| Settlement Growth Monitoring of Tier 1 to 4 settlements for Criterion I a) of Policy SP4 - Position at 1st April 2021 |                             |  |  |  |   |  |   |   |  |   |                          |   |
|---|-----------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|--------------------------|---|
| Α   | В                           | С  | D  | E  | F   | G  | Н   | 1   | J  | K   |                          |   |
| Tier  | Settlement                  | Proportion of housing<br>growth for Tier 1 to Tier 4<br>settlements (%) Policy SP4 | Housing Provision Requirement in Tier 1 to Tier 4 settlements (Approx number net dwellings) Policy SP4 | Total <b>NET</b> Completions 01/04/2012 to | Residual Housing Provision Requirement in Tier 1 to Tier 4 settlements (Approx number net dwellings) 01/01/2021 to 31/03/2032 (D-E) | Extant<br>planning<br>permissions<br>on windfall<br>sites at<br>01/04/2021 | Extant planning permissions on local plan allocated sites at 01/04/2021 | Residential<br>Approvals subject<br>to S106 | Approx yield of<br>allocated Local Plan<br>sites which do not<br>yet have planning<br>permission | Total<br>Residual<br>Planned<br>Supply (G +H<br>+ I +J) | Balance<br>(K -F)<br>+/- | Settlement Growth being delivered?  |
| Tier 1  | Skipton                     | 50%  | 2300   | 654  | 1646  | 494  | 372   | 0   | 1,006  | 1872  | 226                      | Yes - the positive balance between the residual housing requirement and the residual planned supply in Skipton demonstrates that the proportion of housing growth for Skipton as set out in Policy SP4 of the Local Plan is being delivered.  |
| Tier 2  | High and Low<br>Bentham     | 10.90%   | 501  | 27   | 474   | 51   | 72  | 0   | 412  | 535   | 61                       | Yes - the positive balance between the residual housing requirement and the residual planned supply in High and Low Bentham demonstrates that the proportion of housing growth for High and Low Bentham as set out in Policy SP4 of the Local Plan is being delivered.  |
| Tier 2  | Settle                      | 10.90%   | 501  | 184  | 317   | 18   | 11  | 144   | 236  | 409   | 92                       | Yes - the positive balance between the residual housing requirement and the residual planned supply in Settle demonstrates that the proportion of housing growth for Settle as set out in Policy SP4 of the Local Plan is being delivered.  |
| Tier 3  | Glusburn and<br>Cross Hills | 3.50%  | 160  | 154  | 6   | 76   | 20  | 0   | 25   | 121   | 115                      | Yes - the positive balance between the residual housing requirement and the residual planned supply in Glusburn and Cross Hills demonstrates that the proportion of housing growth for Glusburn and Cross Hills as set out in Policy SP4 of the Local Plan is being delivered.  |
| Tier 3  | Ingleton                    | 3.50%  | 160  | 45   | 115   | 42   | 0   | 0   | 105  | 147   | 32                       | Yes - the positive balance between the residual housing requirement and the residual planned supply in Ingleton demonstrates that the proportion of housing growth for Ingleton as set out in Policy SP4 of the Local Plan is being delivered.  |
| Tier 3  | Gargrave                    | 3.50%  | 160  | 23   | 137   | 33   | 0   | 0   | 118  | 151   | 14                       | Yes - the positive balance between the residual housing requirement and the residual planned supply in Gargrave demonstrates that the proportion of housing growth for Gargrave as set out in Policy SP4 of the Local Plan is being delivered.  |
| Tier 4a   | Burton in<br>Lonsdale       | 0.40%  | 18   | 2  | 16  | 5  | 0   | 0   | 15   | 20  | 4                        | Yes - the positive balance between the residual housing requirement and the residual planned supply in Burton-in-Lonsdale demonstrates that the proportion of housing growth for Burton-in-Lonsdale as set out in Policy SP4 of the Local Plan is being delivered.  |
| Tier 4a   | Carleton                    | 1.20%  | 55   | 9  | 46  | 33   | 0   | 0   | 0  | 33  | -13                      | No - However there is potential for the negative balance between the residual housing requirement and the residual planned supply in Carleton to be reduced in the short term because a registered planning application for housing with a reasonable prospect of meeting locational requirements of Policy SP4 is currently under consideration. |
| Tier 4a   | Cononley                    | 2.50%  | 115  | 133  | -18   | 8  | 0   | 0   | 0  | 8   | 26                       | Yes - the positive balance between the residual housing requirement and the residual planned supply in Cononley demonstrates that the proportion of housing growth for Cononley as set out in Policy SP4 of the Local Plan is being delivered.  |
| Tier 4a   | Cowling                     | 0.80%  | 37   | 21   | 16  | 9  | 0   | 0   | 0  | 9   | -7                       | No - The negative balance between the residual housing requirement and the residual planned supply in Cowling is unlikely to be resolved in the immediate short term as there are no registered planning applications for housing currently under consideration.  |
| Tier 4a   | Farnhill &<br>Kildwick      | 0.40%  | 18   | 10   | 8   | 7  | 0   | 0   | 0  | 7   | -1                       | No - The negative balance between the residual housing requirement and the residual planned supply in Farnhill and Kildwick is unlikely to be resolved in the immediate short term as there are no registered planning applications for housing currently under consideration.  |
| Tier 4a   | Hellifield                  | 0.80%  | 37   | 13   | 24  | 26   | 0   | 0   | 0  | 26  | 2                        | Yes - the positive balance between the residual housing requirement and the residual planned supply in Hellifield demonstrates that the proportion of housing growth for Hellifield as set out in Policy SP4 of the Local Plan is being delivered.  |
| Tier 4a   | Low Bradley                 | 0.80%  | 37   | 9  | 28  | 17   | 0   | 0   | 25   | 42  | 14                       | Yes - the positive balance between the residual housing requirement and the residual planned supply in Low Bradley demonstrates that the proportion of housing growth for Low Bradley as set out in Policy SP4 of the Local Plan is being delivered.  |
| Tier 4a   | Sutton-in-<br>Craven        | 1.20%  | 55   | 62   | -7  | 11   | 0   | 0   | 0  | 11  | 18                       | Yes - the positive balance between the residual housing requirement and the residual planned supply in Sutton-in-Craven demonstrates that the proportion of housing growth for Sutton-in-Craven as set out in Policy SP4 of the Local Plan is being delivered.  |
| Tier 4b   | Clapham                     | 0.80%  | 37   | 24   | 13  | 8  | 0   | 0   | 0  | 8   | -5                       | No - However there is potential for the negative balance between the residual housing requirement and the residual planned supply in Clapham to be reduced in the short term because a registered planning application for housing with a reasonable prospect of meeting locational requirements of Policy SP4 is currently under consideration.  |
| Tier 4b   | Embsay                      | 2.00%  | 92   | 9  | 83  | 92   | 0   | 0   | 0  | 92  | 9                        | Yes - the positive balance between the residual housing requirement and the residual planned supply in Embsay demonstrates that the proportion of housing growth for Embsay as set out in Policy SP4 of the Local Plan is being delivered.  |
| Tier 4b   | Giggleswick                 | 0.80%  | 37   | 32   | 5   | 7  | 0   | 0   | 35   | 42  | 37                       | Yes - the positive balance between the residual housing requirement and the residual planned supply in Giggleswick demonstrates that the proportion of housing growth for Giggleswick as set out in Policy SP4 of the Local Plan is being delivered.  |
|   | Total                       | 94.00%   | 4320   | 1411                                       | 2909  | 937  | 475   | 144   | 1,977  | 3533  | 624                      |   |