		Furthermore, the combination of
					an access spur which will provide direct		<ul> <li>the plan's proposed housing requir</li> </ul>
					access into SK119. The site is not		<ul> <li>the distribution of housing growth</li> </ul>
					therefore landlocked with the intention		<ul> <li>the plan's allocation of housing lan</li> </ul>
					being to connect the two sites.		7, para 17 of the NPPF),
							mean that there is no need to allocate this
					2. No current planning application		with the plan's spatial strategy.
					submitted on site		2. N/A

for use identified in Submission Local Plan.

Screening tests, but failed to reach the Pool of Sites (Level 2

ently not connected to the existing built form of Skipton and s. It is an elevated site to the east of Skipton, which is ite is remote from existing services within the town and ves of providing access for all to essential facilities, would pollution and impacts on climate change. Allocation of this inficant negative effect in terms of protecting and enhancing dscape. These are all factors in determining impediment to

uirement set out in Draft Policy SP1, th set out in Draft Policy SP4, and and in Skipton of lesser environmental value/impact (bullet

nis site to meet the plan's housing requirement and conform